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```
                PROC E E D I N G S
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Everybody please take your
seats. I'll call the meeting to order.
    Please rise for the pledge.
    (The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
    CHAIRMAN WHITE: Secretary, please call
    the roll.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Bowen.
    MEMBER BOWEN: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Cameron.
    MEMBER CAMERON: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Heinrich.
    MEMBER HEINRICH: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Moga.
    MEMBER MOGA: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Regan.
    MEMBER REGAN: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: Stover.
    MEMBER STOVER: Here.
    MR. BERKHOUT: White.
    CHAIRMAN WHITE: Present.
    We have a quorum for this evening's public
    hearing.
    This is a continuation on Petition No. 4364.
```

The request is for a special use in the F Farming District for a private-pay alcoholism and substance abuse treatment facility. It's located at 41W400 Silver Glen Road in Section 19 of Campton Township and Section 34 of Plato Township. The applicant is Glenwood Academy and Maxxam Partners. I'd ask everyone to please silence your cell phones at this time and observe the rules of a courtroom decorum, please, for this evening's proceedings.

At the last meeting the petitioner rested his case, so we are now moving forward with the objector's witnesses to bring forward.

With that I call Mr. Carrara to present your case.

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, as a matter of housekeeping, if I may. Earlier today I on behalf of the Fox River and Countryside Fire Protection District, the Village of Campton Hills, and Campton Township sent a motion to strike the expert reports of Murer Consultants and Poletti \& Associates.

I have a copy of that motion here this
evening, and I'd like to present it to the Board and all the other parties for a ruling on those matters.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: That would be fine.
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I will defer to
you. Obviously, you're just getting that this evening. If you would like to take some time to review that and potentially hear argument or whether the Maxxam parties would like to respond, I would defer to you. We are prepared at this point to at least make some argument on the motion.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Your motion has been filed with the court if $I$ understand this correctly.

MR. CARRARA: There really isn't a court. I filed it -- the ZBA, in essence, is who I'm addressing to. I filed it earlier today with Mr. VanKerkhoff as staff and as the $I$ guess the designee as the ZBA. So I have filed it with him, and I've tendered copies to you here this evening, as well as providing e-mail copies to the Maxxam and the State's attorneys.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: And your request is for the written documentation that the petitioner has submitted. Is that the way I understand it?

MR. CARRARA: Yes, it would be the two expert opinion reports that were sulbmitted as part of the application, one by the Murer company and the other by the Poletti company.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm going to leave this up to the Board if there's a Board member that wishes to move a motion to accept this.

MEMBER BOWEN: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
MR. BROWN: Is it accepted as far as filing?
I would like a chance to argue it.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: That would be my question to the Board about the motion. I'm not prepared to debate the subject matter on this this evening.

MR. BROWN: What I'd like to do is just make a verbal motion as an objection to this. I do feel it's improper, but if you would have like to have another opportunity to rule on it, we would file a written response at the appropriate time.

Obviously, we just got it this afternoon.
I think it's very simple. It's very simple in the fact that we've had our witnesses here pursuant to your motion to compel them to be here. They were here on the date that they were required to be here, and the hearing continued to go on. But we did explain that our witnesses could not be here because they had other obligations with other clients.

If I'm wrong on this, I think each of the witnesses were here for at least three, if not
four of the proceeding, which the record should reflect -- and you've been here -- each one of those hearings lasted until at least 10:00, and we feel that we have complied with that.

I think Attorney Kinnally said it best earlier. It's one of those things that you put the weight on it. The fact that they were -- if they want to do something else, but you put the weight on it. It's just something that was not subject to cross-examination, and if you feel that you wish to put lesser weight on that, that's your prerogative. But it is not something -- because it is part of our application, and we've had people available, and we also asked if they had any further questions coming from the Board.

If the Board wished to continue to say that we would like to have those people come back here, the Board did not do that in our case in chief. In fact, I mentioned it several times as to, you know, if the Board wanted to hear anything else.

We are -- there are many petitions that you have before you where you do not have live witnesses for everything that's put in that application. You probably -- and I defer to your experience -- this
is one of the most extensive applications that you've probably come across. I don't think every one is like that.

We have flown people in from across the country to be here and at one time -- and maybe Andrew might help me with this -- I think we had seven or eight witnesses here at the hearing which has been going on and on and on.

So with all due respect to counsel, I think his motion is inappropriate at this time. I think it's more an argument that you shouldn't give it any weight. That's your prerogative. I think the documents speak for themselves.

But if you wish to have us file a written response or if you have any further request of us because you feel it is necessary, we would defer to that.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm going to just hold this document to review it, and we'll cover it at a later date. I'm not going to take a motion at this time, but we will act on this either this evening or at the next meeting.

MR. KINNALLY: Mr. Chairman, with your permission, could we mark the document for the record?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: That would be fine.

MR. KINNALLY: I think we're on -- I don't know -- I think the objector was using an A for his. I think it would be A10. I don't want to speak for him.

MR. CARRARA: I have other exhibits already marked, so let's be safe, let's just call it A20 because I don't think we'll get to that.
(Exhibit A20 marked for identification and attached to the transcript.)

MR. BROWN: There's another point, too. There's always an opportunity after they're finished calling rebuttal witnesses, and if the Board feels this is necessary to hear -- I'm not sure how much more you want to hear, but we would have an opportunity either, one, as rebuttal, or a request to reopen proofs. But at this point we feel that we've complied with your request with the information that you've requested to have these people here.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
Kevin, with that we'll go ahead and start with your witnesses.

MR. CARRARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to call Dr. Bennie Waller.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Please remain standing and raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Please state your name and your relationship to this petition for the record.

THE WITNESS: Bennie Waller and I am here to testify as to the opinion of value for the proposed facility.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
MR. CARRARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. BENNIE WALLER,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE OBJECTORS BY MR. CARRARA:

Q Dr. Waller, what do you do for a living?
A I am a professor at Longwood University.
Q That is your occupation; correct?
A That's what pays the bills, yes, sir.
Q Thank you. However, you are being paid for your services and your testimony this evening; correct?

A I am.

Q Is it also fair to say since you've been retained you've been working on this project since about November?

A Mid-November is when I was retained, yes.
Q Thank you.
Is it true you were asked to review the proposed 120-bed Maxxam alcohol and drug detox facility and provide your expert opinion on whether it will have a substantial impact on property values in the surrounding neighborhood?

A It was the request.
Q Were you also asked to review and provide your expert opinion on the MaRous valuation report?

A I was.
Q What position do you hold within the university, sir?

A I am a professor and I'm also department chairperson.

Q And what department are you the chair of?
A Accounting and financial real estate.
Q And how long have you been teaching in the finance and real estate area?

A Since the early '90s.
Q Do you hold any licenses as part of your
professional criteria?
A I have an appraisal instruction license, as well as I am a licensed real estate salesperson.

Q So the first license you mentioned as a -you said an appraiser -- what does that license allow you to do?

A You have to have a licensure in I believe every state to become an appraiser and there's education required. I am licensed by the DPOR, which is the regulating body in Virginia, to teach the appraisal classes which will allow someone who successfully completes the course to sit for the license.

Q So if someone wants to become an appraiser, you're licensed to teach them on how to do that?

A I teach classes that will allow them to qualify for the exam -- to sit for the exam.

Q What types of courses do you teach that are related to real estate valuation?

A Real estate appraisal, real estate finance, and the principles course, as well.

Q What areas of professional research do you specialize in, sir?

A Most of my research has been focused on
pricing models, real estate pricing models, integration models. Really what that means is what are the factors that really drive home prices, whether it be a broker skill set, or a granite countertop, or an externality.

Q And for -- just as a reminder, sir, I'm just an attorney. So I may have to question you on some of the technical phrases you use.

You just used a phrase something called an externality. Could you briefly tell the Board what that is?

A It is -- appraisers refer to it as external obsolescence. What it is is anything that impacts value that cannot be controlled by the homeowner.

Q As part of your professional work, do you -do you give presentations or speak at any professional organizations?

A Multiple times a year.
I just recently presented at the American Real Estate and Urban Economics conference in San Francisco. I've had several papers on the American Real Estate Society agenda, which is in April in Denver. Also, on two separate occasions I was an invited speaker to speak at the National

Appraisal Institute conference, which Mr. MaRous referred to as sort of the governing body for appraisers, and it's a well-respected institute.

Q Have you had the opportunity to publish or author any books in this field?

A I am a coauthor for Real Estate Finance text, as well as an investment text.

Q What are the titles of those?
A Real Estate Finance is the full title of the first one, and the second one, it's Investment Decision Analysis -- I'm not -- that's not probably not absolutely correct but Investment Decision Analysis something-something.

Q In part of your experience have you published any papers or articles in this area?

A I have probably 30 or so articles that have been published and probably hundreds that have been presented, including four papers that were published in The Appraisal Journal, which one again referring to Mr. MaRous' comment about it being a definitive source for practitioners in the real estate appraisal area.

Q And I think when you're referring -- we heard on Tuesday from Mr. MaRous that you were the
coauthor of published research into the effects of substance abuse treatment centers on property values. Correct?

A Correct.
Q How did it come about that that article was published?

A That article was presented three years ago in April at the American Real Estate conference in an audience much like this. Someone came up to me after the presentation and said -- and I believe it was Norm Miller, who is the editor of the Journal of Sustainable Real Estate, but I wouldn't swear to that. It was somebody affiliated with the journal that said, "Hey, why don't you submit this to our journal." This is a different way to look at sustainable real estate because you have to have a well-functioning real estate market in order for it to be a sustainable market. So we submitted it to the journal.

Q Now, on Tuesday you were present in the audience; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you heard Mr. MaRous testify, and I think it was a fair characterization of that
testimony that he didn't agree with the math behind your article. Is that correct?

A He did not.
Q As part of the publication process, was the research and math behind the results vetted or tested by outside peer groups?

A Peer reviewed -- it is peer-reviewed research. So, yes, it was probably reviewed by three different reviewers, as well as the editor of the journal for correctness and conciseness. And it is more than fair to say that if it was a simple math as Mr. MaRous had suggested, it would have been caught in the review process by these well trained PhD's.

Q Now, as the -- to give a little bit of the background and history of that article that was published, what data did you review?

A Analyst conduction data which comes from the central Virginia area. It probably has 40 or so different characteristics or variables, if you will, square footage, paved driveway, garage, granite countertops, hardwood floors, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, 200-plus observations that we had, including the address of the properties which
allowed us to calculate distances from each
individual property to the externality, in this case a rehabilitation center.

Q And how many years of data did you review as part of that study?

A A decade or so.
Q So roughly 10 years?
A 10 years, 10,11 years.
Q And how many transactions were included in that?

A 200-plus thousand.
Q Is that sample size important in the statistical world?

A Yes, it is. I mean, from a statistical perspective when you have a large data set, it ensures that some statistical properties that are necessary to run a regression, which is a formality, it ensures that you have those statistical characteristics that are valid. Whereas, with a smaller sample you very well could have skewed data, skewed results.

Q Now, you heard Mr. MaRous say that there was a major flaw in the math because if you subtracted something from the other thing, that simply didn't
make your results correct. Is that true?
A That's the paraphrase.
Q Can you explain to the Board why you believe in your expert opinion why Mr. MaRous' efforts to explain that were incorrect?

A Well, as I --
MR. BROWN: I'm going to make an objection because that's giving him what's in Mr. MaRous' mind, and that is not subject to -- he's not a psychologist or anything. It's subject to his opinion.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Can you rephrase the question, Kevin?

MR. CARRARA: Sure.
Q Dr. Waller, you heard Mr. MaRous say there was a simple math formula that he used to determine the error in your underlying facts. Can you explain how his use of that simple formula was incorrect?

A Well, as I already alluded to, if it was so simple, it would have been caught in the review process.

But Mr. MaRous was suggesting you could take one equation that had been run and then run another equation and just sort of do the math between the
the two.
To try to give an example, let's say that we're looking at the value of properties based on the number of white-collar business professionals that live within an eighth of a mile. We run this regression and it comes back 8 percent using the same numbers from the study.

Then we say, okay, let's just look at doctors. We run the same regression; it comes back 17 percent. Well, based on the MaRous mathematics, that would mean that only doctors had any impact on the value of the neighborhoods.

What about the other business professionals? What about professors; what about dentists; what about lawyers; what about CEOs? It's just ludicrous.

Once again, if the math was so simple it would have been caught. It is just absolutely incorrect the application that Mr. MaRous tried to apply.

Q Is it fair to say that in a regression analysis he was questioning that there are numerous external factors that you were using as part of the study to determine what that impact potentially may
or may not be; correct?
A That's right.
Q And all those externalities and all the different properties play into the results of the formula?

A That's correct.
Q So what you're saying is you can't simply just try to isolate the end result, subtract it from another end result, without taking into consideration all the other factors that were within the regression analysis?

A That is correct.
Q So from a clarification standpoint, I think you heard Mr. MaRous testify that your report determined there was a certain level of impact. What was that level of impact?

A For properties located within an eighth of a mile there was an 8 percent impact.

Q And then you heard Mr. MaRous also said there was a flaw in your report because in this rural area of Kane County there in his opinion weren't any properties within an eighth of a mile?

A That's correct.
Q Does the person who represents -- excuse me --
who retained you, the Andrzejewskis, does their property directly abut the Maxxam facility?

A It does.
Q So it directly abuts the Maxxam facility. Is it within the eighth mile?

A I would say that's simple math, yes.
Q There's properties to the south of the facility, also, isn't there?

A Yes.
Q Did you review an aerial map and take some dimensions from the Maxxam facility to those properties?

A I did. To the left of the -- to the left south of the property it's about 1100 feet, but closer to the center right of the property there are properties located within 660 feet, which is an eighth of a mile property line to property line.

Q Now, in terms of the applications of distances, are applications of distances in an urban environment as compared to a rural environment exactly the same?

A No. The literature is -- there are lots of factors that $g o$ on with externalities, and this particular property really touches on several of them.

It's rural and the literature shows that rural areas tend to have larger impacts because in a rural area people are much more likely to consider somebody 2 or 3 miles away as a neighbor. Whereas, in urban areas somebody that's two blocks away wouldn't be considered a neighbor. So there's a lot more informal communication in rural areas than urban areas.

Another factor that the literature points to is the closeness. The closer you are to an externality, say the rehab center, the larger the impact is going to be on the property.

A third factor, the literature shows that properties that are higher income, i.e., they're not low-income properties, they tend to be impacted more severely than lower income properties.

And, finally, the literature plainly shows as the size of the externality increases, i.e., the proposed facility, the impact on the neighboring or nearby properties increases, as well.

So there are several factors here taken directly from the literature on externalities that would impact property values in and around this proposed facility.

Q Now, in your report that -- excuse me -your article that was published you came to the conclusion that there was an 8 percent impact on the properties; correct?

A Within an eighth of a mile, yes, sir.
Q So how would you extrapolate that 8 percent to being a substantial impact on property values, if you could, sir?

A Well, obviously, every population will determine what the impact is going to be, and each population is different.

But the gentleman I think it was on Tuesday who stood up and was talking about his property value and how it may be used for retirement, you know, a 5 percent -- say it's a $\$ 300,000$ home, 8 percent is $\$ 24,000$. Someone on a fixed income -even if you're not on a fixed income, I think that's a lot of money. If you do 5 percent it's 15,000.

For most Americans, Chicago or elsewhere, their home is their largest single investment. So it is a significant amount of money for everyone.

Q So is it fair to say -- excuse me. Let me ask just ask you this question directly.

What is your expert opinion based on your
research that you conducted on the impact of the Maxxam facility on surrounding property values?

A I believe that there will be between an 8 and 17 percent impact. The closer you are to the proposed facility, the larger the impact. The more expensive the property is close to the facility, the larger the impact. And as I already said, the larger the facility, the larger the impact. So depending on property values, that could be significant for higher-priced properties.

Q I think that's the first time this evening you mentioned the higher scale, the 17 percent impact; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q Now, is the basis in your report of that 17 percent based on facilities that treat opiate and heroin addiction?

A That is correct.
Q Is it your understanding that the Maxxam facility wants to and plans on treating heroin and opiate addiction at that facility as part of its detoxing?

A That is my understanding, yes.
Q Is it also your understanding that as part
of the treatment for opiate addictions, whether that's heroin or others, that one of the commonly used drugs that are used is methadone?

A That is my understanding, yes.
Q Is it fair to say, then, that you also have an opinion on the potential impact of the Maxxam facility and its methadone detox issues as to surrounding property values?

A I do.
Q What is that opinion?
A I think that as with any externality, risk and/or perceived risk of a facility is going to impact value significantly because of the stigma effect. And I think properties that are located closer to the facility, within a mile are probably going to get hit 15 to 17 percent. Farther from the facility decreasing in amount but still as far out as 3 miles could be impacted in terms of property values.

Q Now, you reviewed -- let me take a step back. Did you have any other opinions based on that research on the impact of the Maxxam facility on the neighbors' surrounding property values?

A I will follow up and once again refer to the
risk or the perceived risk. The literature is very clear that whether it's based on logic or scientific reasoning is irrelevant; it's the perception of risk that is involved, and it is my opinion that there will be quite a few homeowners, typical homeowners that will be concerned about this location of this facility.

I believe there will be a stigma associated with it, and when people are coming to town to look at properties, it is likely to drive prices down, which could have a compounding effect on the community. As prices go down, quality of the neighborhood will go down and it's just a process.

Q Is it fair to say, sir, that the research and the writings of your published article are based solely on the results of your analysis of the data and not any of the impacts or perceived impacts of the fair housing laws or any of the other issues that are out there?

A That is correct.
Q So when you're talking -- when you use the word "stigma" or the externality and the perceived risk of this facility, you're talking based on the pricing, not on any of the other unrelated issues of
the Fair Housing Act or any of the ADA or disability issues?

A I'm talking about the perceived risk of the homeowners, of being fearful of what might happen to them or their family.

Q As part of your work, did you also review the report that was prepared by the MaRous Group?

A I did.
Q Do you have a professional opinion on the MaRous report?

A I do.
Q What is that opinion?
A Mr. MaRous suggested there would be no impact on pricing of nearby properties and I do not agree.

Q Let's -- let's work through the MaRous report.

Do you agree with his selection of the facility as a basis for his report?

A No. The mere fact that it doesn't exist I think is a major flaw in his assessment.

Q Does the type of facility also have an impact on your opinion?

A It is my understanding that is a youth
facility. So when we talk about perceived risk, I would say a typical homeowner would be less fearful of a youth facility than an adult facility that is treating heroin addicts.

Q Did you hear anything in Mr. MaRous' testimony on positive externalities when he was discussing his opinion?

A I certainly did. Mr. MaRous I think correctly so identified the country club as being a positive externality. It's located right across the street from where the -- what is it? -- Park Ridge facility was located. And, also, there is what appears to be a nice lovely park to the northeast part of that neighborhood, as well.

Q Now, the MaRous report also used what type of an analysis to support his decision?

A A matched-pair analysis.
Q Can you briefly explain what a matched-pair analysis is to the Board?

A In theory a matched-pair analysis takes two properties that are virtually identical with the exception of one characteristic, and in Mr. MaRous' assessment that one characteristic was the location of one of the properties being in close proximity to
the Park Ridge facility.
I think if you were to ask 100 appraisers, they would all agree that in theory this is a great concept, but in practice it's almost impossible to do that. I mean, even two properties located side by side are not identical with the exception of one feature.

Q Now, earlier we were talking about your research and the 200 -and-some-thousand data points or transactions you looked at, and you said sample size is important. What sample size is Mr. MaRous using?

A In each matched pair you only have two, the subject and a comparable property, and it's chosen by the investigator or the appraiser, and it's very, very subjective in terms of which properties are chosen.

That area seems to be quite densely populous, so there were multiple properties which could have been chosen to do a matched-pair analysis, and, obviously, there could have been more extensive matched-pair analysis comparison. Instead of these three, he could have done 10 or 15.

Q Were there any other problems when you
looked at the underlying specific matched pairs that Mr. MaRous used?

A Well, there were -- there were multiple characteristic differences between the subject property and the comparable property. In some cases 1800 -- I'm pulling from memory but I think one property was 1800 square feet larger than the comparable party. That's not a good comparison in my opinion.

To illustrate the subjectivity of this process, when $I$ teach real estate appraisal, I assign a residential appraisal to all my students. I assign the same property to one student and ask them to value this property at the lowest possible value that you can based on the comparable properties that you can find in the area, but you better be willing to -- you better be able to justify to a governing body how you selected these comps.

I assign the exact same property to another student, ask them to value it as high as they can based on the comparable properties, but they better be able to defend their selection of comps to a governing body.

In the area in which Longwood University is
located probably the average house is 200,000; I don't know. But you will have differences between the high and the low $\$ 100,000$ plus, and it is well founded based on the comparables that they choose.

You can find a property that's the same size, on the same street, or has the same brick exterior. It's easy to find that. And then you start adjusting for the differences. It is an opinion. It is an appraiser's opinion as to how the adjustments are made and it is quite subjective.

I mean, the appraisal industry got a pounding in the 2007/2008 economic and financial crisis. They were pounded pretty hard for their exaggerated valuations.

Q You also mentioned, I think that the Park Ridge facility was in a densely located area. Is that comparable to the proposed location of the Maxxam facility?

A No. That's exactly correct; it is not comparable because of it being a youth facility -or it was a youth facility, as well as the confounding impact of the country club. Mr. MaRous was correct; it did have an impact on those property values located more closely, but he didn't adjust
for it, not that $I$ saw in the report. There were no adjustments made for the country club, the park, or any other externalities.

Q And then, finally, $I$ believe the third matched-pair analysis that Mr. MaRous performed was located near the proposed Maxxam facility; isn't that correct?

A Yes. Yes.
Q And do you have any concerns with that valuation that was performed by Mr. MaRous?

A The property that Mr. MaRous used as the subject property was on the market only eight days based on the research that I did, suggesting that -you know, I'm not suggesting that he knew the value of the property when he wrote the report, but a property that was only on the market eight days you don't have to wait too long before you do know the value of the property.

But I would have no reason to suspect there would be an impact. There is no negative externality there currently.

Q And do you know when Mr. MaRous conducted that analysis?

A Of the property to the south?

Q Yes -- excuse me, sir -- south of the Maxxam facility. Is that what you're referring to?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A I do not recall.
Q Okay.
A I do not recall. I'm sorry.
Q Did you hear Mr. MaRous testify that the basis for his use of those properties was based on the -- I believe he used a phrase the public awareness of the Maxxam facility?

A Yes, I did hear.
Q Do you agree that that was a viable externality to use in this analysis?

A I do not for a couple of reasons. One, I've already alluded to the fact that for the individual homeowner it is typically their largest single investment. Real estate does not operate in a fluid market like the stock market does. If you want to sell your property, you just can't go out and put it on the NASDAQ and it transacts overnight. It is
very liquid; real estate market is very liquid.
Also, as we've discussed, the gentleman that was going to use it for retirement, you don't want to
sell your asset when property values are low and have to lose the equity that you have in the property.

Q In your opinion, taking into consideration the MaRous report, and his selection of the sites, and the data points, do you believe that is a fair characterization of the impacts of the Maxxam facility on surrounding property values?

A Let me try and understand the question. Do I believe Mr. MaRous' valuation of the properties?

Q Sure. I apologize, Mr. Waller. That was a bad question. Let me try to ask it to you again.

Do you believe that the MaRous opinion that there will not be a negative impact on surrounding property values is accurate?

A I do not. I do think there will be an impact on property values. And, more specifically, I think the four roads, northeast roads, east/west roads seems to be about 1.7 miles east to west and about 1 mile north to south that sort of encompasses this facility, the forest land, and other properties, as well. I think that area will probably be hit the hardest, probably in 10 to 15 percent range. MR. KINNALLY: Mr. Chairman, point of order. Excuse me for interrupting.

Could we know what the witness is reading from during his testimony? He appears to be reading from something.

THE WITNESS: Would you like a copy?
MR. KINNALLY: I just want to know what it is.
THE WITNESS: This is all 100 percent included
in my report.
MR. CARRARA: And I was just going to get to that, Mr. Kinnally, if I may.

MR. KINNALLY: I'm sorry.
BY MR. CARRARA:
Q Sir, did you prepare a written report as part of your work for us?

A Yes, sir, I did.

MR. CARRARA: If I may, Mr. Chairman, can I pass out copies of the report?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Yes, please.
Q Is this your -- what $I$ just handed out to everybody, that your written report you prepared?

A It is.
Q Did you sign that report, sir?
A I did.
Q Is your curriculum vitae or your résumé as it is commonly known, is that also included in the
report?

A Yes, it is.
Q Is the information that Mr. Kinnally was
referencing to, your talking points, is that all
contained within your report that's given to
the Board?

A Yes. In much more detail than what I have here.

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I ask that the expert's report be entered into the record. It's listed as Exhibit A11.
(Exhibit A11 marked for identification
and attached to the transcript.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is there a motion?
MEMBER STOVER: So moved.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Ms. Stover, seconded by Mr. Heinrich. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
MR. CARRARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
BY MR. CARRARA:
Q Dr. Waller, getting back to where we were,

Mr. MaRous testified, I think somewhat extensively yesterday that he thought the reference to the methadone clinics in your report didn't equate or would not equate to the methadone treatment that the Maxxam facility will be doing; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Is it your opinion, sir, that even if you were to take out and accept Mr. MaRous' connotation that there wouldn't be a 17 percent potential impact but it was just the 8 percent impact, do you still consider that to be a substantial impact on surrounding property values?

A I do.
MR. BROWN: I'm going to object. I think he just mischaracterized Mr. MaRous' conclusion. You can refer to your own memory in regards to that, but that was not his testimony.

MEMBER STOVER: His testimony was 0 to 1.
MR. BROWN: Thank you. That's what I
heard, too.
MR. CARRARA: And that was after the math that he tried to perform; correct?

MR. BROWN: Just so we can move on. His math was good. It's just his conclusions we disagreed
with. Thank you.
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further of the witness, and I tender him to the Board and others for examination.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Do the Board members have any questions at this time?

MEMBER STOVER: I do.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Go ahead, Ms. Stover.
First of all, you talked about Mr. MaRous' math when he took the methadone clinics out of there because there were 36 looked at, and then there were 18 of them which is exactly half, and he had a math equation that he did to get down to that 0 to 1.

So you disagreed with his math. What would your math be?

THE WITNESS: In order to correctly consider only the nonmethadone clinics, you would reestimate the model and control for the nonmethadone clinics. It would be another regression equation that would be estimated in order to --

MEMBER STOVER: Do you know what that equation -- I mean, could you enlighten us? Because you had talked about external things when you were talking about housing that were wild and varied,
but when we're talking about drug and alcohol
treatment with or without methadone clinics, that's two very specific things with not much that's very widely different.

So what would your math equation be?
THE WITNESS: Well, I would have to estimate it. I don't have a computer and data with me here in Chicago but that could be estimated.

MEMBER STOVER: Another question. You said you taught appraisal. How often are you out in the field appraising?

THE WITNESS: I'm not a field appraiser.
MEMBER STOVER: Okay. And you also talked about appraisers being very different and subjective in their opinions from one to the other. As a realtor for 21 years, that's not been my experience in the last 5 years or 10 years since the downfall. Their guidelines in the state of Illinois are really extraordinarily strict.

I'm talking about not being able to go over a highway and have to use -- they have to bracket; they have to have one higher, one lower; they have to have some in the same area; they have to -- so many foreclosures they have to use.

So I see appraisers come in really, really, really close to each other around here because of the State laws and what it's become. Is it different where you're from maybe?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe it is.
But I would still suggest to you that in a populous area there are plenty of comparables from which to select above and below, and I would also suggest that $I$ don't believe -- nobody, whether -- if you were a broker, I'm sure you did DPOs and competitive market analysis, but no two brokers would have the same opinion of value.

MEMBER STOVER: They were pretty close. I would say they're pretty close.

THE WITNESS: Well, it also suggests that most appraisals in the past come within 1 percent of the asking price.

MEMBER STOVER: I don't know if that's true in the last 10 years since the bubble burst, but we could argue that all night long.

You said, also, that you thought -- you kept referring to literature. The literature says about rural, the literature says, the literature says. What literature were you talking about?

THE WITNESS: The externality literature. I think I have about 40 reports referenced in my report or studies that talk about externalities.

To give an example of some, power lines, landfills, drug rehab facilities, sex offenders. As a broker, sex offenders, that's something that has really gotten a lot of attention lately in the real estate field because it is an externality that impacts home value, and the homeowner can do nothing about it.

MEMBER STOVER: Okay. Then, also, you mentioned about a rural area being very different as far as how far it would be from a facility, and you mentioned because these are neighbors that know each other or, you know, are closer because of the nature of being out there. I'm just wondering, so how far out in a rural area would you say then is affected by this facility that's right there as it pertains to the sale of the home only?

THE WITNESS: I believe that properties within a mile are going to be severely impacted by value.

MEMBER STOVER: How many times in your career have you done appraisals on similar facilities in rural areas in the United States?

THE WITNESS: Well, zero.
MEMBER STOVER: Okay. Just a couple more.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MEMBER STOVER: You mentioned the size of
the property having an impact. Did you mean the size of the property in acreage or amount of beds?

THE WITNESS: Both.
MEMBER STOVER: Okay. No further questions.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Any other Board members have questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Petitioner.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER BY MR. KOLB:

Q Good evening, Mr. Waller.
We understand that the exercise here is for each of the opposing viewpoints to present an expert and to let the Zoning Board weigh the credibility of the experts' opinions.

You looked at Mr. MaRous' background as part of his expert report?

A I did.
Q And you saw that he had performed $\$ 50$ billion worth of primary real estate investment appraisals?

A I don't recall. But if you're looking for me to say his vitae is impressive, it is.

Q Mr. MaRous has performed real estate appraisals all over Illinois, including many properties around Interstate 355, the O'Hare International Airport expansion, Midway International Airport expansion, McCormick Place expansion, and I think he was embarrassed to admit that he had 40 years' experience in our community.

Are you a licensed real estate appraiser in Illinois?

A I am not.

Q Have you ever appraised as a licensed real estate appraiser any piece of property in our state?

A No, I have not.
Q And that would include our county?
A It would include your state.
Q I'm a resident of Kane County. Have you ever appraised any property in our county?

A I believe Kane County is in Illinois, so no.

Q Now, you teach. You're an academic; correct?

A I am.

Q When is the last time you performed a real estate appraisal as an appraiser anywhere as a
licensed real estate appraiser?
A For a fee $I$ haven't.
Q Do you currently hold a license as a real estate appraiser?

A Not as an appraiser but as an instructor of appraisal.

Q So there are those that do and those that teach.

A That's what the saying says.
(Murmurs from the audience.)
Q In your opinion, in your opinion you authored two texts that you thought were relevant, and I think you indicated one text was related to finance, and the other was related to investment decision analysis; correct?

A That's correct.
Q You coauthored those texts?
A I did.
Q What are the names of those texts?
A Real Estate Finance with Kolbe, Greer, and Waller, and Investment Decision Analysis with Kolbe, Greer, and Waller.

Q What sections did you author of those texts?
A I worked on the entire text on both, no
particular section.
Q Now, there's a misconception that MaRous believes there's a mathematical error in your report. Is that your understanding, that he believes there's a mathematical miscalculation in the report that you have submitted?

A I don't believe he considered it to be a mathematical miscalculation. He just tried to do some backdoor math to prove that there would be no impact.

Q So am I correct -- help me understand this. There are 36 facilities that were studied in your report. And by the report I'm referring to the report entitled "Not In My Back Yard," a theme we hear, "The Effect of Substance Abuse Treatment Facilities on Property Values." That's the report I'm referring to.

A Yes.
Q And there were 36 facilities studied with that report; correct?

A I believe that's correct.
Q And half of those facilities were methadone facilities?

A About half. I don't have that in front of
me but --
Q Am I correct that Table 80 -- excuse me -Table 80 on page -- Exhibit 4 on page 80, rather, did I misinterpret that that page indicates a negative 17.4 percent diminution of value with respect to the methadone clinics studied in your study?

A I don't have it in front of me but that sounds correct, yes.

Q Would you like to see it?
A No.
Q Okay. And am I correct that your report shows that of the remaining half of the facilities there is less than 1 percent of a diminution of value?

A No, that is not correct.
Q Am I correct that the entire diminution of value for all 36 facilities was a negative 8 percent?

A 7.7, I believe, to be precise.
Q But out of that 7.7 percent, those that represented methadone clinics pulled the value down by 17.4 percent; correct?

A The properties located near the methadone facilities were more severely impacted.

Q Were my numbers correct in that question?
A Your numbers were correct.
Q Now, I assume you walked the property where the proposed facility is to be located.

A I have not.
Q Are you generally familiar with walking the surrounding property around the facility?

A I am not familiar with it, no.
Q So do you still feel comfortable giving the opinion regarding the diminution in value without having seen the property?

A I -- yes, I am.

MR. BROWN: I'm going to just ask real quick while he's looking at that.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER BY MR. BROWN:

Q You would agree that when you make a presumption that leads to a conclusion and your presumptions are important has a direct relationship to your conclusion? Would you agree with that?

A Generally speaking, yes.
Q So on page 64 of your report you stated that in these types of clinics, "People frequently leave or administratively are discharged before successful
completion." Did you write that?
A I referenced --
MR. KINNALLY: Point of order. What page 6
and what exhibit, please?
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
MR. KINNALLY: Are we talking about J21, or
are we talking about his report that was just
identified by Mr. Kolb?
MR. BROWN: I believe it's J21, "Not In My
Back Yard."

MR. KINNALLY: Thank you. I just wanted to
get on the same page with you. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
MR. KINNALLY: It's okay.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: What page?
MR. BROWN: Page 64.
MR. KINNALLY: Exhibit J21, Mr. Chairman.
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, if he's going to be asked specific questions, would the witness like a copy of the report?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Would you like a copy?

THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Can someone provide him with a copy?

Is that the correct document that you're looking at, Mr. Waller?

THE WITNESS: Is it the article that you're referencing?

BY MR. BROWN:
Q Directing your attention to the third paragraph, first sentence where it says, "One consequence of locating drug and alcohol rehabilitation centers in residential areas is that patients in substance abuse treatment programs frequently leave or are administratively discharged before successful completion"; correct? Is that what you wrote?

A Yes -- well, I am paraphrasing Schaefer 2012.
Q But is that one of the presumptions you used in making this report? Because that's on the first page. Is that a presumption that you're using in your conclusions?

A That is -- this is a factor but not a unique factor.

Q So when you use that factor, was that the assumption that when people leave these clinics, they just walk right outside there?

A No such assumption, no, sir.

Q What is your assumption for that sentence?
A I'm referencing the literature of Schaefer.
Q Okay. So does it make a difference to you that the people when they would leave this particular clinic are not allowed just to walk off, that they are taken in a car and taken to another location and not anywhere around? True? I mean, is that a factor?

A It is not a factor.
Q Why is it not a factor for you to consider?
A I believe that it is the stigma, the fear that the homeowners associate with the facility.

Q Do you feel like a facility like a hospital like Mayo Clinic has a negative impact on residential values, Rush Hospital in the Chicago?

A I have no opinion about Rush Hospital in Chicago, but commercial facilities many times do have a negative externality on residential properties.

Q All right. So much of this is based upon perceptions even though they may not be true?

A That is true.
Q Another -- in that same paragraph you stated that, "Families will often not offer any form of financial support, and the addict will have no way --
have to fend for himself or herself." You stated that -- that's in there and you're taking that from another report, but the fact that this is a forprofit and is considered what would be a high end for people who would have substantial resources, that -- in other words, the type of clinic we're talking about here, that would not apply for that sentence; would you agree with me?

A I cannot put myself into the typical homeowner's body and assume how they feel about it.

Q Excuse me. That's not what I'm asking. My question is that presumption you made which led to your conclusion, do you agree with that? I'm just asking you, is that the type of -do you consider that factor when reviewing this facility?

A I would think the more safe the typical homeowner feels, the less likely of an impact.

Q That's not my question. Do you want me to read it back? I can have the court reporter read it back. I mean, I'd like you just to answer my question.

A Okay. Why don't you try it again. MR. BROWN: Will the court reporter read it
back, please?
MR. KINNALLY: Well, that ought to be directed to the Chairman.

MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. You are so
right. I would ask the Chairman that the court reporter read it back.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm going to ask you to rephrase your question so the witness better understands what you're trying to get to.

MR. BROWN: Okay. I will go back to it. BY MR. BROWN:

Q When I read the sentence, "In other words, the family will often not offer any form of financial support, and the addict will have no -- will have to fend for himself or herself," the report states that; correct? Do you find that to be a factor in your analysis of this facility understanding that it is a for-profit, not taking any public aid, any other type of resources, and it's a for-profit which has been looked at as a high-end facility? Is that a factor that you would consider?

A No.
Q Thank you.
You also talked about -- I'm going to go to the
next sentence. "In addition to having a substance abuse disorder and possibly dual diagnosis, those who relapse and leave treatment prior to completion often have limited job skills and perhaps even a criminal record, factors that may make employment a challenge." That's your next sentence.

A I would like to clear up, this is --
Q Just a second -- I'm sorry -- I'll rephrase it. That's a sentence that's in your report?

Yes or no, please.
A This is an academic paper.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me. I would like the chairman to have him just answer the question. He'll have an attorney that can bring this back up. CHAIRMAN WHITE: He just asked if --

Q It calls for a yes or no. Is that in your report?

A It is in my academic paper.
Q All right. Is that a factor that you considered in making your opinions here today?

A No.
Q So then when it gets to the next sentence, "Plus, as a practical matter, nearby neighbors may have valid concerns that the presence of a treatment
center will be accompanied by additional unemployment or even homeless addicts on the street near the area in which the treatment center is located. This perception of elevated risk in these areas may then be reflected in the marketplace or nearby real estate." Did you write that, sir? Yes or no, that's all I'm asking.

A It is in my academic paper.
Q Would you also agree with me that since this is not an outpatient facility, and this is also a facility in which when people want to leave they're going to be given a car to leave the premises that that sentence is not applicable to this property?

A If those factors are correct, yes, I agree. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER BY MR. KOLB:

Q Dr. Waller, you are aware that the standard for a special use is that the special use "will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of the property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted nor substantially diminish or impair properties within the neighborhood," and it's your testimony here that there will be an impairment of property values between 8 and 17 percent, and you
believe, although contrary to your data, that that's substantial.

Can I ask whether you've heard this statement before: "While a number of neighboring property owners have testified as to their concern that property values will be diminished, the weight of the evidence from the competent market analysis is to the contrary. One realtor, who is a neighbor, testified that the facility would negatively reflect property values and their ability to sell homes. However, this plan commission finds that the weight of the evidence is directly to the contrary." Have you ever heard of that finding before?

A I do not recognize that, no.
Q Have you ever reviewed the findings of the plan commission of the Village of Campton Hills when they determined the same exact standard?

A No, I have not.
Q Were you aware that Campton Hills in reviewing this matter found no diminishment of impact on property values?

MR. CARRARA: I would object because Campton Hills has not done anything but object to the Maxxam facility. That was a prior facility by prior
operators that's not related.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: I would agree with that.
You just read some documentation from someplace.

What was your source?
MR. CARRARA: It was a text from his client, I believe.

MR. KOLB: It was the minutes from the plan commission produced by the Village of Campton Hills in FOIA responses.

MR. BROWN: We would be glad to make that part of the record at a later point so you can read what the findings were.

MR. KOLB: The purpose of my question was to determine if he factored Campton Hills into his analysis.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Campton Hills as a village?
MR. KOLB: The findings as a prior
applicant.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: I don't think that has any standing here today. Move on with your questions.

MR. BROWN: Brief moment.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER BY MR. BROWN:

Q When you made your opinions as to the eighth
of a mile, you were talking property lines, not building structures; correct -- or actually, you don't know anything about how far the building structures are on this property away from other homes; is that fair to say?

A That's not fair to say. I've used GIS to determine within a relative very close degree of accuracy that the property building to building as well as property lines.

Typically, to answer your question, though, geocodes are typically centered in the -- centered to the property in the center of the property.

Q Well, can you tell me for certain that when you did the geocode that that was where -- because this is a 120-acre piece of property; correct?

A That's correct.
Q It's not a big square or big circle; true?
A Yes. That's correct.
Q And since you've never -- I know you said you've stated you've never been out there. You don't even have an opinion, then, as is to if there are other properties that can see the property from their home? You have no way to say yes or no to that question, correct, if they can see the
buildings from their homes?
A They're -- in preparing for this I read that some of the homes from the second story could see the facility. I don't recollect exactly what
document that was, but I've not been out there and walked the property.

Q Okay. But in your own personal observations, you have nothing that you could say -- that's based upon something that you read; correct?

A Personal observation I have not.
Q All right. So when you do the measurement, though, yours was not necessarily measuring from the building structures to another structure on the premises; is that fair to say?

A That is fair to say the geocodes are -- you take an address -- what is it? -- 41 W400 if I'm pulling from memory correctly, and you invert it to -or convert it to a geo latitude/longitude.

Q So, basically, you're just taking the center of the property from the wherever the site is at the center of the property was --

A That is --
Q -- and that's how you based your opinion, not going out there surveying this yourself; correct?

A I was not hired to survey the property.
Q You would agree that a park is a positive influence on a community?

A Typically.
Q And would you say that this facility has a parklike forest preserve-type atmosphere there? Well, it's on 120 acres; correct?

A It is.
Q And there are some parks that are smaller than 120 acres?

A Certainly, most are.
MR. BROWN: We have no further questions.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: All right. Thank you.
Does the County have any questions at this time?

MR. KINNALLY: I've got a couple with your permission.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Turn your mic on, Pat.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE COUNTY BY MR. KINNALLY:

Q Mr. Waller, my name is Pat Kinnally, and I appreciate you coming here tonight and giving us your opinion on this.

My first question is, did you look at

Poletti's report?
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, he's not here to opine on the Poletti report.

MR. KINNALLY: I didn't ask him that. I
asked him if he looked at it, not whether he had an opinion about it.

A I did.
Q Okay. And did you review it?
A I read it.
Q Why did you do that?
A It was in the material provided to me.
Q Okay. What other material was provided to you besides the Poletti report?

A The two main -- the complaint or the -- the alleged complaint from the lawyers, as well as MaRous and Poletti.

Q And were you able to analyze what type of model Poletti used in reaching his opinion in that report?

A Yes.
Q And can you tell the Board what type of model that was, Doctor?

MR. CARRARA: Again, Mr. Chairman, I object.
He's not here to testify as to the Poletti report,
and we stand on our motion to strike the Poletti report, and we don't want to get caught in a conflict where we have given testimony on a report that we're seeking to have stricken.

If Mr. Kinnally wants to have Mr. Poletti come here, he under the motion to compel could do that, and he could testify to that. Our expert is here only to opine on the two things he has testified to here today.

MR. KINNALLY: My purpose, Mr. Chairman, is not to ask him about Mr. Poletti's opinions. My purpose is to ask him about the model that Mr. Poletti used with respect to the opinions reached in the report which is already in evidence. MR. BROWN: Actually, if I may add this, too. The fact that he read it and it was for this purpose is subject to cross-examination. It was something that he considered. So that's fair game. Just because he wants to limit it -- it goes to the weight of his testimony. It's not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted as to the Poletti report.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'll allow the questioning, but keep it to the point.

MR. KINNALLY: I will.

BY MR. KINNALLY:
Q What type of model did they use, Doctor?
A Difference in means approach.
Q And is that called a hedonic model?
A It is not.
Q Is it called a retrogression model?
A No, it is not.
Q What model did you use in your report?
A I used a three-stage least squares regression model.

Q And that's the report that you testified about in your academic paper, J21; is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q You didn't prepare a report on this particular property like you did in your paper J21, did you?

A I don't understand.
Q Well, did you do a report with respect to data that you obtained like you did in the paper that you did, J21, for this particular facility?

A I did not include regression tables in the report.

Q Well, you didn't even retrieve any data like
the 200,000 properties that you referenced in the J21 report with respect to this facility; isn't that true?

A The proposed facility?
Q Yes.
A That is correct.
Q And as I understand your testimony, your testimony is with respect to Criterion B that this will have an adverse impact on the prices of real estate next to the facility. True?

A True.
Q And the other opinion you have is criticism of the MaRous report. Those are your two opinions; right?

A Yes.
Q You were retained in November. Tell the Board how much time you spent working on the report that you gave us tonight.

A I don't have a time sheet in front of me, but I would probably say close to 100 hours.

Q So from November up until tonight you spent approximately 100 hours in studying this problem; is that right?

```
    A Yes, sir.
```

Q And how much do you get paid for that?
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure that's appropriate.

MR. KINNALLY: It is appropriate.
MR. CARRARA: The other witnesses were not
asked to disclose their compensation.
MR. BROWN: Can I just say something? Can I weigh in on this?

The fact that they weren't asked doesn't make it not admissible. Anytime someone gets paid to do something, it goes to the credibility and weight. Because someone is being paid it means that they have a reason for --

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me. This is my objection.
MR. CARRARA: Sorry.
MR. BROWN: It goes to the weight and the fact that they were paid. You can consider that. It's not -- and it is something that is normally brought up for expert witnesses. The fact that they didn't ask the question does not mean it's not a good question.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm satisfied with the answer that he was paid to prepare the report. I
don't need to know an exact number.
MR. KINNALLY: All right. Thank you. One
final question.
BY MR. KINNALLY:
Q You did another report in 2010 with respect to the location of sex offenders in a residential area; is that true, sir?

A That is.
Q And in that report you used a similar model to the model you employed in the report that you did -- or the academic paper that you did, J21; is that right, sir?

A Correct.
Q And in the report in 2010, which is called "Estimating the Effect of Crime Risk on Property Values and Time on Market: Evidence From Megan's Law in Virginia" --

MR. KINNALLY: Which I have a copy of for the Commission if you want it.

Q -- your conclusion was that there was an 8 percent diminution in value with respect to the properties within $1 / 8$ th of a mile of where a sex offender lived; is that true?

A I would -- I cannot recall from memory.

Q All right. Well, let me read this to you.
A 10.3 percent sticks out in my mind.
Q Well, let me -- I'm sorry this is not -I'll give you a copy of it. MR. KINNALLY: Could you give him that, please?

These pages are not numbered, so I'm sorry, but it's Conclusion No. 8, if you'll turn to that with me, please. It's about that far down, Doctor. CHAIRMAN WHITE: And what is this document that you're reading from, Mr. Kinnally?

MR. KINNALLY: The document is called
"Estimating the Effect of Crime Risk on Property
Values and Time on Market: Evidence From Megan's Law in Virginia." It's on his curriculum vitae, and I believe it's his third article, and this is from the SSRN Electronic Journal May 2010 and it's called "Research."

BY MR. KINNALLY:
Q Do you have that conclusion?
A I do -- well, I'd like to point out that this was a working copy. This is not the final copy that was published in Real Estate Economics, which I believe was 2015, 2014 maybe.

Q Okay. Well, you wrote this; right?
A I was a coauthor.
Q Right. My question is pretty simple. You vouch for this; this is work that you did, no doubt, Doctor?

A Included in, yes.
Q Okay. My question is, did you make the following statement under Conclusion No. 8: "We estimate that a sex offender residence located within 0.1 mile lowers a nearby home's price but as much as 8 percent and lengthens its time on the market by 92 days on average"? Isn't that true what you wrote there?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q And that percentage, 8 percent is the same percentage that is the lower part of the diminution in value that you testified this facility will have on nearby residences in Kane County; isn't that true, Doctor?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell the Board if that is
coincidental or --
A I'd be glad to.
Q That's what I wanted to find out.

A This data set comes from a totally different MLS than the data that this property comes from.

Q Okay. And that's the difference?
A Well, I certainly -- I can't imagine you're questioning the credibility that we just made these numbers up --

Q I didn't ask you that, Doctor.
A -- and they're similar by coincidence.
Q I asked you why they were coincidental. I don't know. I didn't ask you to defend it. I just asked a question.

A The data is the data, and that is what we report. This is not story time; it's research. MR. KINNALLY: I understand it's not story time, Doctor. This is not story time here, either. Thank you. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN WHITE: Any Board members have any questions at this time?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN wHITE: Rebuttal, Mr. Carrara?
MR. CARRARA: Very briefly.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE OBJECTOR BY MR. CARRARA:

Q The study just handed to you, was that the
final published data numbers?
A It was not.
Q So the reference that Mr. Kinnally made was just a working draft, and the final numbers were different than that; correct?

A I do believe so, yes. MR. CARRARA: Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN WHITE: Any other questions? MEMBER STOVER: I had one. You didn't -you didn't speak to one thing that $I$ was hoping that you could speak to.

Do you know the difference between the stigma on a vacant, empty facility as it compares to a facility of a private drug rehabilitative --

THE WITNESS: I do not know the numbers. A vacant property that was run down, though, would be considered a negative externality.

MEMBER STOVER: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Any other questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is there anyone in the audience, unit of government that wishes to question this witness?
(No response.) have a question for the witness, sir.

MR. WILLARD: Yes.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'll allow one question.
MR. WILLARD: One question.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Go ahead.
MR. WILLARD: If you had -- if I had a home, let's say two-story home --

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Sir, you need to speak into the mic, and I need a name and an address, please.

MR. WILLARD: Jack Willard, 6N789 Longacre
Drive. I live approximately less than an eighth of a mile from the facility. Do I need to be sworn in?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: No. As long as it's a question, not an opinion.

MR. WILLARD: It's a question.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY AUDIENCE MEMBER
BY MR. WILLARD:
Q If I have a home and it's sitting there like one-eighth of a mile from this facility and there's another home that's 5 miles away identical to my house, someone is going to come and look at these houses -- and the same price. Which one is that guy going to take? Which one of you Board members would
take my house?
MR. BROWN: Can I just say that calls for speculation.

MR. WILLARD: That's right. But that's what
happens in this community.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Sir, you're excused.
MR. WILLARD: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Please go back to your seat.
MR. WILLARD: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Does the witness have an
answer to the question that was presented?
A It's a hypothetical that $I$ would like to avoid.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
Anyone else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: So if there's not other
questions from Board members, the witness is excused.
(Witness excused.)
/ / /
/ / /
/ / /
/ / /

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I've got about 25 after, and it's been requested that we take a break at this time so I'll go ahead and break. Let's take about probably a 10-minute break.
(Recess taken, 8:21 p.m. to 8:39 p.m.)
MR. KOLB: I'd like to apologize from a comment regarding teaching.

My wife is a music teacher. I teach at Elgin Community College, and the question didn't need to be asked. I apologize.
(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
We've got some business matters to take care of right at this moment before we get to more witnesses.

There was a notice of motion submitted by Mr. Carrara at the beginning of the hearing, and I'll take a motion at this time to place it on file.

MEMBER BOWEN: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Mr. Bowen.
MEMBER HEINRICH: Second.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Seconded by Mr. Heinrich. All those in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign. (No response.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
And then I'll turn the podium over to
Mr. VanKerkhoff. He has a statement to read from the sheriff's office.

MR. VAN KERKHOFF: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Yes, this is on request of our Kane County sheriff, Don Kramer.

Yesterday, Wednesday, January 27th, at 2:35 p.m. he sent me an e-mail copied to Chairman Lauzen and Division of Transportation Director Carl Schoedel regarding the Maxxam petition, and at his request $I$ 'm just going to read it verbatim into the record.
"Dear Mark, I've been following the public hearings on the Maxxam petition, and I believe I have to make my position as sheriff and public safety advocate known.
"My statement concerning Maxxam from an earlier e-mail, I see the facility" -- and I'm quoting his -- the text from that earlier e-mail -"I see the facility being used in a similar fashion as before focusing on helping remove a client from
their previous environment and assisting in their rehabilitation to become a better person. I do not foresee a rise in crime or call load at the facility that the sheriff's office would not be able to handle.
"This was narrowly worded to answer the direct question of sheriff's office capability in responding to calls for service at the Glenwood property. I related and still believe that the office is in the position to handle the call load.
"What is being addressed at the Maxxam public hearings is to what extent the call load will have on the sheriff's office resources. I believe the facility will require between 100 and 300 combined responses from police and EMS. The surrounding area will see an increase in emergency responses that in many cases will require 'lights and sirens.'
"The sheriff's office handles over 30,000 calls for service each year, and a 300-call increase is about a 1 percent increase.
"Once again, $I$ believe the sheriff's office has adequate resources to address the increase in call load, but the surrounding partners, Campton Hills PD, Kane County Forest Preserve police, and

Fox River Countryside Fire and Rescue also need to be considered as a piece of the equation in the response from public safety.
"I'm requesting that the above paragraph be entered into the record at the next public hearing. I also request that this e-mail be forwarded to Joe White. Sincerely, Donald D. Kramer, Sheriff of Kane County."

I did forward this to Chairman White, as well as to the petitioner and to Mr. Carrara. Today I realized that this had not been included from the sheriff to the jurisdictions noted in the e-mail, so

I forwarded this also on to the Village of Campton Hills, Fox River Countryside Fire Protection District, and the Kane County forest preserve district.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you. The Board members should all have a copy of the document. Is there a motion to place it on file?

MEMBER BOWEN: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Mr. Bowen.
MEMBER STOVER: Second.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Seconded by Ms. Stover.
All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
MR. BROWN: Can I just make a comment about the document?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: You may.
MR. BROWN: We do respect that the sheriff -especially where he stated that he has the ability to handle the calls here. But it should be noted -and obviously we've been talking about what weight you give certain evidence -- that there's no statistical basis, there's no real basis outside of the fact he said that number, and he is not here for cross-examination, and we would respectfully say that you should give it its appropriate weight as you look at the rest of the evidence in the record.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: So noted.
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Mr. Carrara, do you have another witness?

MR. CARRARA: I do, Mr. Chairman. I call Joseph Abel.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Please remain standing and
raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Please state your name and your relationship to this petition.

THE WITNESS: Joseph H. Abel, A-b-e-l, planning zoning and economic development consultant hired by the attorney to give testimony in this case.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
JOSEPH H. ABEL,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE OBJECTOR BY MR. CARRARA:

Q Mr. Abel, just for a point of clarification, you were retained not by my law firm; correct? You were retained by the Andrzejewskis?

A Yes.
Q Thank you.
A That's who the retainer went to.
Q Sir, where did you attend college?
A University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana.
Q And what is your degree in?
A City and regional planning with a minor in architecture.

Q Do you hold any professional designations?

A Did you want me to complete my education?
Q I'm sorry. Yes -- I apologize -- I was momentarily distracted.

A As I said, I have a degree in city and regional planning from University of Illinois with a minor in architecture. I've done graduate work at the University of Chicago in urban studies, and I completed the accreditation as an economic development professional which required four summers at the University of Oklahoma, plus a thesis.

So that is the extent of my education.
Q Thank you, Joe.
Do you hold any professional designations?
A I'm a member of the American Institute of Certified Planners.

Q And if you could for the Board, could you explain what that group is?

A The American Society of Certified Planners is the professional group for those professionals involved in city and regional planning, urban studies. Almost all planning and zoning-type issues either fall within a consulting formation in terms of employment or planners who are employed by government, either municipalities, counties, states, regions,
things of that nature.
Q Have you held any positions within that group?
A Yes. I've been president of the Chicago metro section of the American Certified Planners and also the Illinois state president for AICP.

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I'd
like to tender Mr. Abel's CV to the ZBA.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: That'd be fine. This is
Exhibit A8. Is there a motion to place this in
the file?
MEMBER CAMERON: I'll move.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Ms. Cameron,
seconded by Ms. Stover. All those in favor say aye. (Ayes heard.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign. (No response.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
(Exhibit A8 marked for identification and
retained by the Board.)
BY MR. CARRARA:
Q Joe, we've handed out your curriculum vitae, but can you briefly take us through your experience in the land planning arena?

A Yes. I started as a planner -- well, I
actually worked with the City of Chicago during summer programs when $I$ was in school. That was with the Chicago Community Conservation Board doing neighborhood conservation plans.

My first real employment was with the Lake County Regional Planning Commission in Waukegan, Illinois. I was there for approximately three years and worked on the first land use plan, transportation plan that was developed. Lake County is probably one of the forerunners in the development of county planning.

After leaving the Lake County Regional Plan Commission $I$ worked with the firm Carl Gardner \& Associates in Chicago, at that time probably the leading consulting firm in the Chicago area. Although, they did work throughout the United States, but I was primarily assigned to Illinois.

I started specializing because I had been with DuPage -- I mean with Lake County. I was put in charge of county planning but also did municipal work, and I prepared the first comprehensive plans and zoning ordinances for Grundy County, Iroquois, Kankakee County, and Kendall County. I also did a number of municipal projects, including Gary,

Deerfield, Fox River Grove, Morris, Illinois.
I was on the design team actually that prepared the plan for Paul Butler for the community of Oak Brook. So our firm was the land use planner that put the whole plan together for the Village of Oak Brook, and I think most of you are familiar with that successful plan as it is today.

I think based on the work that $I$ did in Oak Brook and other municipalities and counties, in 1970 I was asked to leave the firm and interview -leave to take the job with DuPage County. DuPage County went through a program at the time where they were the fastest growing county in the state of Illinois and the second fastest growing in the country. They needed to develop a plan and a new zoning ordinance. They interviewed a number of people, and $I$ was fortunate enough to be selected.

So I stayed with DuPage County for 17 years.
I started heading the planning department, but by the end of the 17 years $I$ was in charge of the county development department which included approximately 40 staff, and I was in charge of planning, building, zoning, economic development, community development block grant, and transportation planning totally
under my control as the director of the county development department. I also served as the director of the DuPage County Regional Planning Commission, and $I$ was also secretary to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Then in '87 I was contacted by the Harold Washington administration and asked to head the new economic development commission for the City of Chicago. I was with the economic development commission for five years, partially under Mayor Washington and partially under Mayor Orr.

After that -- I guaranteed three years and I stayed five from '87 to '92. In '92 I started my own firm and have been doing that ever since. And my clients include municipalities, counties; do a lot of condemnation work for the State of Illinois; do site planning, comprehensive plans, zoning, just about everything that a consulting firm delves in, planning, zoning, and economic development.

And that's about it.
Q As part of that work, have you been a part of the drafting and implementation of zoning ordinances for any of the surrounding counties?

A Yes. Well, Grundy is now part of the
metropolitan area. So I developed the first comprehensive plan and zoning ordinance for Grundy County. I did the zoning ordinance and comprehensive plan for Kendall, and most importantly prepared the first comprehensive plan for DuPage County. They had never had a plan. Unfortunately, they were the last county to go into planning, but we quickly rose to probably having the best program in the region equal with Kane County. We worked very closely with Kane since we were neighbors, and we really had to consider our growth together.

But the comprehensive plan for DuPage County was unique in that we were told that it was for the entire county, not just unincorporated area, all 32 municipalities. That's the reason it took us seven years to prepare it. The County Board chairman's instructions were, "Do not come back with a plan until all 32 municipalities sign off in agreement with the plan you prepared." So that was probably one of the most unique plans in the area in that it covered the entire county border to border, all municipalities. All municipalities did sign off on it.

So then once the plan was completed, the

County wanted to implement that plan, and the best way to implement the plan is to add a new zoning ordinance. So I did completely rewrite, do a comprehensive revision of the DuPage County zoning ordinance. I should say for the attorneys in the room, all the planning standards for the zoning ordinance, not the legal parts of the zoning ordinance.

Q Have you had the opportunity in preparing to testify here to review the Kane County ordinance?

A Oh, yes.
Q Have you had the opportunity to review the application and documents online from the Maxxam facility?

A Yes.
Q Have you been in attendance at all the hearings, public hearings since they've started for this application?

A Unfortunately, yes. Reminds me of the Ground Hog Day movie.

Q I believe there's a number of people here who would agree with you, Mr. Abel.

Are you prepared to provide your professional opinions as to the Maxxam application?

A Yes.
Q Do you have an opinion on whether this application should be being processed as a special use?

A Yes.
Q What is that opinion?
A Basically -- and I have testified even at the hearing on the appeal -- it is my professional opinion that because the zoning ordinance does not specifically list the alcohol and drug abuse treatment facilities as a permitted or special use in any district that it should follow what $I$ feel from a professional standpoint -- and I previously testified to this, that this should have followed the zoning ordinance Section 5.15 which requires a staff study and analysis of this particular use. And after that thorough study, which probably would have eliminated 75 percent of this public hearing, it would have been translated into a text amendment. That text amendment would have carefully defined the use because there's different parameters for this kind of facility, would have defined specifically what zoning classifications it could be appropriately located in either as a
permitted or special use, and it would have set standards that have to be met for this particular kind of unique use that, again, would assist the Zoning Board in making the decision if it was a special use and would have never had to get to the zoning board if it were a permitted use in some district, but we won't know that because the study was never done.

So, again, the basis of my whole analysis is that this should have gone through a text amendment and then have an applicant apply for the zoning in the appropriate location or go for a text amendment.

Q Is -- when there's a new or unlisted use in an ordinance, is it your opinion that it's generally good land planning to take the time to do that text amendment and properly study?

A It's my professional opinion and that's what I did for 17 years with the county especially because of the fact that we were the fastest growing county in the State of Illinois and, as I said, one of the fastest -- point of reference, when I started with the county it was 250,000 , and when $I$ left we were almost 900,000.

So we had tremendous growth in that period,
and many, many new uses came before the Zoning Board of Appeals. I was the secretary and went to every zoning hearing during that 17 years. They were every Thursday night and sometimes special nights. So we had many, many new uses that came in, and the first thing that they would do, if it's not on the list, they would turn it over to our staff to do a thorough analysis, do a text amendment, and then tell the applicant to apply for either the special use or the zoning.

As you know, a zoning ordinance has to make a place for every use. We found that out. So you have to accommodate every use there is, and as new uses come in, that's the appropriate way to do it.

I mentioned in my previous testimony that a good example of it was a case $I$ was involved in in Carol Stream where they had in their ordinance automobile service stations. But one of these new unique truck terminals that provide housing, and recreation, everything came into the community, and they didn't have a provision for it.

Staff did a study, included it into a particular district, and then the applicant went and did it. The hearing went through I think one or
two nights even. Though it was very contentious, the study was there, and everybody knew what the standards were and didn't have to go through trying to make up rules as part of the zoning hearing.

So, again, that's what has led to this
hearing being very lengthy is that there's an awful lot of standards and rule setting and everything going on here that probably should have been done at a staff level.

Q You heard testimony that the Maxxam facility, if approved, will be licensed by the State of Illinois; correct?

A Correct.
Q You've also heard testimony that the Maxxam facility will be specifically dispensing controlled substances to its clients as part of that licensing?

A Yes.
Q To prepare your testimony, in reviewing the zoning ordinance did you look at the use definitions?

A Yes.
Q Did you come across any definition that deals with where controlled substances can be dispensed?

A Yes. I'd have to go to the zoning ordinance. MR. CARRARA: If I can, Mr. Chairman, I have
a copy of $I$ believe what he's going to look at, if I may pass it out to the members.

A (Continuing.) It's on page 16 of the amended 8/20/14 zoning ordinance. And as I was going through the ordinance, since I prepared so many zoning ordinances I'm always careful to make sure I go to the definitions sections and check everything out, and then if $I$ can't find it in the definition, then $I$ usually go to Webster's dictionary.

In this particular instance $I$ found a definition called "Use Interim for Dispensing of Controlled Substances License by the State of Illinois." It says, "An interim use that may be granted on zoning lots in the RB, B1, and B3 specifically for the dispensing of controlled substances licensed by the State of Illinois."

So that sort of led me to the conclusion that it's possible that the RB, B1, and B3 probably would be reasonable locations even for the proposed use, especially when $I$ went in and looked at the other uses allowed in that district, which include clinics, and boarding facilities, and things of that nature.

So it probably would -- it would probably lead the staff to at least start looking in those directions as they're contemplating appropriate locations for the proposed use.

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I'd ask that Exhibit A15, which is page 16 out of the zoning ordinance which Mr. Abel just read be made a part of the record, please.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is there a motion to
accept it?
THE WITNESS: And, obviously, this use is not permitted in the $F$ District.

MEMBER HEINRICH: So moved.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Mr. Heinrich, seconded by Ms. Cameron. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
(Exhibit A15 marked for identification
and retained by the Board.)
BY MR. CARRARA:
Q In your review of Exhibit A15, in that definition do you see any language that restricts
that only to medical marijuana dispensaries?
A No.
Q It's open to any facility that dispenses controlled substances licensed by the State of Illinois; correct?

A That is correct.
Q And I think when the Chair was trying to get a motion you mentioned that the location of the Maxxam facility -- is it located in one of those zoning classifications listed in A15?

A No. It's in the F Farming district.
Q Do you have an opinion on whether siting the proposed Maxxam facility in the farm district will set any kind of precedent if the ordinance isn't changed?

A Well, it set a precedent for this type of facility to be located in the F Farming district, yes.

Q As part of your preparation tonight, did you have the opportunity to review the special use standards that are required to be proven as part of this application?

A Yes. They're normal standards found in almost all ordinances.

Q If you could, Mr. Abel, could you please
take us through the standards and give us your professional opinion on each one of those and whether you believe Maxxam has provided support to -for the approval of that special use standard?

If you can, Mr. Abel, I can read the standards for you if you don't want to locate them in the ordinance?

A I've got them in the ordinance on page 23.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Just for point of clarification, they're in the current version of the ordinance listed on page 24 under Section 4.1-2.

MR. CARRARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
THE WITNESS: Mine must be from the old one. BY MR. CARRARA:

Q So let us know when you're on page 24, Mr. Abel.

A Okay.
Q Let's start with sub-A, "The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be unreasonably detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare." Do you have an expert on that standard?

A Yes. We've heard an awful lot of testimony, and I've seen reports stating that from the
standpoint, you know, of safety we're talking about not only police protection, and fire protection, and things of that nature, services, but from a planning standpoint I always put a lot of emphasis in almost all the cases $I$ testify in on general welfare.

In this particular instance, because we're dealing with an estate area -- and I thought it was interesting in terms of looking at both Mr. MaRous' testimony and Mr. Lannert, the planner, both of them emphasized in their reports the fact that this is an estate area, within a 2 -mile radius Mr. MaRous said it's almost exclusively open space and residential, and Mr. Lannert said within a mile-and-a-half he said was open space and farming. I don't know why within a mile-and-a-half he left out residential, but that is the character of this particular area. So there are not a number of uses in this area that would tend to change what $I$ call the lifestyle.

So you're dealing with a very unique area carved out in this particular location that is farming, estate-type residential on large lots. And the minute you introduce something of this magnitude -- and, also, it's unique and sensitive. Now, I heard the word perception, and there's no
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doubt about it that perception falls in there, also.
But in terms of general welfare, if you think about -- again, everybody talks about safety, morals, the health, and things of that nature. But when you come down to comfort and especially general welfare, what you're talking about is -- it's happiness; it's a sense of well-being. That's what people get when they live in this particular area, and you can see why there is this concern for a use that's entirely different, does have problems associated with it.

I was surprised at, again, the amount of security that's being provided. It has to be provided for a reason. Some of it is to sort of satisfy the public, but $I$ think some of it is for real, that there is the opportunity for people to just get up and want to leave just because they are in a state of mind that most of us are not used to dealing with. And there may be opportunities for people who want to enter the property to bring something to the residents that are staying there from 30 to 90 days.

So this whole idea of safety, general
welfare, comfort all goes back to my feeling in
terms of all the cases I've worked on, especially this whole idea of not my back yard, those are very difficult issues to deal with.

In this particular instance the intensity of what's going in -- everybody talks about the fact that this site is -- what is it? -- 120 acres. There's only one reason it's 120 acres, and that's because of the Sheaffer system. Jack Sheaffer and I developed -- I helped Jack develop that system, so I'm very familiar with it. He was the first one in Ludington, Michigan, and we were going to do a large one in DuPage County, but our public works department didn't go along with it.

So keep in mind that over 50 percent -52 percent, as I recall, of the site is there because of the Sheaffer system. The intensity, the 120 people that are -- that are going to be there, the 80 to 120 employees, all of the vehicles coming into -- the meal preparation for a facility of this size. This isn't some little corner store. This is a facility that's going to provide recreation.

I think in my analysis I compared it almost to a resort. These people are coming there; they're going to be taken care of very carefully, but they
have to be maintained; they have to be housed; they have to be -- they have to stay clean; they have to have laundry. All of these services are above and beyond what you would normally find in an estate area like this.

We'll get into the comprehensive plan later because the comprehensive plan has some ideas of where a facility like this should be, also. But that's my feeling is I don't think it meets the test of not having a negative impact on especially the welfare, general welfare, and the safety, and the comfort of the people living in the area.

Q Thank you, Mr. Abel. Let's move to B, that the special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of the property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. Do you have an opinion on that standard, sir?

A Right. From a planning stand point. I'm not an appraiser, so I'm not going to get into the percentages of diminution in value and things of that nature.

But, again, this is an area that has been
designated on the comprehensive plan for a specific use, not a hospital, not a nursing home or convalescent facility, and, again, the proposed use is out of character and goes contrary to the trend in development.

It was, again, interesting in both the Lannert report he talks clearly about the trend of development and indicated that this was okay because the area in the long run will be controlled by Campton Hills.

Well, Campton Hills is very specific in terms of keeping this area -- in fact, they turned down a facility like this. But they're very specific about keeping this area as open space and estatetype development.

I became painfully aware of that fact because I did represent the developer that brought all the land into Elgin to the north and to the east. So we didn't get into my familiarity with the property, but that was my first time getting involved in this area in a very great detail, spent a lot of time on the subject property and all of the property surrounding this because this is a very, very large PUD that was brought into Elgin.

So I do feel that the planning, the trend in development, and the use of this property is not in conformance with Campton Hills, and they are the ones that probably in the future will control this property more than the County. And Mr. Lannert says that, also.

Q Earlier I think we all received information that the Sheriff's office is now anticipating the calls of lights and sirens could be anywhere from 100 to 300. Do you think that has any potential impact on the surrounding neighborhood and the welfare, as well as the other factors?

A It all adds to the land use intensity. What we're talking about here is a very, very low density part of Kane County, and anytime you introduce, as I said, all the service vehicles, everything that's associated with this use which is compacted on only 42 percent or 48 percent of the site, not the whole 120 acres, that is going to have an impact.

I was also interested in him saying that a lot of the calls would require not the quiet runs but the normal lights and sound associated with emergency vehicles.

```
So those are all things that are not
```

associated with the lifestyle that individuals in this particular area have come to respect and desire.

I heard one lady at one of the first
hearings talk about the lifestyle in this area and how neighborhoods get together and things of that nature, and I sat there and I thought, man, she's giving my testimony.

Q The next standard -- I think we've gone through B and C -- is D. It's the adequate utility access road, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided.

Now, earlier, Mr. Abel, you referenced something called a Sheaffer system. For some of us sitting here, we may not know what a Sheaffer system is. Could you explain what that is?

A It's a land treatment facility, and I wouldn't even call it -- you're either going to have a municipal service, you're going to have a septic tank, or you're going to have the land treatment. And, basically, $I$ was fortunate in this area, $I$ worked on the very first one at Hamilton Lakes in Itasca.

We had plans to bring in a huge economic development. Then we found out that Itasca's sewer
and water system couldn't handle it, and so Jack came in and we worked on getting that annexed into the Village of Itasca. If you go down 355, you can see the big Hamilton Lakes development. That was originally done under the Sheaffer system.

And, basically, the sewage comes into one area, it's extremely deep, it's covered with water, and all of the action takes place below grade.

One thing we found out is that there's very little sludge. I think after 10 years we went back and sent some divers down there, and there was very little in the way of sludge. So it's really
unbelievably good. And then the water, the clean water that comes off is then irrigated, and that's why you need the open space.

Mill Creek here in Kane County has also successfully used the process. So it's an accepted process but it is -- it does use a lot of land. So normally you will use a clustering technique. So if you could have 100 houses on the property, you would put those 100 houses on just 50 acres and use the 50 that they would have been on. So they'd be on slightly smaller lots.

So, usually, it's planned development, but
it's a unique system and could be used for residential purposes very easily on the subject property.

Q At the very beginning at these public hearings, the staff read their report, and there were a number of concerns that were identified by the health department and other County departments as to certain permits, reports, and the like for the Sheaffer system.

To your knowledge, have you heard any testimony here that those County concerns by the other departments staffs had been addressed by the Maxxam applicant?

A No, I don't know.
Q Let's move on to paragraph $E$, adequate measure have been -- excuse me -- adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designated as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets and roads.

You were mentioning earlier a number of things about delivery trucks and the like. In your review of the traffic report identified by the applicant, did they take into any consideration the food service and all the other linen services and
the like that would be running this facility?
A I didn't see any but that's not to say that they didn't include it in their figures. They didn't break it out. Normally in a facility like this, where, as I said, it's like a resort -- well, it's an all-inclusive facility, all-inclusive resort -you are going to have those kinds of facilities. I was more concerned about the increase in traffic.

It's a long -- it's a half-mile road through the forest preserve. It does go in fairly close proximity to the residential development directly to the west, but, again, with proper -- I don't even know -- I don't think the traffic would be enough to require deceleration lanes or anything.

So I think in terms of being able to handle the traffic there is no problem. It's the increase in intensity of the traffic that's going to affect the surrounding areas.

Q Is it also safe to say that the estimated sheriff call volumes of 100 to 300 on these two-lane roads will also impact that standard?

A Well, yeah. The sheriff may be taking into consideration not only the calls directly on the property, but he could be taking into consideration
the fact that you've got more traffic. You do have trucks coming in and out, and there may be more accidents associated with traffic going to this facility, to and from, that adds to his burden.

So I haven't seen his report, but I'm sure he probably took both internal and external effects into consideration. Whereas, I don't think the traffic consultant did.

Q Then the last standard is F . It's the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it's located except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Are you aware, has the applicant -- are they seeking any variations or deviations from any standards?

A No. That's the big problem here is they're -the County hasn't identified this use, as I said, in terms of where it should be, what kind of standards. So it's almost like a fishing expedition going on here. Problems come up and they say, "Well, we'll satisfy that; we'll have more security, or we'll have more cameras; we'll have more of this, that."

I think it's going to be interesting to see the conditions that are applied to this use if and when it's approved.

But that's the biggest fallacy with $F$ is that we really don't know what we're talking about. There was not a study. There should have been a text amendment -- I keep going back to that -- and then there would be an appropriate way for Item $F$ to be approached.

Q In your review of the application, did you see any request for relief from the use you identified in Exhibit A15 that limited dispensing controlled substances only in the $R B, B 1$, or $B 3$ districts?

A No.

Q Do you have a professional opinion on how the Maxxam application interacts with the 2040 land plan?

A Yes.
Q What is that opinion?
A I went through the 2040 plan in some detail, and I've been -- as I said, both the DuPage County land that $I$ worked on for 17 years and also the earlier versions of the Kane county plan I was very familiar with because we did work almost hand in glove together through those years. Your County
planning director from Kane and myself plus the others used to meet on a monthly basis and make sure that everything we did was coordinated especially between Kane and DuPage.

So I'm familiar with the plan, followed it through all its iterations. And in looking at the 2040 plan, it was interesting that even though plans are generalized, there was a specific recommendation for the subject property, and the specific recommendation for the property is institutional/private open space.

Q Joe, let me stop you there. I'm going to hand out the definition so the ZBA can see what you're referring to.

A Yeah. I have it.
(Exhibit A3 marked for identification and retained by the Board.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is there a motion by the Board to accept Exhibit A3?

MEMBER BOWEN: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Mr. Bowen, seconded by Mr. Regan. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
MR. CARRARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
BY MR. CARRARA:

Q Joe, I believe you were referring to on Exhibit A3 the institutional/private open space designation. Is that what you were --

A Well, I started with the 2040 land use plan. It's the document in the report that gives a designation for all the properties in Kane County.

So it ranges from open space, to agricultural, to rural residential, business industrial. So it's the actual map itself, and that's what's significant about the map is that it's a large area. This is only 110 acres, yet it specifically has its own classification, which $I$ said is the institutional private open space.

I then went to the text that accompanies the map, and, again, in bold heading institutional private open space it goes into detail, which is rather unique. But, again, this is a very well-thought-out plan that's been developed over the last 50 years. So you would expect that it would have this kind of specific designation.

It reads, "This category includes a number of private and institutional property ownership. Representative areas are the McGraw Wildlife Foundation, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Mooseheart, and the various youth camps. Although these areas may not be generally accessible to the public, they do provide a number of ecological functions, and the plan recommends connecting them to other open space and green infrastructure areas when feasible."

That's the other interesting thing about this property is it's now been implemented by the forest preserve districts to start carrying out this provision, implementing what's considered for this area.
"These lands include areas providing visual open space and community separation, preserving important woodlands and wetlands, protecting critical wildife habitats, and offering important scientific, cultural, and educational opportunities to the residents of Kane County. It is important that the County communicate with the various owners of these lands regarding their proper maintenance and management."

In line with that I also looked at the definition in Webster's, and their definition of institutional --

Q Joe, if you could, you need to speak into the mic, please.

A Webster's definition of institutional is something that serves to instruct and of a public nature.

So pretty much it fits in with what the planning commission had come up with in terms of a definition and in terms of it being instructional public use and then tying in with the entire open space-type trend in development that's taking place in this entire area.

Q Anywhere in that definition of institutional private open space did you see any reference to a private, for-profit drug and alcohol detoxification center?

A No. And not hospitals or nursing homes, either.

Q As part of your review, did -- were you asked to look at the MaRous report and the facility he looked at from a land planning perspective in Park Ridge, Illinois?

A Yes.
Q And if you could --
MR. CARRARA: Blair -- I'm sorry -- could you put that exhibit up?

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is there a motion to place this into the file?

MEMBER STOVER: So moved.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Ms. Stover,
seconded by Mr. Heinrich. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Motion carries.
BY MR. CARRARA:
Q From a land planning standard, Mr. Abel, could you explain to us what this area represents?

A Yes. This areas represents the 11 acres in Park Ridge that Mr. MaRous used as a comparison to the subject property in terms of their being equal.

The 11-acre site was used primarily, as I checked the record, for youths; I think the ages of 12 to 18, so totally dissimilar to the proposed use.

As you can see, there's a different
lifestyle in this area than if you put up an aerial
photograph -- I think the aerial that Mr. Lannert used where he did his 1 1/2 mile radius and then had had the colors of whose jurisdiction it is, if you had those two side by side -- and as I sit here I wish I had done that -- you could see that there is no similarity between this location in Park Ridge and the proposed location in Kane County area.

These are people who are used to traffic. You can see off to the left-hand side -- or the right-hand side, I just pick up a little bit of the commercial on Oakton Street. Oakton is an unbelievably heavily trafficked street. The streets on both sides on the east and west are heavily trafficked streets, as is Howard Street and the streets to the south and north.

So you have an entirely different environment here in terms of the expectations and perceptions of what people are willing to live with.

The one thing I found of interest, though, was -- and I was surprised that Mr. MaRous used this -- is that when I pulled up the aerial photograph, if you look under the dots, you will see that there are some little white patches. All of the two-story buildings that were housing these
girls have been completely demolished, and this site is in the process of being redeveloped into a park. Now, I called the park district and asked them, how long has the process been going on to turn this facility for the girls for drug addiction been in the works. And this was actually approved by a community referendum to buy this property back in 2013, and she said this had been going on for quite some time.

So I don't think you can use this as a matched pair because the people that were living there or anyone buying it would be completely aware of the fact that this was going to be a park, not any kind of a drug facility. So you have to take that into consideration, also, that the community was totally aware, and now they did pass a major referendum in 2013, and slowly but surely it's not being converted to park purposes, and one of the buildings will be retained for administration.

So it really is completely different, and that's why I think -- I'm not talking about the present proposed facility, but at least in this instance $I$ don't think it's fair to say that the value stayed high because it was a drug facility. I
think the adjoining properties stayed high because they knew ultimately they were going to have a beautiful park in their back yard.

So those are some of the things that came out of looking at, doing analysis of a surrounding land use, character of the area, trend in development in this exhibit.

I did have one thing. You cut me short on the comprehensive plan. Or are you coming back to it?

Q I was going to come back to it.
A Okay.
Q But, please, go ahead, Mr. Abel.
A Okay. Again, the comprehensive plan, if you read it in more detail and go through talks about the fact that there are certain corridors where development of this type of intensity, something that would be housing 120 people, would have all these services associated with it and everything and really talks about it being in two major areas and specifically lists medical in the text. And that's the Randall/Orchard Road corridor and also the Illinois 47 corridor.

And it talks about it makes sense from a smart growth standpoint -- and there's a whole
section on smart growth that talks about preserving open space, mixed use, keeping facilities such as the one that's being proposed which does fall in the medical category, hospitals, and nursing homes in areas where there's adequate transportation, close proximity to housing so that you can cut the distances down.

So the plan does really, really emphasize smart growth. And smart growth -- one of the things that it doesn't suggest is leapfrogging out into an area like this with a use that's counter to the trend in development. It really emphasizes keeping uses like this, medical and things of that nature, within the built-up areas, especially in the municipalities where there are complete municipal services.

So in a nutshell that pretty much takes care of the comprehensive plan and, again, why it didn't designate it for a medical facility.

Q Thank you, Mr. Abel.
Just to kind of touch base on this because I believe I forgot to ask you these questions earlier, and I think you've heard them asked of all the experts in one way or the other, you are being paid

```
as an expert witness; correct?
```

A Yes.
2 And you serve as an expert witness for both applicants and objectors; you just don't only represent objectors trying to stop development or growth or anything like that; correct?

A I represent objectors when their objection is correct, and I represent developers when their proposal is appropriate, yes.

Q Thank you.
At any point in time during this process, did anybody ever come to you and say, "We want your opinion to be that the Maxxam facility is not appropriate"?

A No. And anybody that knows me over the last 50 years, that's my strength is my credibility. There's nobody that's been ever able to find out that $I$ did something like that.

MR. CARRARA: Thank you. That's all the questions I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
Board members have any questions of the witness?

Ms. Stover.

MEMBER STOVER: Can $I$ first ask a question just for clarification? Because I wasn't paying attention very well.

We entered into the record A15. A15 is page 16 of the Appendix $B$ Zoning. And Appendix $B$ Zoning, these are -- these are for the purpose of this ordinance -- it says "Certain terms and words are hereby defined as follows."

So we're using page 16 or to the letter U for use, special interim use and so forth. So they're using the cultivation of controlled substances, and then on to that is interim for dispensing. This appendix is for definitions sort of only. So I'm just wondering why you're entering that because I didn't understand.

MR. CARRARA: Sure. Back in 2014 you as a Board decided to amend the zoning ordinance and allow the dispensing of controlled substances licensed by the State of Illinois only in three specific zoning classifications. That is the RB, the B1 and B3, not in the Farming district. You've defined where uses can -- controlled substances can be dispensed and under what land use designation.

MEMBER STOVER: All right. I understand. I
don't know if $I$ agree with that. I think they were talking about our new marijuana substance on that.

MR. CARRARA: That may be true. And, again -I'm not trying to argue with you on that but -MEMBER STOVER: Right.

MR. CARRARA: -- nowhere is it solely
limited to --
MEMBER STOVER: Right. But --
MR. CARRARA: -- the controlled substance of medical marijuana.

MEMBER STOVER: -- that was in there for the marijuana, and I didn't know how we made that into the hospital or to the home.

The next question was for you -- I'm sorry -I don't know where I'm at there.

As land planner, you said that you thought that this was not congruent with the development of the area. With that having already been developed into all of those buildings and those facilities that are, you know, the kitchens and the housing, the places they'll sleep, that's already been developed into that. So I'm not sure, does that weigh on your decision at all about anything, something sitting there vacant that's already pretty
much the same type of use?
THE WITNESS: I think your staff must have taken that into consideration, too. So I go back to the comprehensive plan. Kane County development department staff knew those buildings were there, and yet they still are stating that it should be used for something more in line with open space and what examples they gave, not for a drug treatment facility.

There's always -- I deal -- almost 20, 30 percent of my cases are redevelopment. Case in point, that was a very nice facility in Park Ridge, well-located, and I wish I had left the underlying photo, which at the present you will see the bulldozers out there. Those -- and they were nice two-story structures -- have all been totally removed, and they're redeveloping it for open space.

So it's possible that the -- those barracks that are there, there's eight barracks on the site that they were going to use, they could just as easily be demolished as anything. I mean, we have tear-downs of homes, and I see beautiful homes torn down and bigger homes put up. Whether it's commercial, residential, institutional, there's
always redevelopment.
I mean, the Campton Hills plan shows this area probably keeping it large-lot estate, and with the Sheaffer system that could be done. And the building -- if there's one building that's good there it could become a recreational amenity for the residential development.

No, I wouldn't say just because there are some structures there -- that school was there for a long time, and I remember walking it when we did the big Elgin project. There's nothing as far as I'm concerned from my professional opinion and projects I've been involved in, there's nothing sacrosanct about that development in terms of being capable of redevelopment.

MEMBER STOVER: Just a couple more.
Did you read the wastewater treatment study that was done on that property most recently?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER STOVER: Because in the annual report it calls for -- in the conclusion it says it's fully operational and in good maintenance, and it has 500 PE water supply and 1100 PE with the wastewater treatment. Were you aware of that?

THE WITNESS: I assume that if somebody were going to redevelop it, that's a real plus, especially a residential development.

As I said, we studied the Sheaffer system in Hamilton Lakes, and that's all commercial, offices, heavy uses, restaurants, the whole bit, hotels. There was no problem with that after 10 years, so I'm sure the Sheaffer system that's there will accommodate any redevelopment that would be contemplated.

MEMBER STOVER: Just one more question I had for you.

You talked about the appropriate time to -or you talked about uses and being put within the ordinance. First, were you aware in 15.5 that we talk about that specifically? "The nonlisted uses which are approved shall be added to the appropriate use list at the time of the periodic update and revision."

My point being, I'm 17 years on this board; there are several things that come before us for a special use permit, and as they come before us, you know, and they repeatedly come before us, it's always been our way to say, "It's time to look at
this and add it to the text."
So, you know, I think this is the first time a facility like this has come before this zoning board, so I'm pretty sure in the periodic updating that revision may be made.

THE WITNESS: That's not the time to do it. That's why you're here as long as you are.
5.15, you didn't read the whole thing. It says, "The enforcing officer may allow land uses which though not contained by name in the zoning district list where permitted or special uses are deemed to be similar in nature. However, such nonlisted uses shall not be approved until the application for such use has been reviewed by the County development staff and a favorable report has been received."

That's the report I'm telling you that Carol Stream did when $I$ was giving my testimony and I --

MEMBER STOVER: I'm just commenting to the last sentence that says, "The nonlisted uses which are approved shall be added to the appropriate use list at the time of periodic update and revision." I think that's been the way we've done it forever.

THE WITNESS: But you've done it without the
public involved. You're telling me you did it without a public hearing? You amended your zoning ordinance without -- I've been doing this for almost 40 years. I have never --
(Applause.)
MEMBER STOVER: No, that's not what I'm saying. I think --

THE WITNESS: I have never seen a system like this where you can go ahead and prepare a text amendment and slip in into the ordinance without the public knowing about it.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: This is out of order.
MR. KINNALLY: This is out of order.
THE WITNESS: She asked a question.
MR. KINNALLY: This is out of order.
MEMBER STOVER: I guess I wasn't clear. The public's been very involved. So we would never slip anything into a text without the public being involved.

THE WITNESS: No, but that's what you were reading to me that that's what should be done, and I'm saying that $I$ would never as a professional condone doing that, doing a text amendment without having a public hearing. I just was --
same thing.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I agree with you then.
MEMBER STOVER: I'm saying the same thing.
What I'm saying is typically when we see things come before us, we would suggest to our planners that they do just that, you know, it's time to look at this and add that within the special use. However, if we did that with every special use that came before us, we'd never pass one thing ever.

THE WITNESS: But not every special use, just the ones that aren't defined in your zoning ordinance. I tried to make that clear, only uses that are not specifically defined in any district in your zoning ordinance. So I'm sorry I misunderstood your question.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Okay. Let's move on.
Any other Board members have questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Is the petitioner prepared?
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER
BY MR. KOLB:
Q Good evening.
A Good evening.

Q Is it safe to characterize your opinions here tonight as zoning opinions?

A Pardon?
Q Your opinions here tonight are primarily zoning opinions?

A Planning and zoning.
Q Planning and zoning. Now, you appeared before in proceedings involving the applicant's petition in the context of testimony regarding an appeal. Do you recall being here before and testifying?

A Yes.
Q And I think you gave the opinion that 5.15 applied and that this public hearing should not have occurred at all. Was that basically your opinion?

A No. I think the opinion at that time was that there was a proper way to do it, and that was to have the staff do a study, come up with all the things that we're discussing at this public hearing, and determine what is the proper terminology, what's the proper -- what are the proper zoning districts of a use of this type should be located in, where should it be permitted, and where should it be a
special use.
There are some zoning experts who believe -and this comes out especially with adult uses -that there should be a permitted use location for every use. If you've ever followed the adult uses, you'll know most communities made them special uses, and then the courts said no, there has to be a place where they're permitted.

Q Mr. Abel, in layman's terms, were you saying that essentially the County should have gone to revise its code before allowing the applicant to proceed?

A I think that would have been a proper way to do it, to study and determine where are the best locations.

You relied on similar and that's where I took exception is $I$ don't think this is similar to a hospital or to a nursing home. In my testimony I said I felt it was probably closer to an all-inclusive resort because of the types of things that go on. They're staying there; they're fed; they're housed; there's all kinds of therapy; there's athletics. It's quite a facility. Where is the appropriate location for it, that's all $I$ was saying.

Q So putting the issue of similarity aside for a second, procedurally, it was your opinion earlier during the administrative review process that this hearing should not have occurred and that the county should have stopped it, taken the time to amend its own ordinances to add perhaps a text amendment of its own and have that be the procedure that would take place and not allow the applicant to proceed for a special use similar to an existing land use under the code? Wasn't that your opinion?

A That's not my opinion. I was reading from the zoning ordinance. You have zoning ordinances that state that that's the way it's supposed to be done. It's under general provisions.

So if you understand zoning, you start with the general provisions. General provisions then takes you to interpretation of use lists.

Q I understand.
A Then when you go to the interpretation, I read to you verbatim, "Such nonlisted uses shall not be approved until the application for such use has been reviewed by the county development department staff and a favorable report has been received."
So that's all I testified to. I just
thought that the County should follow its zoning ordinance. It's not my interpretation; it's the County's ordinance.

Q Well, we're here to weigh the credibility of a lot of your zoning opinions tonight, the Board is, and I just want to first point out that your first opinion is that this proceeding should not have occurred and that Mr. VanKerkhoff had somehow made a mistake in your opinion, if $I$ remember your testimony, in not stopping -- in not making a recommendation that the public hearing proceed, and instead the staff should have -- under Section 5.15 should have received a favorable report from the zoning enforcement officer; Mr. VanKerkhoff should have prepared a favorable enforcement officer's report followed by the relevant text amendment.

Procedurally is that what you think should have been done here?

A I testified to that at the last hearing. Again, the Zoning Board did not go along with it, and so we are here this evening on a special use.

Q But you're aware that there was an appeal; correct?

A Yes. And the appeal is over with. I don't
know why we're talking about the appeal. We're here tonight for a special use for a specific use that was ruled as being similar and that's it.

My testimony is -- has to do with the
factors for this specific use you are presenting and how it fits into the comprehensive plan. I don't want to talk about the previous hearing; it's over with. You know, $I$ was on the losing side.

Q That's what $I$ want the Zoning Board to understand. Were you aware that the matter was litigated and that the lawsuit was dismissed?

A Once I testified at this level I don't know what happened after that. All $I$ know is that --

Q You were determined to be incorrect?
MR. CARRARA: No, that's a
mischaracterization. The lawsuit was dismissed, correct, but that has been appealed to the Second District Appellate Court, so it's still ongoing.

MR. KOLB: I wasn't aware of that. You appealed it to the Second District?

MR. CARRARA: Yes, you should have received notice of the appeal.

MR. KOLB: We did not receive that, but regardless --

MR. CARRARA: Regardless --
MR. KOLB: We'll go to the Second District then after we get done with the Circuit Court. BY MR. BROWN:

Q Regardless of that issue, I just wanted to point that out that it's your opinion today that we should be in the context of a text amendment and not here; is that what you're saying?

A That was my opinion then and it's my opinion now, but that's not the total extent of my testimony. My testimony deals with the standards and with the comprehensive plan.

Q Now, you testified a lot about how this particular use as an alcoholism and substance abuse treatment facility does not in your view fit the estate lifestyle, large-lot estate lifestyle; correct?

A Correct.

Q And I remember you saying that because there's going to be increased traffic as a result of laundry and food services, trucks, and things like that it just doesn't fit with the overall estate lifestyle. Did I characterize that correctly?

A It's not a residential use, correct, and
it's not in compliance with the comprehensive plan. That's what my testimony was.

Q Now, the property is located in the F Farming District; correct?

A Yes.
Q Under Section 8-1-2(dd) permitted uses include other uses similar to those permitted as special uses; correct?

A Yes.
Q And under Section 8-1-2(a) of the Kane County Zoning Ordinance, the enumerated special uses in the F Farming District include by cross reference all of the special uses permitted in the R1 district; correct?

A Correct.
Q And am I not correct that in the R1 district the following are enumerated special uses expressly permitted, hospitals, for instance, nursing homes, and convalescent centers; correct?

A Yes.
Q Am I not correct that hospitals, nursing homes, and convalescent centers similarly would use food service and have truck volume say they were to be built in those locations?

A They have some characteristics but, again, they are not -- if you look up the definition of a hospital --

Q Can you answer the question, sir? It's a yes-or-no question.

A No, it's not. Why don't you repeat the question?

Q Am I incorrect that hospitals, nursing homes, or convalescent centers similarly use food trucks and laundry trucks that --

A Oh, that's just your question. Yeah.
Q If I can finish my question.
A Everybody uses trucks, yeah.
Q Do you know anything about the volume of food trucks or laundry trucks as between a nursing home, convalescent center, and hospital and the applicant's facility?

A No. But they're all of greater content than you would find in an estate farming area. That's pretty easy to figure out.

Q But you testified earlier that these uses are already allowed in the estate farming area.

A They're, yes. But each location has to -because they're special uses they're not guaranteed.

There's no guarantee with any special use that you're going to get it when you go to the hearing just because it's in the use list. The only use that you can get for sure is a permitted use, and hospitals are not permitted and neither are nursing. They're special uses because they're unique.

Q You testified -- and let me understand your zoning opinion that you gave with respect to controlled substances.

Is it your opinion under the use definition as Roxanne had pointed out -- Ms. Stover -- that the applicant would need a variance in order -- another text amendment or another development entitlement in order to dispense controlled substances?

A All I testified to was that those districts allow --

Q It's a yes-or-no question, sir.
MR. KOLB: I move to strike the additional testimony and ask the witness on cross-examination to answer the question. Every one of the questions I ask results in a dissertation. It's a simple question.

THE WITNESS: There's nothing simple about this. Ask the question again and I'll answer it yes
or no if $I$ think it's appropriate to just do a yes or no.

Q My question is this: You provided testimony regarding Appendix $B$ having a use definition, and I'm asking you if you believe one way or another whether the applicant was obligated to apply for additional zoning entitlements, a variance, or a text amendment in order to dispense controlled substances.

A I don't think so.
Q Isn't it true that a nursing home, or a convalescent center, or a hospital also would dispense controlled substances?

A Yes.
Q Are you aware that the Glenwood Academy itself dispensed controlled substances?

A No.
Q Are you saying you're not aware of it?
A I was not aware of it.
Q If you became aware of that under this code, would you consider it to be a code violation?

A I'm not sure about that.
Q You have no opinion as to whether the Glenwood Academy dispensing controlled substances
would be a violation of the Kane County Zoning Ordinance?

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, this ordinance was just put in place last year. I believe the facility that Mr. Kolb is referencing closed numerous years ago well before this restriction was put in place.

MR. KOLB: Let's assume the code existed back then.

MR. CARRARA: Calls for a hypothetical.
MR. KOLB: A very good one. Let's ask him to answer the question.

MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, could you please rule on the objection? Mr. Kolb is trying to bully the witness.
(Murmurs from the audience.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Let's have the audience come to order.

You need to rephrase your question, Andrew. BY MR. KOLB:

Q You provided testimony that Park Ridge had essentially bulldozed its location for -- that previously was an alcoholism and substance abuse treatment facility, outpatient or inpatient, I can't
recall which, but the point was that it was a better use to have it turned into a park; was that your testimony?

A Yes.
Q Do you have knowledge of the replacement value of the buildings that currently sit on the Glenwood Academy site?

A No.
Q Do you have any estimation of what it would cost to rebuild those buildings in their current configuration?

A Why would you rebuild them?
Q Well, it seems to be your opinion that there's a more appropriate land use for that site in accordance with the Kane County land use plan and in accordance with the zoning ordinances would be to replace the buildings with some other more compatible use like estate residential or a park use. Is that what you're saying?

A No. The comprehensive plan doesn't say that, either. It just suggests institutional/open space. If a use could come in and use the configuration that's there, fine. If not, as similar to the drawing -- the aerial you saw there,
the buildings could be demolished.
I mean, I would say many of the projects I deal with involve demolition of old or obsolete buildings. I'm not here to redevelop the property. I'm here to say that the proposed use is in conflict with the comprehensive plan and is not in keeping with the trend and character of the surrounding area.

Q Okay. So there's approximately 20 to $\$ 40$ million in buildings that are sitting there depending on who you want to talk to on those values.
(Murmurs from the audience.)
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, I believe he's just giving testimony. There's no evidence to support any of this. He's just giving testimony he wants to hear.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: What's the question of the witness?

BY MR. KOLB:
Q My question is this: What would be the optimum use you would want to see for that property?

A I wasn't hired to do that. I have to rely on the comprehensive plan, and the comprehensive plan does not state that this is a good location for a hospital or a nursing home. Nothing in the
comprehensive plan led me to that conclusion. The conclusion was it belongs in a municipality, in one of the growth corridors, things of that nature. I saw nothing and as I said there's a whole chapter on smart growth. Smart growth would not take a facility of that magnitude and put it out into an estate area. It just goes contrary to good sound planning.

So that's what I've testified to. Now, I did take the lead from the question about dispensing of drugs being in the RB, the B1, and the B3.

Somewhere along the line the County is even thinking that those are good locations for this kind of facility.

So the study that I said maybe should have been done might have come to that conclusion, and we wouldn't even be here tonight.

Q Back to the question I asked. You had said that the location where the facility is located, it's not suited for this intense of a use. Did I understand that?

A In terms of the comprehensive plan, that's correct.

Q Well, would you say that with respect to a
hospital, as well?
A Yes. Comprehensive plan -- as I said, the comprehensive plan, what was unique about it, it focuses in on this particular piece of property. Most comprehensive plans when I've had a problem, attorneys will say, well, the plan is generalized.

This is not generalized. This is a specific designation, and then it's backed up by all this other theory that goes into what makes the comprehensive plan, the smart growth, open space preservation.

I have a couple of other sections that I have outlined here that are in the comprehensive plan that lead to the same conclusion, and this is contrary to the trend in development, character of the area. And then the plan goes on to put a lot of emphasis on the adjoining municipality, and the adjoining municipality has pretty much spoken by having turned a similar type use down in the past.

So it's all coming in my mind from a zoning standpoint that this is not an appropriate use for the subject property.

Q Even though it may be zoned -- you're basically saying a hospital is an inappropriate use
for the subject property, as well, according to the comprehensive plan?

A Yes.
Q So you're basically saying that the zoning ordinance directly contradicts the comprehensive plan?

A The zoning ordinance has a list. It does not say that every special use in that list is appropriate in a particular site. That's why it has to go it through a public hearing.

Read the definition of what a special use is. There's a section in the ordinance, and it says that these are -- there are unique uses that are not appropriate in every district, and that's why you have the public hearing.

So just because it listed hospitals doesn't mean that a hospital has to go on this property.

Q Is it your contention that special uses can be prohibited as opposed to just conditioned?

A Yes. I've seen special uses denied.
Q Based on the use itself, not based on the inability to satisfy a standard?

A Well, if you're smart you'll make sure -any board that I've worked with I'd make sure that they wrote a report that backed it up that it
doesn't meet the standards, correct.
Q But you've seen a special use denied strictly because it doesn't comply with the long-term comprehensive plan for the municipality or county?

A Not totally on that but it's part testimony that's usually presented in every zoning case. A comprehensive plan -- in fact, many of them add on standards that it's in compliance with the comprehensive plan.

Q Have you reviewed the Murer opinion regarding similarity?

A I think I've looked at it, yes, when we were in the previous hearing.

Q Do you have opinions regarding that, that opinion from Murer?

A Regarding that it's a hospital or something?
Q Do you recall the opinion?
A No.
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, again, he was not brought here to opine on the similarity of a hospital and nor did he give that opinion in any of his testimony here in prior cross-examination.

MR. KOLB: He sure did. He made a lot of
analogy to a hospital, and a nursing home, and a convalescent center which are all three permitted uses, and the applicant is arguing that its proposed use is similar, and I think he's opened the box.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm going to agree with
that. I believe that the similarity question does apply in this case.

BY MR. KOLB:
Q What were your opinions with respect to your review of the Murer opinion regarding similarity?

A I didn't rely on that report at all. I relied on such things as the Kane County definition.

Q What was your --
A I --
MR. KOLB: I'd like to strike that. He's not answering the question $I$ asked.

Q The question I asked was, do you have an opinion regarding your review of the Murer opinion regarding similarity? Yes or no.

A And I said no. I relied on my own research.
MR. KOLB: Nothing further.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Thank you.
Does the County have any questions for the witness?

MR. KINNALLY: I can be brief I hope.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE COUNTY
BY MR. KINNALLY:
Q Mr. Abel, when were you retained in this case by the objector?

A Sometime in the fall.
Q Okay. That would be the fall of '15?
A Yes.
Q And you've worked on this project for your client up until tonight; is that right?

A Correct.
Q How many hours have you spent on doing the work for your client in coming to these hearings? Can you tell the Board approximately?

A I have to go back and check my time sheets.
Q Okay. But just give us a general number. Is it more than 100 hours?

A No.
Q Is it 50 hours?
A I probably have had 50 hours of public hearing.

Q Okay. So it's more than 50 hours. So it's somewhere between 50 and 100?

A Yes. I analyzed all --

I'm asking the time spent.
A I was trying to come up with an estimate.
You asked for an estimate. It's going to be between 50 and 100 hours, yes.

Q Thank you.
During that period of time, you came up with
the opinions that you expressed here tonight?
A Some of them are opinions that I have expressed for years. They're planning principles.

Q Thank you.
Where is your report? Did you do a report?
A No. I did for the first hearing but not for this.

Q But no report for us tonight?
A No.
Q Okay. Now, you expressed opinions, as I understand it, on every one of the criteria in the zoning ordinance with respect to special uses.

A Yes.
Q And you're an authority on every one of those criteria?

A I think I was careful to say on the one dealing with value that I'm not an appraiser.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Joe, could you speak into the mic, please.

A (Continuing.) And I gave it from a planning standpoint, not from an appraiser's standpoint, but I did give an opinion, yes.

Q Okay. Now, one of the opinions that somebody asked you was were there any deviations that the applicant sought with respect to their application. Do you recall that testimony?

A I think so, yes.
Q And was it your testimony that they did not seek any deviations?

A I remember looking at the advertised publication. I didn't think there was a variation asked for.

Q Well, a deviation can be something different than a variation; would you agree with me?

A They didn't ask for a planned development. In my practice deviations are only allowed as part of a land development. This is not a planned development.

Q Okay. What about the Fair Housing Act; does that require a deviation from normal zoning, in your opinion?

A I'm not involved in the Fair Housing Act.
Q Isn't that part of this ordinance?
A Yes. But it didn't have anything to do with my opinion.

Q Are you an authority on that?
A No.

Q Do you know what a reasonable accommodation is?
A Generally.
Q Can you tell the Board in your opinion what that -- in your experience what that means?

A No. I don't -- I don't think I can verbalize that.

Q That's fine.
Now, just one final area here.
Exhibit A3 that Mr. Carrara gave, do you
have that in front of you? That's the excerpt from the 2040 plan. It's right in front of you there.

A Yes.

Q Do you have that? Isn't it fair to say that the 2040 plan is talking about existing uses?

That's what it's describing in the 2040 plan, does it not?

A I don't quite understand.
Q Well, look at the first entry on the top
of the page. Doesn't it say "Existing public open space"? Isn't that what it says, those four words?

A This category includes a number of private and institutional property ownership.

Q I understand that. My point is, doesn't it say at the top there "Existing public open space"? Isn't that what it says, those four words?

A Oh, at the very top?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q So what is described in the 2040 plan is the existing public open space, whether it be institutional or private open space; is that true?

A No, that's not the way I read it. There's a category on existing public open space. Then there's a separate item on institutional and private open space, and then there's a separate one on proposed open space.

Q Well, you referenced the map, did you not?
A Yes.
Q And the map says it was from 2012, does it not?

A That says it's institutional private open space.

Q Doesn't the map indicate in the booklet that you referenced that you brought here tonight that it's from 2012?

A Well, yes.
Q Thank you.
A This is a continuing process.
Q I'm not here to argue that point. I want you to tell us -- are you familiar with the Mooseheart facility in Batavia?

A Somewhat.
Q Okay. And the Mooseheart facility is one of the institutional and private open space indicated in the comprehensive plan; would you agree with that?

A Yes.
Q Doesn't it say that?
A Yes.
Q That's a residential facility, is it not?
A Yes. CHAIRMAN WHITE: Joe, I need to get you to speak directly into the microphone. THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.

Q So Mooseheart, a residential facility in the comprehensive plan was an institutional use; correct?

A It's listed, yes.

Q And the substance abuse facility that's proposed here is also a residential facility; isn't that true?

A It's more than that.
Q But it is. It is a residential facility. People are going to live; right?

A For 30 to 90 days, yes.
Q So that is a concept in the comprehensive plan that is consistent with the substance abuse facility because it's residential; true?

A No. I don't agree with that.
MR. KINNALLY: Okay. That's all I have.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Okay. Thank you.
Any rebuttal?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Anyone in the audience that
wishes to question this witness?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: I'm not seeing anyone approach the podium.

Due to the time -- do any Board members have
any other questions of this witness?
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Okay. The witness is dismissed then.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: I've got quarter after 10:00, so I think we'll call the hearing at this point in time and ask for a motion to continue from the Board, and the next scheduled meeting is February 1st at 7:00 at this location.

Is there such a motion?

MEMBER HEINRICH: So moved.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Moved by Mr. Heinrich, seconded by Mr. Stover. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes heard.)
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
MR. CARRARA: Mr. Chairman, if I may. I have a public hearing in the city of Wheaton on Monday the 1st. I will do my best to try to have somebody cover, but $I$ just wanted to let you know if you may need something from me, I may not be available on the 1st.

CHAIRMAN WHITE: Do you have any other witnesses to bring forward?

MR. CARRARA: I have no other witnesses.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: All right. I understand
that the fire protection district wished to present
testimony. Is Mr. Shepro still here? Are you going
to request -- are you going to request the ability
to bring a witness forward?
MR. SHEPRO: On the lst we will have a
witness, yes.
CHAIRMAN WHITE: Okay. Thank you. That's
all for this evening.
(Off the record at 10:17 p.m.)
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| appeared | 850:12 854:10,14,24 | 905:8 907:5,12,24 | 872:21 |
| 934:7 | 855:1,4,5 907:21 | 908:9,12,14,21 910:2 | assessment |
| appears | 953:24 | 910:4,20 911:7 | 838:21 839:23 |
| 839:13 846:2 | appraisers | 917:14 918:15 919:14 | asset |
| Appellate | 824:12 825:3 840:2 | 920:16,24 921:7 | 845:1 |
| 938:18 | 850:14 851:1 | 923:6 924:11 927:18 | assign |
| appendix | appraiser's | 929:3 941:19,22 | 841:12,13,19 |


| assigned | 953:21 955:5 | B | 820:8 826:16 828:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 891:17 | automobile | 813:16 874:8 907:13 | 829:8 831:20 833:2 |
| assist | 898:18 | 910:9 926:5,5 943:4 | 836:13 842:20 849:11 |
| 897:3 | available | back | 849:22 851:2 852:8 |
| assisting | 818:13 959:22 | 818:17 830:6,9 836:20 | 852:14,15 860:16 |
| 885:1 | average | 847:24 856:14 859:10 | 866:22 868:14 872:19 |
| associate | 842:1 878:12 | 862:20,21 863:1,6,10 | 875:12 891:18 896:9 |
| 861:12 | avoid | 864:14 882:8 894:17 | 896:21 897:7,19 |
| associated | 882:13 | 905:24 906:2 911:10 | 904:6 905:17 906:8 |
| 837:8 905:11 909:17 | aware | 915:7 922:7 923:3,9 | 906:16 907:7 908:8 |
| 909:22 910:1 914:3 | 865:17 866:19 908:16 | 923:10 926:16 928:3 | 908:17,23 915:23 |
| 923:18 | 914:15 922:12,16 | 944:9 947:18 952:15 | 922:11,24 923:1 |
| Associates | 929:24 930:15 937:22 | backdoor | 924:21 926:2,15 |
| 815:21 891:14 | 938:10,19 943:15,18 | 856:9 | 929:8,20 935:20 |
| assume | 943:19,20 | backed | 939:19 941:24 942:3 |
| 858:3 862:10 930:1 | awareness | 948:8 949:24 | 942:6 949:15 950:3 |
| 944:8 | 844:11 | background | 958:10 |
| assumption | away | 827:16 853:20 | become |
| 860:22,24 861:1 | 833:4,5 868:4 881:21 | bad | 823:8,14 851:3 885:2 |
| athletics | awful | 845:11 | 929:6 |
| 935:23 | 899:6 903:23 | barracks | beds |
| atmosphere | aye | 928:18,19 | 853:6 |
| 870:6 | 847:17 883:23 886:24 | base | been |
| attached | 890:13 901:15 916:22 | 924:21 | 816:9 818:2 819:8 |
| 820:10 847:13 | 920:9 959:13 | based | 821:14 822:1,2,21 |
| attend | Ayes | 830:3,10 834:24 | 823:24 825:17,17 |
| 888:19 | 847:18 883:24 887:1 | 835:16 836:21 837:2 | 827:12 829:20,23 |
| attendance | 890:14 901:16 916:23 | 837:15,23 841:15,21 | 830:18 840:20,21 |
| 895:16 | 920:10 959:14 | 842:4 843:13 844:9 | 850:16 863:20 868:20 |
| attention | A-b-e-I | 861:19 869:8,23 | 869:5 883:2 884:16 |
| 852:7 860:6 926:3 | 888:5 | 892:8 949:20,20 | 886:11 887:11 888:10 |
| attorney | A10 | basically | 890:3 891:18 893:14 |
| 811:21 818:5 824:7 | 820:4 | 869:19 896:7 910:20 | 893:21 895:16 896:19 |
| 864:14 888:7 | A11 | 911:6 934:15 948:24 | 899:8 907:24 910:11 |
| attorneys | 813:22 847:11,12 | 949:4 | 911:22 912:12,16,17 |
| 816:17 895:5 948:6 | A15 | basis | 915:6,20 917:22 |
| audience | 813:23 901:6,20,23 | 835:15 838:19 844:9 | 918:12 922:1,4,5,8 |
| 826:9,21 855:10 | 902:10 915:12 926:4 | 887:13,13 897:9 | 925:17 927:18,21 |
| 880:22 881:17 944:16 | 926:4 | 916:2 | 928:16 929:13 930:24 |
| 944:17 946:11 958:17 | A20 | Batavia | 931:14,16,23 932:3 |
| Aurora | 813:24 820:7,9 | 957:9 | 932:17 935:13 936:22 |
| 812:6 | A3 | beautiful | 936:23 937:18 938:17 |
| author | 813:20 916:16,19 | 923:3 928:22 | 947:16 |
| 825:5 855:23 | 917:6 955:15 | became | before |
| authored | A8 | 908:16 943:20 | 809:1 810:11 818:22 |
| 855:12 | 813:21 890:9,18 | because | 843:17 858:24 860:12 |
| au | B | 817:22 818:12 819:16 | 3:14 |


| 884:24 898:1 930:21 | 814:16,18,20 | 914:14 916:17,19 | brokers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 930:22,23 931:3 | besides | 925:22 926:17 930:20 | 851:11 |
| 933:6,10 934:8,10 | 871:13 | 931:4 933:18 937:5 | Brook |
| 935:11 944:6 961:6 | best | 937:20 938:9 949:23 | 892:4,6,9 |
| beginning | 818:5 894:8 895:1 | 952:14 955:9 958:22 | brought |
| 883:17 912:4 | 935:14 959:19 | 959:8 | 875:20 908:17,24 |
| behalf | better | boarding | 950:21 957:2 |
| 811:10,18 812:1,9 | 841:17,17,21 863:8 | 900:23 | Brown |
| 815:17 | 885:2 945:1 | body | 811:11 813:5,7 817:5 |
| behind | between | 823:10 825:2 841:18 | 817:10 820:11 829:7 |
| 827:1,5 | 829:24 835:3 841:4 | 841:23 862:10 | 848:14,19,23 858:13 |
| being | 842:2 865:24 880:12 | bold | 858:16 859:5,9,13,16 |
| 821:21 825:20 834:7 | 885:14 916:4 921:6 | 917:19 | 860:5 862:24 863:4 |
| 838:4 839:9,24 | 941:15 952:23 953:4 | booklet | 863:10,11 864:12 |
| 842:20 850:14,20 | beyond | 957:1 | 867:10,21,23 870:12 |
| 852:12,16 872:20 | 907:4 | books | 872:15 875:7,15,17 |
| 875:12 884:23 885:11 | big | 825:5 | 882:2 887:5,8,19 |
| 896:3 899:6 905:13 | 868:17,17 911:4 | border | 939:4 |
| 910:11 913:15 919:11 | 914:18 929:11 | 894:21,21 | bubble |
| 920:19 922:2,18 | bigger | both | 851:19 |
| 923:19 924:3,24 | 928:23 | 853:7 855:24 904:8,9 | building |
| 929:14 930:14,20 | biggest | 908:6 914:6 915:20 | 868:2,3,8,8 869:13 |
| 932:18 934:10 938:3 | 915:4 | 921:13 925:3 | 892:22 929:5,5 |
| 947:11 | billion | Bowen | buildings |
| believe | 853:23 | 811:3 814:8,9 817:4 | 869:1 921:24 922:19 |
| 823:7 826:10 829:3 | bills | 883:19,20 886:20,21 | 927:19 928:5 945:6 |
| 835:3 837:8 843:4 | 821:20 | 916:20,21 | 945:10,17 946:1,4,9 |
| 844:10 845:5,9,12 | bit | box | built |
| 848:6 851:5,9 852:20 | 827:15 921:10 930:6 | 951:4 | 940:24 |
| 854:20 856:7,21 | Blair | bracket | built-up |
| 857:19 859:9 861:11 | 920:3 | 850:21 | 924:14 |
| 866:1 867:6 877:16 | block | BRANCH | bulldozed |
| 877:24 880:6 884:17 | 892:24 | 810:4 | 944:22 |
| 885:9,13,21 895:21 | blocks | break | bulldozers |
| 900:1 903:3 917:5 | 833:5 | 883:2,3,4 913:4 | 928:15 |
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| 812:19 814:8,10,12,14 | 898:1 901:21 914:13 | 824:4 851:10 852:6 | buy |


| 922:7 | 909:3 929:2 | case | 815:16 816:1,2,9,18 |
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| 828:1 | 884:13 891:13 | 828:3 832:15 833:11 | 883:12,19,20,22 |
| call | Carol | 865:1,3 868:12 | 884:1,3,7,11 886:9,16 |
| 814:3,6 815:14 820:7 | 898:17 931:17 | 869:19,21 919:18 | 886:17,20,21,23 |
| 821:2 885:3,10,12,23 | Carrara | 941:16 943:12 951:2 | 887:2,4,7,18,20,22,24 |
| 887:22 904:18 910:17 | 812:10 813:3,9,12 | centered | 888:3,8 890:6,8,12,15 |
| 913:20 959:6 | 815:14,16 816:2,11 | 868:11,11 | 890:17 899:24 901:5 |
| called | 816:21 820:6 821:1 | centers | 901:9,14,17,19 903:9 |
| 824:9 873:5,7 876:14 | 821:12,16 829:14 | 826:2 860:9 940:19,22 | 903:12 916:18,20,21 |
| 877:12,17 900:12 | 846:8,11,15 847:9,22 | 941:9 | 916:24 917:2,3 920:5 |
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| 890:11,12 901:15 | 847:21 884:3 887:4 | 961:7 | 888:20 |
| camps | 890:17 901:19 917:2 | cetera | chance |
| 918:5 | 920:13 | 827:22,22,23 | 817:6 |
| Campton | carrying | chair | change |
| 809:11 815:4,19,19 | 918:13 | 822:19 902:7 | 904:18 |
| 866:16,19,22 867:8 | carved | chairman | changed |
| 867:14,16 885:23 | 904:20 | 811:2 814:2,6,21 | 902:15 |
| 886:13 908:10,11 |  |  |  |
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| 869:3,8 872:6,7 877:5 | 928:4 931:15 935:10 | cross-examination | 899:21 939:11 |
| 880:11 889:16,16 | 936:4,22 937:1 | 818:10 853:13 858:15 | Dear |
| 896:24 902:24,24 | 940:11 944:1 945:15 | 865:15 867:22 870:19 | 884:16 |
| 909:9 910:15 911:20 | 947:12 950:5 951:12 | 872:17 881:17 887:15 | debate |
| 912:1 913:24 919:4 | 951:23 952:2 961:5 | 933:21 942:19 950:23 | 817:9 |
| 920:2,3,16 921:5 | County's | 952:2 | decade |
| 928:20 929:4,6 | 937:3 | CSR | 828:6 |
| 944:13 945:22 946:1 | couple | 809:24 961:4 | deceleration |
| 954:1 | 844:15 853:2 870:16 | cultivation | 913:14 |
| couldn't | 929:16 948:12 | 926:11 | decided |
| 911:1 | course | cultural | 926:17 |
| counsel | 823:12,21 | 918:20 | decision |
| 819:9 821:15 853:13 | courses | current | 825:11,12 839:16 |
| 858:15 865:15 867:22 | 823:18 | 903:10 945:10 | 855:15,21 897:4 |
| 870:19 879:22 888:11 | court | currently | 927:23 |
| 933:21 952:2 961:11 | 810:3,4 816:10,11 | 843:21 855:3 945:6 | decorum |
| counter | 862:20,24 863:5 | curriculum | 815:9 |
| 924:11 | 938:18 939:3 | 813:21 846:23 877:15 | decreasing |
| countertop | courtroom | 890:21 | 836:17 |
| 824:5 | 815:9 | cut | deemed |
| countertops | courts | 923:8 924:6 | 931:12 |
| 827:22 | 935:7 | CV | deep |
| counties | cover | 890:7 | 911:7 |
| 889:24 892:9 893:15 | 819:19 959:20 | D | Deerfield |
| 893:23 | covered | D | 892:1 |
| country | 894:21 911:7 | D | Deerpath |
| 819:5 839:9 842:22 | credibility | 814:1 886:7 910:9 | 812:5 |
| 843:2 892:15 | 853:18 875:11 879:5 | DANIEL | defend |
| Countryside | 925:16 937:4 | 811:5 | 841:22 879:10 |
| 815:18 886:1,14 | Creek | data | defer |
| county | 911:16 | 827:17,18 828:4,15,20 | 816:2,7 818:24 819:16 |
| 809:1 810:3 811:18,21 | crime | 837:16 840:9 845:5 | defined |
| 812:1 831:21 854:16 | 876:15 877:13 885:3 | 850:7 866:1 873:20 | 896:21,23 926:8,21 |
| 854:18,19,20 870:14 | criminal | 873:24 879:1,2,12,12 | 933:12,14 |
| 870:19 878:18 884:8 | 864:5 | 880:1 | definition |
| 885:24 886:8,15 | crisis | date | 899:21 900:9,12 |
| 891:6,9,10,12,19,20 | 842:13 | 817:19 819:20 | 901:24 916:13 919:2 |
| 891:22,23,23 892:11 | criteria | day | 919:2,6,11,15 941:2 |
| 892:12,13,18,20 | 823:1 953:18,22 | 895:20 961:17 | 942:10 943:4 949:10 |
| 893:1,3 894:3,5,7,9 | Criterion | days | 951:12 |
| 894:12,14,16,21 | 874:8 | 843:12,16 878:12 | definitions |
| 895:1,4,10 897:18,20 | critical | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 905:22 958:7 } \\ & \text { deal } \end{aligned}$ | 899:19 900:7 926:13 |


| definitive | desire | difference | 875:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 825:20 | 910:2 | 861:3 873:4 879:3 | discussed |
| degree | detail | 880:12 | 844:23 |
| 868:7 888:21 889:4 | 847:7 908:21 915:19 | differences | discussing |
| delivery | 917:20 923:14 | 841:4 842:2,8 | 839:7 934:20 |
| 912:21 | determine | different | dismissed |
| delves | 829:16 830:24 834:10 | 826:15 827:9,20 831:4 | 938:11,16 959:2 |
| 893:18 | 867:14 868:7 934:21 | 834:11 850:4,14 | disorder |
| demolished | 935:14 | 851:4 852:12 879:1 | 864:2 |
| 922:1 928:21 946:1 | determined | 880:5 896:21 905:10 | dispensaries |
| demolition | 831:15 866:17 938:14 | 920:23 921:16 922:20 | 902:1 |
| 946:3 | detox | 954:16 | dispense |
| denied | 822:7 836:7 | difficult | 942:14 943:8,13 |
| 949:19 950:2 | detoxification | 906:3 | dispensed |
| densely | 919:17 | dimensions | 899:22 926:22 943:16 |
| 840:18 842:16 | detoxing | 832:11 | dispenses |
| density | 835:22 | diminish | 902:3 |
| 909:14 | detrimental | 865:21 907:17 | dispensing |
| dentists | 903:20 | diminished | 899:15 900:12,16 |
| 830:14 | develop | 866:6 | 915:12 926:13,18 |
| Denver | 892:15 906:9 | diminishment | 943:24 947:10 |
| 824:23 | developed | 866:20 | dissertation |
| department | 891:9 894:1 906:9 | diminution | 942:21 |
| 822:17,19 892:19,21 | 917:22 927:18,22 | 857:5,14,17 858:10 | dissimilar |
| 893:2 906:12 912:7 | developer | 876:21 878:16 907:22 | 920:22 |
| 928:5 936:22 | 908:17 | direct | distances |
| departments | developers | 821:15 858:19 885:7 | 828:1 832:19,19 924:7 |
| 912:7,12 | 925:8 | 888:11 | distracted |
| depending | development | directed | 889:3 |
| 835:9 946:10 | 888:6 889:8 891:10 | 863:3 | district |
| described | 892:21,23,23 893:2,8 | Directing | 809:7 815:2,19 886:14 |
| 956:11 | 893:9,19 908:5,8,15 | 860:6 | 886:15 896:12 897:7 |
| describing | 909:2 910:24 911:4 | directions | 898:23 900:22 901:12 |
| 955:21 | 911:24 913:11 919:13 | 901:3 | 902:11,13,17 914:11 |
| design | 923:7,16 924:12 | directly | 922:3 926:21 931:11 |
| 892:2 | 925:5 927:17 928:4 | 832:2,4 833:22 834:23 | 933:14 938:18,20 |
| designate | 929:7,14 930:3 | 866:12 913:11,23 | 939:2 940:4,12,14,16 |
| 924:19 | 931:15 936:22 942:13 | 949:5 957:20 | 949:13 960:3 |
| designated | 948:15 954:18,20,21 | director | districts |
| 908:1 912:18 | deviation | 884:12 893:1,3 916:1 | 915:13 918:13 934:22 |
| designation | 954:16,23 | disability | 942:15 |
| 917:7,10,24 926:23 | deviations | 838:1 | divers |
| 948:8 | 914:16 954:7,12,19 | disagreed | 911:11 |
| designations | diagnosis | 848:24 849:14 | Division |
| 888:24 889:13 | 864:2 | discharged | 884:12 |
| designee | dictionary | 858:24 860:11 | Doctor |
| 816:14 | 900:10 | disclose | 871:22 873:3 877:9 |


| 878:5,19 879:7,15 | drafting | east/west | 896:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| doctors | 893:22 | 845:17 | else |
| 830:9,11 | drainage | easy | 818:8,20 882:15 |
| document | 910:10 | 842:7 941:20 | elsewhere |
| 819:19,24 860:1 869:5 | drawing | ecological | 834:19 |
| 877:10,12 886:18 | 945:24 | 918:7 | embarrassed |
| 887:6 917:9 | drive | economic | 854:8 |
| documentation | 824:3 837:10 881:12 | 842:12 888:6 889:8 | emergency |
| 816:19 867:3 | driveway | 892:23 893:8,9,19 | 885:16 909:23 |
| documents | 827:21 | 910:23 | emphasis |
| 819:13 895:13 | drug | Economics | 904:4 948:17 |
| doing | 822:7 850:1 852:5 | 824:20 877:23 | emphasize |
| 848:5 891:3 893:14 | 860:8 880:14 896:10 | editor | 924:8 |
| 923:5 932:3,23,23 | 919:17 922:5,14,24 | 826:11 827:9 | emphasized |
| 952:12 | 928:8 | education | 904:10 |
| Don | drugs | 823:9 889:1,11 | emphasizes |
| 884:9 | 836:3 947:11 | educational | 924:12 |
| Donald | dual | 918:20 | employed |
| 886:7 | 864:2 | effect | 876:10 889:23 961:12 |
| done | due | 836:14 837:11 856:15 | employees |
| 840:23 852:23 866:23 | 819:9 958:22 | 876:15 877:13 | 906:18 |
| 889:6 897:8 899:8 | duly | effects | employment |
| 911:5 921:5 929:4,18 | 821:14 888:10 | 826:1 914:6 | 864:5 889:23 891:5 |
| 931:23,24 932:21 | DuPage | efforts | empty |
| 936:14 937:18 939:3 | 891:19 892:11,11,18 | 829:4 | 880:13 |
| 947:16 | 893:3 894:5,12 895:4 | egress | EMS |
| dots | 906:12 915:20 916:4 | 912:18 | 885:15 |
| 921:22 | during | eight | encompasses |
| doubt | 846:2 891:1 898:3 | 819:7 843:12,16 | 845:19 |
| 878:4 905:1 | 925:11 936:3 953:7 | 928:19 | endanger |
| down | E | eighth | 903:20 |
| 837:10,12,13 849:13 | $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ | 830:5 831:17,22 832:5 | enforcement |
| 857:21 877:9 880:16 | E | 832:17 834:5 867:24 | 937:14,15 |
| 905:5 908:13 911:3 | 813:1,16 814:1,1 | 881:12 | enforcing |
| 911:11 924:7 928:23 | 912:15 | either | 812:18 931:9 |
| 948:19 | earlier | 819:21 820:16 879:15 | enjoyment |
| downfall | 815:17 816:13 818:6 | 889:21,24 896:24 | 865:19 907:15 |
| 850:17 | 840:8 884:21,22 | 898:9 910:17 919:20 | enlighten |
| DPOR | 909:7 910:12 912:20 | 945:21 | 849:22 |
| 823:9 | 915:22 924:22 936:2 | Electronic | enough |
| DPOs | 941:21 | 877:17 | 892:17 913:13 |
| 851:10 | early | elevated | ensures |
| Dr | 822:23 | 865:4 | 828:16,18 |
| 821:2,17 829:15 | easily | Elgin | enter |
| 847:24 865:17 | 912:2 928:21 | 883:9 908:18,24 | 905:20 |
| draft | east | 929:11 | entered |
| 880:4 | 812:12 845:18 908:19 921:13 | eliminated | 847:10 886:5 926:4 |


| entering | estate | 949:7,13 950:7 | exhibit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 926:14 | 822:20,22 823:3,19,20 | 953:18,21 | 813:20,21,22,23,24 |
| entire | 823:20 824:1,20,22 | everybody | 820:9 847:11,12 |
| 855:24 857:17 894:14 | 825:6,9,21 826:8,12 | 814:2 846:19 899:2 | 857:3 859:4,17 890:9 |
| 894:21 919:12,14 | 826:16,17 841:11 | 905:3 906:5 941:13 | 890:18 901:6,20,23 |
| entirely | 844:18,22 852:8 | everyone | 915:12 916:16,19 |
| 905:10 921:16 | 853:24 854:3,10,14 | 815:7 834:21 | 917:6 920:4 923:7 |
| entitled | 854:24 855:1,4,20 | everything | 955:15 |
| 856:14 | 865:6 874:10 877:23 | 818:23 893:18 898:20 | exhibits |
| entitlement | 904:7,11 907:4 | 899:7 900:8 909:16 | 813:18 820:6 |
| 942:13 | 908:14 929:3 939:16 | 916:3 923:18 | exist |
| entitlements | 939:16,22 941:19,22 | evidence | 838:20 |
| 943:7 | 945:18 947:7 | 866:7,12 872:14 | existed |
| entry | estate-type | 876:16 877:14 887:12 | 944:8 |
| 955:24 | 904:21 | 887:17 946:13 | existing |
| enumerated | estimate | exact | 936:9 955:20 956:1,6 |
| 940:11,17 | 850:6 878:9 953:3,4 | 841:19 866:17 876:1 | 956:12,15 |
| environment | estimated | exactly | expansion |
| 832:20,20 885:1 | 849:20 850:8 913:19 | 832:21 842:19 849:12 | 854:6,6,7 |
| 921:16 | Estimating | 869:4 | expect |
| equal | 876:15 877:1 | exaggerated | 917:23 |
| 894:9 920:19 | estimation | 842:14 | expectations |
| equate | 945:9 | exam | 921:17 |
| 848:3,4 | et | 823:17,1 | expedition |
| equation | 827:22,22,23 | examination | 914:21 |
| 829:23,24 849:13,19 | even | 821:15 849:4 879:22 | expensive |
| 849:22 850:5 886:2 | 834:17 840:5 848:7 | 888:11 | 835:6 |
| equity | 861:20 864:4 865:2 | example | experience |
| 845:2 | 868:21 873:24 896:7 | 830:2 852:4 898:16 | 818:24 825:14 850:16 |
| ERIN | 899:1 900:20 910:17 | examples | 854:9 890:22 955:10 |
| 811:19 | 913:12 916:7 947:12 | 928:8 | expert |
| error | 947:17 948:23 | except | 813:24 815:20 816:21 |
| 829:17 856 | evening | 914:12 | 822:8,13 829:4 |
| especially | 815:23 816:4,16 817:9 | exception | 834:24 853:17,21 |
| 887:9 897:18 900:21 | 819:21 821:22 835:11 | 839:22 840:6 935:17 | 872:7 875:20 903:22 |
| 905:5 906:1 907:10 | 853:15 884:7 933:23 | excerpt | 925:1,3 |
| 916:3 924:14 930:3 | 933:24 937:21 960:10 | 955:16 | experts |
| 935:3 | evening's | exclusively | 853:19 924:24 935:2 |
| ESQUIRE | 814:22 815:9 | 904:12 | expert's |
| 811:11,12,19,20 812:2 | ever | excuse | 847:10 |
| 812:10 | 854:13,19 866:13,15 | 831:24 834:1,22 844:1 | expires |
| essence | 893:14 925:12,17 | 845:24 857:2 862:11 | 961:20 |
| 816:12 | 933:10 935:5 | 864:12 875:15 912:16 | explain |
| essentially | every | excused | 817:21 829:3,5,17 |
| 935:10 944:22 | 819:2 823:8 834:9 | 882:6,19,20 959:4 | 839:18 889:17 910:15 |
| establishment | 898:2,4,12,13 933:9 | exercise | 920:16 |
| 903:18 | 933:11 935:5 942:20 | 853:16 | expressed |


| 953:8,10,17 | 833:19,24 835:2,5,6,8 | 829:17 | 937:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| expressly | 835:20,21 836:7,12 | fair | fear |
| 940:17 | 836:15,17,22 837:7 | 822:1 826:24 827:11 | 861:11 |
| extensive | 837:23 838:19,22 | 830:21 834:22 836:5 | fearful |
| 819:1 840:21 | 839:1,3,3,12 840:1 | 837:14,18 838:1 | 838:4 839:2 |
| extensively | 842:16,18,20,21 | 845:5 868:5,6 869:14 | feasible |
| 848:1 | 843:6 844:2,11 845:7 | 869:15 872:18 922:23 | 918:10 |
| extent | 845:20 848:5 852:13 | 954:22 955:1,19 | feature |
| 885:12 889:11 939:10 | 852:18 858:4,7 | fairly | 840:7 |
| exterior | 861:12,13 862:16 | 913:10 | February |
| 842:7 | 863:17,20 865:10,11 | fall | 959:9 961:18 |
| external | 866:9,24,24 869:4 | 889:22 924:3 952:6,7 | fed |
| 824:12 830:23 849:23 | 870:5 873:21 874:2,4 | fallacy | 935:21 |
| 914:6 | 874:10 878:17 880:13 | 915:4 | fee |
| externalities | 880:14 881:13,20 | falls | 855:2 |
| 831:3 832:23 833:22 | 884:21,23 885:3,14 | 905:1 | feel |
| 839:6 843:3 852:3 | 895:14 896:22 899:10 | familiar | 817:11 818:3,10 |
| externality | 899:15 902:3,9,13,17 | 858:6,8 892:6 906:10 | 819:16 820:17 858:9 |
| 824:5,10 828:2 833:11 | 906:19,21 907:8 | 915:23 916:5 957:8 | 861:13 862:10 896:12 |
| 833:18 836:11 837:22 | 908:3,13 910:16 | familiarity | 909:1 |
| 839:10 843:20 844:14 | 913:1,4,6 914:4 | 908:19 | feeling |
| 852:1,8 861:18 | 919:22 922:5,14,22 | Families | 905:24 907:9 |
| 880:17 | 922:24 924:19 925:13 | 861:23 | feels |
| extraordinarily | 928:9,12 931:3 | family | 820:13 862:18 |
| 850:19 | 935:23 939:15 941:17 | 838:5 863:13 | feet |
| extrapolate | 944:5,24 947:6,14,19 | far | 832:14,16 841:7 |
| 834:6 | 957:9,11,17,22 958:1 | 817:5 836:17 852:13 | felt |
| extremely | 958:2,5,10 | 852:13,16 868:3 | 935:19 |
| 911:7 | fact | 877:9 929:11 | fend |
| e-mail | 817:17 818:7,19 | farm | 862:1 863:15 |
| 816:16 884:11,21,22 | 838:20 844:16 862:3 | 902:13 | Fermi |
| 886:6,12 | 872:16 875:9,18,20 | farming | 918:4 |
|  | 887:14 897:19 904:10 | 809:7 815:1 902:11,17 | few |
| F | 906:5 908:12,16 | 904:14,21 926:21 | 837:5 |
| F | 914:1 922:13 923:15 | 940:3,12 941:19,22 | field |
| 809:7 811:11 815:1 | 950:8 | Farther | 825:5 850:11,12 852:8 |
| 901:12 902:11,17 | factor | 836:16 | figure |
| 914:9 915:4,8 940:3 | 833:9,13 860:19,20,21 | fashion | 941:20 |
| 940:12 | 861:8,9,10 862:15 | 884:23 | figures |
| facilities | 863:16,21 864:19 | fastest | 913:3 |
| 835:16 852:5,23 | factored | 892:13,14 897:19,21 | file |
| 856:12,16,19,22,23 | 867:14 | favor | 817:13 819:14 883:18 |
| 857:13,18,24 861:17 | factors | 847:17 883:23 886:24 | 886:19 890:10 920:6 |
| 896:11 900:23 910:11 | 824:3 830:23 831:10 | 890:13 901:15 916:22 | filed |
| 913:7 924:2 927:19 | 832:23 833:21 864:5 | 920:9 959:13 | 816:9,12,13,15 |
| facility | 865:14 909:12 938:5 | favorable | filing |
| $\begin{gathered} 809: 10815: 3821: 10 \\ 822: 8 ~ 832: 2,4,8,11 \end{gathered}$ | facts | 931:15 936:23 937:13 | 817:5 |


| final | fits | 885:3 | full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 876:3 877:22 880:1,4 | 919:9 938:6 | forest | 825:9 |
| 955:14 | five | 845:20 870:6 885:24 | fully |
| finally | 893:10,13 | 886:15 913:10 918:13 | 929:21 |
| 833:17 843:4 | fixed | forever | functions |
| finance | 834:16,17 | 931:23 | 918:8 |
| 822:22 823:20 825:6,9 | FLAHERTY | forgot | further |
| 855:14,20 | 812:3 | 924:22 | 818:14 819:15 849:3 |
| financial | flaw | form | 853:8 870:12 879:16 |
| 822:20 842:12 861:24 | 828:23 831:20 838:21 | 861:23 863:13 | 951:21 |
| 863:13 961:13 | floors | formality | future |
| find | 827:22 | 828:17 | 909:4 |
| 841:16 842:5,7 863:16 | FLOWERS | formation | G |
| 878:24 900:8 907:4 | 811:13 | 889:22 | G |
| 925:17 941:19 | flown | formula | G |
| finding | 819:4 | 829:16,18 831:5 | 814:1 |
| 866:13 | fluid | forth | GAEKE |
| findings | 844:18 | 926:10 | 811:19 |
| 866:15 867:12,17 | focused | fortunate | game |
| finds | 823:24 | 892:17 910:20 | 872:18 |
| 866:11 | focuses | forward | garage |
| fine | 948:4 | 815:12,13 886:9 | 827:21 |
| 816:1 820:1 890:8 | focusing | 959:24 960:6 | Gardner |
| 945:23 955:13 | 884:24 | forwarded | 891:13 |
| finish | FOIA | 886:6,13 | Gary |
| 941:12 | 867:9 | for-profit | 891:24 |
| finished | follow | 863:18,19 919:17 | gave |
| 820:12 | 836:24 896:12 937:1 | found | 874:18 928:8 934:13 |
| fire | followed | 866:20 898:12 900:11 | 942:8 954:3 955:15 |
| 815:18 886:1,14 904:2 | 896:14 916:5 935:5 | 902:22 910:24 911:9 | general |
| 960:3 | 937:16 | 921:19 | 903:21 904:5 905:2,5 |
| firm | following | Foundation | 905:23 907:11 936:14 |
| 888:14 891:13,15 | 878:8 884:16 940:17 | 918:4 | 936:16,16 952:16 |
| 892:4,10 893:14,18 | follows | founded | generalized |
| first | 821:14 888:10 926:8 | 842:4 | 916:8 948:6,7 |
| 823:4 825:10 835:11 | food | four | generally |
| 849:9 860:7,16 | 912:24 939:21 940:23 | 818:1 825:18 845:17 | 858:6,21 897:14 918:6 |
| 870:24 891:5,8,21 | 941:9,15 | 889:9 956:2,7 | 955:8 |
| 894:1,5 898:6 906:10 | footage | Fox | gentleman |
| 908:20 910:3,21 | 827:21 | 815:18 886:1,14 892:1 | 834:12 844:23 |
| 926:1 930:15 931:2 | foreclosures | Francisco | geo |
| 937:6,6 953:13 | 850:24 | 824:21 | 869:18 |
| 955:24 | foregoing | frequently | geocode |
| fishing | 961:6,7 | 858:23 860:11 | 868:14 |
| 914:21 | forerunners | front | geocodes |
| fit | 891:10 | 856:24 857:8 874:19 | 868:11 869:15 |
| 939:15,22 | foresee | 955:16,17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GERALD } \\ & 811: 7 \end{aligned}$ |


| getting | 817:1 819:8,18,20 | 918:9 | 885:5,10 887:10 911:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 816:3 847:24 908:20 | 829:7 833:12 834:10 | Greer | 913:15 |
| 911:2 | 836:12,16 844:24 | 855:20,22 | handles |
| girls | 846:8 848:14 852:21 | Ground | 885:18 |
| 922:1,5 | 858:13 859:18 863:7 | 895:20 | happen |
| GIS | 863:24 865:12 869:24 | group | 838:4 |
| 868:6 | 881:22,24 884:14 | 838:7 889:17,19 890:2 | happened |
| give | 899:8 900:1,5 906:5 | groups | 938:13 |
| 819:11 824:16 827:15 | 906:11,17,21,24 | 827:6 | happens |
| 830:2 852:4 877:4,5 | 907:21 909:19 910:17 | Grove | 882:5 |
| 887:12,16 888:7 | 910:18,19 913:7,17 | 892:1 | happiness |
| 903:1 950:22 952:16 | 914:3,21 915:1,7 | growing | 905:7 |
| 954:5 | 916:12 922:4,8,13 | 892:13,14 897:19 | hard |
| given | 923:2,10 928:20 | growth | 842:13 |
| 847:5 865:12 872:3 | 930:2 939:20 942:2 | 894:11 897:24 923:24 | hardest |
| gives | 951:5 953:4 958:6 | 924:1,9,9 925:6 947:3 | 845:22 |
| 917:9 | 960:4,5 | 947:5,5 948:10 | hardwood |
| giving | gone | Grundy | 827:22 |
| 829:8 858:9 870:22 | 897:10 910:8 935:10 | 891:22 893:24 894:2 | Harold |
| 910:7 931:18 946:13 | good | guarantee | 811:3 893:6 |
| 946:14 | 841:8 848:24 853:15 | 942:1 | head |
| glad | 875:22 884:7 897:15 | guaranteed | 893:7 |
| 867:10 878:23 | 898:16 911:13 929:5 | 893:12 941:24 | heading |
| Glen | 929:22 933:23,24 | guess | 892:19 917:19 |
| 809:10 815:4 | 944:11 946:23 947:7 | 816:14 932:16 | health |
| Glenwood | 947:13 | guidelines | 903:21 905:4 912:7 |
| 815:6 885:8 943:15,24 | gotten | 850:18 | hear |
| 945:7 | 852:7 | guy | 816:5 818:20 820:14 |
| glove | governing | 881:23 | 820:15 839:5 844:8 |
| 915:24 | 825:2 841:18,23 | H | 844:12 856:15 946:15 |
| go | government | H | heard |
| 817:20 820:23 832:23 | 880:22 889:23 | H | 825:24 826:23 828:22 |
| 837:12,13 844:20 | grade | 813:11,16 888:5,9 | 829:15 831:14,19 |
| 849:8 850:20 863:10 | 911:8 | habitats | 847:18 848:20 866:3 |
| 863:24 881:6 882:8 | graduate | 918:19 | 866:13 883:24 887:1 |
| 883:3 894:7 897:12 | 889:6 | half | 890:14 899:10,14 |
| 899:3,23 900:7,9 | granite | 849:12 856:22,24 | 901:16 903:23 904:24 |
| 906:13 911:3 913:10 | 824:4 827:21 | 857:13 | 910:3 912:10 916:23 |
| 923:12,14 928:3 | grant | half-mile | 920:10 924:23 959:14 |
| 932:9 935:21 936:19 | 892:24 | 913:9 | hearing |
| 937:20 939:2 942:2 | granted | Hamilton | 809:17 814:23 817:20 |
| 949:9,16 952:15 | 900:15 | 910:21 911:4 930:5 | 819:7 883:17 886:5 |
| goes | great | hand | 896:8,19 898:3,24 |
| 872:19 875:11,17 | 840:3 908:21 | 821:4 888:1 915:23 | 899:4,6 932:2,24 |
| 905:24 908:4 917:20 | greater | 916:13 961:17 | 934:14,20 936:4 |
| 947:7 948:9,16 | 941:18 | handed | 937:11,19 938:7 |
| going | green | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 846:18 879:24 890:21 } \\ & \text { handle } \end{aligned}$ | 942:2 949:9,14 |


| 950:14 952:21 953:13 | hereunto | homeless | 898:19 921:24 923:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 959:6,18 | 961:16 | 865:2 | 924:6 927:20 954:22 |
| hearings | heroin | homeowner | 955:1 |
| 818:3 884:17 885:12 | 835:17,20 836:2 839:4 | 824:14 839:2 844:17 | Howard |
| 895:17,17 910:4 | herself | 852:9 862:18 | 921:14 |
| 912:5 952:13 | 862:1 863:15 | homeowners | huge |
| heavily | Hey | 837:5,5 838:4 861:12 | 910:23 |
| 921:12,13 | 826:14 | homeowner's | hundreds |
| heavy | He'll | 862:10 | 825:17 |
| 930:6 | 864:14 | homes | hypothetical |
| hedonic | high | 866:10 868:5 869:1,3 | 882:12 944:10 |
| 873:5 | 841:20 842:3 862:4 | 919:19 924:4 928:22 |  |
| Heinrich | 922:24 923:1 | 928:22,23 940:18,22 | I |
| 811:5 814:12,13 | higher | 941:9 | idea |
| 847:17 883:21,22 | 833:14 835:12 850:22 | home's | 905:23 906:2 |
| 901:13,14 920:9 | higher-priced | 878:10 | ideas |
| 959:11,12 | 835:10 | HONORABLE | 907:7 |
| held | highway | 811:11 | identical |
| 810:1 890:2 | 850:21 | hope | 839:21 840:6 881:21 |
| help | high-end | 952:1 | identification |
| 819:6 856:11 | 863:20 | hoping | 820:9 847:12 890:18 |
| helped | Hills | 880:10 | 901:20 916:16 |
| 906:9 | 815:19 866:16,19,23 | hospital | identified |
| helping | 867:8,14,16 885:24 | 861:13,15,16 908:2 | 839:9 859:8 912:6,22 |
| 884:24 | 886:14 908:10,11 | 927:13 935:18 941:3 | 914:19 915:11 |
| here | 909:3 929:2 | 941:16 943:12 946:24 | Illinois |
| 814:9,11,13,15,17,19 | himself | 948:1,24 949:16 | 809:18 810:6,13 |
| 815:22 816:16 817:17 | 862:1 863:15 | 950:17,22 951:1 | 811:15,23 812:6,14 |
| 817:18,19,20,21,24 | hired | hospitals | 850:18 854:4,11,20 |
| 818:2,17 819:5,7 | 870:1 888:7 946:21 | 919:19 924:4 940:18 | 888:20 889:5 890:5 |
| 820:20 821:8 833:21 | history | 940:21 941:8 942:4 | 891:7,17 892:1,14 |
| 847:8 850:7 851:2 | 827:16 | 949:15 | 893:16 897:20 899:12 |
| 853:16 862:7 864:20 | hit | hotels | 900:14,17 902:5 |
| 865:23 867:20 870:22 | 836:16 845:21 | 930:6 | 919:24 923:22 926:19 |
| 871:2,24 872:6,8,9 | HODGE | hours | 961:5,24 |
| 879:15 887:10,14 | 812:4 | 874:20,22 952:12,17 | illustrate |
| 895:10,21 899:8 | Hog | 952:19,20,22 953:5 | 841:10 |
| 909:14 910:14 911:16 | 895:20 | house | imagine |
| 912:11 914:18,22 | hold | 842:1 881:22 882:1 | 879:4 |
| 921:4,17 931:7 934:2 | 819:18 822:15,24 | housed | immediate |
| 934:4,10 937:4,18,21 | 855:3 888:24 889:13 | 907:1 935:22 | 865:20 907:15 |
| 938:1 939:8 946:4,5 | home | housekeeping | impact |
| 947:17 948:13 950:21 | 824:3 834:15,20 852:9 | 815:17 | 822:9 830:11,24 |
| 950:23 953:8 955:14 | 852:19 868:23 881:7 | houses | 831:15,16,18 833:12 |
| 957:2,7 958:2 960:4 | 881:8,19,21 908:2 | 881:23 911:20,21 | 833:19,23 834:3,7,10 |
| hereby | 927:13 935:18 941:16 | housing | 835:1,4,5,7,8,13 |
| 926:8 | 943:11 946:24 951:1 | 837:18 838:1 849:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 836: 6,13,22 \text { 838:14 } \\ & 838: 22 \text { 842:22,23 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 843:20 845:13,16 | including | 840:22 937:12 | 845:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 848:9,10,11 853:5 | 825:18 827:24 854:4 | institute | Interstate |
| 856:10 861:14 862:18 | 891:24 | 825:1,3 889:14 | 854:5 |
| 866:20 874:9 907:10 | income | institutional | interview |
| 909:11,19 913:21 | 833:14,16 834:16,17 | 917:16,19 918:2 919:3 | 892:10 |
| impacted | incorrect | 919:6,15 928:24 | interviewed |
| 833:15 836:18 852:21 | 829:5,18 830:19 | 956:4,13,16,23 | 892:16 |
| 857:24 | 938:14 941:8 | 957:12,23 | introduce |
| impacts | increase | institutional/open | 904:22 909:15 |
| 824:13 833:2 837:17 | 885:16,19,20,22 913:8 | 945:21 | invert |
| 837:17 845:6 852:9 | 913:16 | institutional/private | 869:17 |
| impair | increased | 916:11 917:6 | investigator |
| 865:22 907:17 | 939:20 | instruct | 840:15 |
| impairment | increases | 919:7 | investment |
| 865:23 | 833:18,20 | instruction | 825:7,10,12 834:20 |
| implement | indicate | 823:2 | 844:18 853:24 855:14 |
| 895:1,2 | 957:1 | instructional | 855:21 |
| implementation | indicated | 919:11 | invited |
| 893:22 | 855:13 908:8 957:12 | instructions | 824:24 |
| implemented | indicates | 894:17 | involve |
| 918:12 | 857:4 | instructor | 946:3 |
| implementing | individual | 855:5 | involved |
| 918:14 | 828:2 844:16 | integration | 837:4 889:20 898:16 |
| important | individuals | 824:2 | 908:21 929:13 932:1 |
| 828:12 840:11 858:19 | 910:1 | intense | 932:17,19 955:1 |
| 918:18,19,21 | industr | 947:20 | involving |
| importantly | 917:12 | intensity | 934:8 |
| 894:4 | industry | 906:4,16 909:13 | Iroquois |
| impossible | 842:11 | 913:17 923:16 | 891:22 |
| 840:4 | influence | interacts | irrelevant |
| impressive | 870:3 | 915:16 | 837:3 |
| 854:2 | informa | interest | irrigated |
| improper | 833:7 | 921:19 961:13 | 911:14 |
| 817:12 | information | interested | isolate |
| inability | 820:19 847:3 909:7 | 909:20 | 831:8 |
| 949:21 | infrastructure | interesting | issue |
| inappropriate | 918:9 | 904:8 908:6 915: | 936:1 939:5 |
| 819:10 948:24 | ingress | 916:7 918:11 | issues |
| include | 912:17 | interim | 836:7 837:18,24 838:2 |
| 854:16,17 873:22 | injurious | 900:12,14 926:10,12 | 889:21 906:3 |
| 893:15 900:22 913:3 | 865:19 907:14 | internal | Itasca |
| 918:16 940:7,12 | inpatient | 914:6 | 910:22 911:3 |
| included | 944:24 | International | Itasca's |
| 828:9 846:6,24 878:6 | instance | 854:5,6 | 910:24 |
| 886:11 892:21 898:22 | 900:11 904:6 906:4 | interpretation | item |
| includes | 914:13 922:23 940:18 | $936: 17,19 \text { 937:2 }$ | 915:8 956:16 |
| 918:1 956:3 | instead | interrupting | iterations |


| 916:6 | 811:20 | 904:1,14 910:14 | 852:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| itself | keep | 912:14 913:13 915:5 | lands |
| 917:13 943:16 949:20 | 872:24 906:14 915:7 | 927:1,12,15,20 | 918:16,23 |
| i.e | keeping | 930:23 931:2 933:7 | lanes |
| 833:14,18 | 908:12,14 924:2,12 | 935:6 938:1,8,12,13 | 913:14 |
|  | 929:3 946:6 | 941:14 955:7 959:20 | language |
| J | KEITH | knowing | 901:24 |
| Jack | 811:11 812:19 | 932:11 | Lannert |
| 881:11 906:8,9 911:1 | Kendall | knowledge | 904:9,13 908:7 909:5 |
| January | 891:23 894:4 | 912:10 945:5 | 921:1 |
| 809:19 884:10 | kept | known | large |
| job | 851:21 | 846:24 884:19 | 828:15 904:21 906:11 |
| 809:22 864:4 892:11 | KERKHOFF | knows | 908:24 917:14 |
| Joe | 812:18 884:7 | 925:15 | larger |
| 886:7 889:12 890:21 | Kevin | Kolb | 833:2,11 835:5,7,8,8 |
| 916:12 917:5 919:4 | 812:10 820:23 829:13 | 811:12 813:4,6,13 | 841:7 |
| 954:1 957:19 | kind | 853:14 859:8 865:16 | largest |
| Joseph | 896:22 897:3 902:14 | 867:7,13,17 883:6 | 834:20 844:17 |
| 811:2,21 813:11 | 914:20 917:23 922:14 | 933:22 938:19,23 | large-lot |
| 887:23 888:5,9 | 924:21 947:13 | 939:2 942:18 944:5,8 | 929:3 939:16 |
| journal | kinds | 944:11,14,20 946:18 | last |
| 825:19 826:11,13,15 | 913:7 935:22 | 950:24 951:8,15,21 | 815:11 850:17 851:19 |
| 826:19 827:10 877:17 | Kinnally | Kolbe | 854:23 894:7 914:9 |
| jurisdiction | 812:2,3 813:8,14 818:5 | 855:20,21 | 917:22 925:15 931:20 |
| 921:3 | 819:23 820:2 845:23 | Kramer | 937:19 944:4 |
| jurisdictions | 846:5,9,10 847:3 | 884:9 886:7 | lasted |
| 886:12 | 859:3,6,11,14,17 | KRENTZ | 818:3 |
| justify | 863:2 870:16,20,21 | 812:3 | lately |
| 841:17 | 871:4 872:5,10 873:1 |  | 852:7 |
| J21 | 873:2 875:4 876:2,4 | L | later |
| 859:6,9,17 873:13,16 | 876:18 877:5,11,12 | Laboratory | 819:19 867:11 907:6 |
| 873:21 874:2 876:11 | 877:19 879:14 880:3 | 918:4 | latitude/longitude |
| K | 932:13,15 952:1,3 | lady | 869:18 |
| Kane |  | 910:3 | laundry |
| 809:1 810:3 811:18,21 | kitchens | L | 907:3 939:21 941:10 |
| 812:1 831:21 854:18 | knew | Lakes | Lauzen |
| 854:20 878:18 884:8 | 843:14 899:2 923:2 | 910:21 911:4 930:5 | 884:12 |
| 885:24 886:8,15 | 928:5 | land | law |
| 894:9,10 895:10 | know | 845:20 890:23 891:8 | 876:17 877:15 888:14 |
| 909:15 911:16 915:22 | 818:19 820:3 834:15 | 892:4 897:15 908:18 | laws |
| 916:1,4 917:10 | 842:2 843:14,17,22 | 909:13 910:16,19 | 837:18 851:3 |
| 918:21 921:7 928:4 | 846:1,5 849:21 | 911:18 915:16,21 | lawsuit |
| 940:11 944:1 945:15 | 851:18 852:14,15 | 917:8 919:23 920:15 | 938:11,16 |
| 951:12 961:5 | 868:3,19 876:1 | 923:6 926:23 927:16 | lawyers |
| Kankakee | 879:10 880:12,15 | 931:9 936:9 945:14 | 830:15 871:15 |
| 891:23 KATHLEEN | 897:7 898:11 903:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 945:15 954:20 } \\ & \text { landfills } \end{aligned}$ | layman's |


| 935:9 | 938:12 | 938:11 | long-term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lead | license | little | 950:4 |
| 901:2 947:10 948:14 | 823:2,4,5,13 855:3 | 827:15 906:20 911:10 | look |
| leading | 900:13 | 911:12 921:10,23 | 826:15 830:8 837:9 |
| 891:15 | licensed | live | 870:24 881:22 887:17 |
| leads | 823:3,9,15 854:10,13 | 818:22 830:5 881:12 | 899:19 900:1 919:22 |
| 858:18 | 855:1 899:11 900:17 | 905:8 921:18 958:6 | 921:22 930:24 933:7 |
| leapfrogging | 902:4 926:18 | lived | 941:2 955:24 |
| 924:10 | licenses | 876:23 | looked |
| least | 822:24 | living | 840:10 841:1 849:11 |
| 816:8 817:24 818:3 | licensing | 821:17 907:12 922:11 | 853:20 863:20 871:5 |
| 873:10 901:2 922:22 | 899:16 | LLC | 900:21 919:1,23 |
| leave | licensure | 809:5 811:10 812:11 | 950:13 |
| 817:1 858:23 860:11 | 823:7 | load | looking |
| 860:22 861:4 864:3 | lifestyle | 885:3,10,12,23 | 830:3 854:1 858:14 |
| 865:11,12 892:10,11 | 904:18 910:1,4 920:24 | locate | 860:2 901:2 904:8 |
| 905:17 | 939:16,16,23 | 903:6 | 916:6 923:5 954:13 |
| leaving | lights | located | LORAN |
| 891:12 | 885:17 909:9,22 | 815:3 831:17 832:16 | 812:3 |
| led | limit | 836:14 839:10,12 | lose |
| 862:13 899:5 900:18 | 872:19 | 840:5 842:1,16,24 | 845:2 |
| 947:1 | limited | 843:6 857:23 858:4 | losing |
| left | 864:4 915:12 927:7 | 865:3 878:9 896:24 | 938:8 |
| 832:13,13 897:22 | line | 902:9,17 914:12 | lot |
| 904:15 928:13 | 832:17,17 919:1 928:7 | 934:23 940:3 947:19 | 833:6 834:18 852:7 |
| left-hand | 947:12 | locating | 893:16 899:7 903:23 |
| 921:9 | linen | 860:8 | 904:4 908:22 909:21 |
| legal | 912:24 | location | 911:18 937:5 939:13 |
| 895:7 | lines | 810:1 837:6 839:23 | 948:16 950:24 |
| lengthens | 852:4 868:1,9 | 842:17 861:6 876:6 | lots |
| 878:11 | liquid | 897:12 902:8 904:20 | 832:22 900:15 904:21 |
| lengthy | 844:22,22 | 921:6,7 935:4,24 | 911:23 |
| 899:6 | list | 941:23 944:22 946:23 | lovely |
| less | 896:10 898:7 930:18 | 947:19 959:9 | 839:13 |
| 839:2 857:14 862:18 | 931:11,22 942:3 | locations | low |
| 881:12 | 949:6,7 | 900:20 901:4 935:15 | 842:3 845:1 909:14 |
| lesser | listed | 940:24 947:13 | lower |
| 818:11 | 847:11 902:10 903:11 | logic | 833:16 850:22 878:16 |
| letter | 949:15 957:24 | 837:2 | lowers |
| 926:9 | lists | long | 878:10 |
| let's | 923:20 936:17 | 822:21 843:17 851:20 | lowest |
| 820:7,7 830:2,8 838:16 | literature | 881:14 908:9 913:9 | 841:14 |
| 838:16 881:8 883:3 | 832:22 833:1,9,13,17 | 922:4 929:10 931:7 | low-income |
| 903:18 907:13 912:15 | 833:22 837:1 851:22 | Longacre | 833:15 |
| 933:17 944:8,11,17 | 851:22,23,23,24 | 881:11 | ludicrous |
| level | 852:1 861:2 | Longwood | 830:16 |
| 831:15,16 899:9 | litigated | 821:18 841:24 | Ludington |


| 906:11 | 838:7,10,13,16 839:5 | 893:10,11 | 889:14 890:11 901:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 839:8,15,22 840:11 | MC | 916:20 920:7 926:1 |
| M | 841:2 842:22 843:5 | 811:21 | 926:24 927:5,8,11 |
| M | 843:10,11,22 844:8 | McCormick | 929:16,20 930:11 |
| 809:24 810:11 812:10 | 845:4,9,12 848:1,8,15 | 854:7 | 931:19 932:6,16 |
| 961:3 | 849:9 853:20 854:3 | McGraw | 933:1,4 959:11 |
| magnitude | 856:2 871:16 874:13 | 918:3 | members |
| 904:23 947:6 | 904:8,11 919:22 | meal | 849:5 853:9 879:17 |
| MAHON | 920:18 921:20 | 906:19 | 881:24 882:18 886:18 |
| 811:21 | MASUR | mean | 900:2 925:22 933:18 |
| main | 812:4 | 828:14 830:11 840:5 | 958:22 |
| 871:14 | matched | 842:11 849:22 853:5 | memory |
| maintained | 840:13 841:1 922:11 | 861:7 862:21 875:21 | 841:6 848:16 869:17 |
| 907:1 | matched-pair | 891:19 928:21 929:2 | 876:24 |
| maintenance | 839:17,18,20 840:20 | 946:2 949:16 | mentioned |
| 903:19 918:23 929:22 | 840:22 843:5 | means | 818:19 823:4 835:12 |
| major | material | 824:2 873:4 875:12 | 842:15 852:12,14 |
| 828:23 838:21 922:16 | 871:11,12 | 955:10 | 853:4 898:15 902:8 |
| 923:19 | math | measure | mentioning |
| making | 827:1,5,12 828:23 | 912:16 | 912:20 |
| 860:16 864:20 897:4 | 829:16,24 830:17 | measurement | mere |
| 937:10 | 832:6 848:21,23 | 869:11 | 838:20 |
| man | 849:10,12,14,15 | measures | met |
| 910:6 | 850:5 856:9 | 912:16 | 897:2 |
| management | mathematical | measuring | methadone |
| 918:24 | 856:3,5,8 | 869:12 | 836:3,7 848:3,4 849:10 |
| map | mathematics | medical | 850:2 856:22 857:6 |
| 832:10 917:13,14,19 | 830:10 | 902:1 923:20 924:4,13 | 857:21,23 |
| 956:19,21 957:1 | matter | 924:19 927:10 | metro |
| marijuana | 815:16 817:9 864:23 | meet | 890:4 |
| 902:1 927:2,10,12 | 866:20 872:21 938:10 | 916:2 950:1 | metropolitan |
| mark | matters | meeting | 894:1 |
| 812:18 819:24 884:16 | 815:24 883:13 | 814:3 815:11 819:22 | MEYERS |
| marked | Maxxam | 959:8 | 811:13 |
| 820:7,9 847:12 890:18 | 809:5 811:10 815:6 | meets | mic |
| 901:20 916:16 | 816:6,17 822:7 832:2 | 907:9 | 870:18 881:10 919:5 |
| market | 832:4,11 835:2,19 | Megan's | 954:2 |
| 826:17,18 843:12,16 | 836:6,22 842:18 | 876:16 877:14 | Michigan |
| 844:19,19,22 851:11 | 843:6 844:1,11 845:6 | member | 906:11 |
| 866:7 876:16 877:14 | 848:5 866:23 884:13 | 811:3,4,5,6,7,8 814:9 | microphone |
| 878:12 | 884:17,20 885:11 | 814:11,13,15,17,19 | 957:20 |
| marketplace | 895:13,24 899:10,14 | 817:2,4 847:15 | Midway |
| 865:5 | 902:9,13 903:3 | 848:18 849:7,21 | 854:6 |
| MaRous | 912:13 915:16 925:13 | 850:9,13 851:13,18 | Mid-November |
| 822:13 825:1,20,24 | Mayo | 852:11,22 853:2,4,8 | 822:4 |
| 826:23 827:12 828:22 | 861:14 | 880:9,18 881:17 | might |
| $\begin{aligned} & 829: 4,8,15,22830: 10 \\ & 830: 19 \text { 831:14,19 } \end{aligned}$ | Mayor | 883:19,21 886:20,22 | 819:6 838:4 947:16 |


| mile | 879:2 | 895:20 | necessary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 830:5 831:18,22 832:5 | model | moving | 819:16 820:14 828:17 |
| 832:17 834:5 836:15 | 849:18 871:18,22 | 815:12 | 910:10 |
| 845:19 852:21 868:1 | 872:12 873:3,5,7,9,11 | multiple | need |
| 876:22 878:10 881:13 | 876:9,10 | 824:18 840:19 841:3 | 876:1 881:9,10,13 |
| 881:20 921:2 | models | municipal | 883:10 886:1 911:15 |
| miles | 824:1,1,2 | 891:20,24 910:18 | 919:4 942:12 944:19 |
| 833:4 836:18 845:18 | modified | 924:15 | 957:19 959:21 |
| 881:21 | 914:13 | municipalities | needed |
| mile-and-a-half | Moga | 889:24 892:9 893:15 | 892:15 |
| 904:13,15 | 811:6 814:14,15 | 894:15,18,22,22 | negative |
| Mill | moment | 924:15 | 843:20 845:13 857:5 |
| 911:16 | 867:21 883:14 | municipality | 857:18 861:14,18 |
| Miller | momentarily | 947:2 948:17,18 950:4 | 880:17 907:10 |
| 826:11 | 889:3 | Murer | negatively |
| million | Monday | 815:21 816:23 950:11 | 866:9 |
| 946:9 | 959:19 | 950:16 951:10,18 | neighbor |
| mind | money | Murmurs | 833:4,6 866:8 |
| 829:9 877:2 905:18 | 834:18,21 | 855:10 944:16 946:11 | neighborhood |
| 906:14 948:20 | monthly | music | 822:10 837:13 839:14 |
| Mine | 916:2 | 883:8 | 865:22 891:4 907:18 |
| 903:13 | Mooseheart | N | 909:11 |
| minimize | 918:5 957:9,11,22 | N | neighborhoods |
| 912:18 | morals | N | 830:12 910:5 |
| minor | 903:21 905:4 | 813:1,1 814:1 | neighboring |
| 888:22 889:6 | Morris | name | 833:19 866:4 |
| minute | 892:1 | 821:6 870:21 881:10 | neighbors |
| 904:22 | motion | 888:3 931:10 | 836:23 852:14 864:23 |
| minutes | 813:24 815:20,22 | names | 894:10 |
| 867:7 | 816:8,9 817:3,8,11,18 | 855:19 | neither |
| miscalculation | 819:10,20 847:14,21 | narrowly | 942:5 961:11 |
| 856:5,8 | 872:1,6 883:16,18 | 885:6 | never |
| mischaracterization | 884:3 886:19 887:4 | NASDAQ | 868:19,20 894:6 897:5 |
| 938:16 | 890:9,17 901:9,19 | 844:21 | 897:8 932:4,8,17,22 |
| mischaracterized | 902:8 916:18 917:2 | National | 933:10 |
| 848:15 | 920:5,13 959:7,10 | 824:24 918:4 | new |
| misconception | move | nature | 892:15 893:7 895:2 |
| 856:2 | 817:3 848:23 867:20 | 852:15 890:1 900:24 | 897:13 898:1,5,13,18 |
| misinterpret | 890:11 907:13 912:15 | 904:3 905:4 907:23 | 927:2 |
| 857:4 | 933:17 942:18 | 910:6 919:8 924:13 | next |
| mistake | moved | 931:12 947:3 | 819:22 864:1,6,22 |
| 937:9 | 817:4 847:15,16 | near | 874:10 886:5 910:8 |
| misunderstood | 883:19,20 886:20,21 | 843:6 857:23 865:2 | 927:14 959:8 |
| 933:15 | 890:12 901:13,14 | nearby | nice |
| mixed | 916:20,21 920:7,8 | 833:20 838:14 864:23 | 839:13 928:12,15 |
| 924:2 | 959:11,12 | 865:5 878:10,18 | night |
| MLS | movie | necessarily 869:12 | 851:20 898:4 |


| nights | 880:1,4,15 | 816:3 817:15 834:9 | 958:12,14 959:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 898:4 899:1 | numerous | 840:21 887:11 901:11 | 960:9 |
| nobody | 830:22 944:6 | occasions | Oklahoma |
| 851:9 925:17 | nursing | 824:23 | 889:10 |
| nonlisted | 908:2 919:19 924:4 | occupation | old |
| 930:16 931:13,20 | 935:18 940:18,21 | 821:19 | 903:13 946:3 |
| 936:20 | 941:8,15 942:5 | occurred | once |
| nonmethadone | 943:11 946:24 951:1 | 934:15 936:4 937:8 | 830:17 836:24 885:21 |
| 849:17,18 | nutshell | October | 894:24 938:12 |
| Norm | 924:17 | 961:20 | one |
| 826:11 |  | offender | 816:23 818:2,6 819:1,3 |
| normal | 0 | 876:23 878:9 | 819:5 820:16 825:10 |
| 902:22 909:22 954:23 | O | offenders | 825:10,19 829:23 |
| normally | 813:1 814:1 | 852:5,6 876:6 | 836:2 839:22,23,24 |
| 875:19 907:4 911:19 | Oak | offer | 840:6 841:6,13 |
| 913:4 | 892:4,6,9 | 861:23 863:13 | 844:15 850:15,22,22 |
| north | Oakton | offered | 855:13 860:7,15 |
| 811:14 845:19 908:18 | 921:11,11 | 872:20 | 866:8 876:2 880:9,10 |
| 921:15 | object | offering | 881:4,5,23,24 891:10 |
| northeast | 848:14 866:22,23 | 918:19 | 894:20 897:20 898:18 |
| 839:13 845:17 | 871:23 | office | 898:24 902:9 903:2 |
| notarial | objection | 884:6 885:4,7,10,13,18 | 903:13 906:7,10,12 |
| 961:17 | 817:11 829:7 875:15 | 885:21 909:8 | 910:3,3,21 911:6,9 |
| Notary | 925:7 944:14 | officer | 921:19 922:18 923:8 |
| 810:12 961:4,23 | objector | 812:18 931:9 937:14 | 924:3,9,24 929:5 |
| noted | 812:9 820:3 879:22 | 961:6 | 930:11 933:10 942:20 |
| 886:12 887:10,18 | 888:11 952:5 | officer's | 943:5 944:11 947:2 |
| nothing | objectors | 937:15 | 953:18,21,23 954:6 |
| 849:2 852:9 869:8 | 821:15 925:4,5,7 | offices | 955:14 956:17 957:11 |
| 929:11,13 942:23 | objector's | 930:5 | ones |
| 946:24 947:4 951:21 | 815:13 | often | 909:4 933:12 |
| notice | obligated | 850:10 861:23 863:13 | one-eighth |
| 883:16 938:22 | 943:6 | 864:4 | 881:20 |
| November | obligations | Oh | ongoing |
| 822:3 874:16,21 | 817:22 | 863:4 895:11 941:11 | 938:18 |
| nowhere | observation | 956:8 | online |
| 927:6 | 869:10 | okay | 895:13 |
| number | observations | 830:8 844:4,6 850:13 | open |
| 830:4 866:4 876:1 | 827:23 869:7 | 852:11 853:2,3,8 | 902:3 904:12,14 |
| 887:14 891:24 892:16 | observe | 857:12 859:14 861:3 | 908:14 911:15 916:11 |
| 895:21 904:17 912:6 | 815:8 | 862:23 863:10 869:7 | 917:6,11,17,20 918:9 |
| 912:20 918:1,7 | obsolescence | 871:8,12 878:1,7 | 918:17 919:12,16 |
| 952:16 956:3 | 824:13 | 879:3 880:18 903:17 | 924:2 928:7,17 |
| numbered | obsolete | 908:8 923:11,13 | 948:10 956:1,6,12,13 |
| 877:7 | 946:3 | 933:3,17 946:8 952:7 | 956:15,17,18,24 |
| numbers | obtained | 952:16,22 953:17 | 957:12 |
| 830:7 858:1,2 879:6 | 873:20 <br> obviously | 954:6,22 957:11 | opened |


| 951:4 | opposed | 910:10 912:7,12,24 | painfully |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| operate | 847:19 884:1 887:2 | 914:10 918:9,11 | 908:16 |
| 844:18 | 890:15 901:17 916:24 | 924:24 933:18 940:7 | pair |
| operation | 920:11 949:18 959:15 | 945:17 948:9,12 | 840:13 922:11 |
| 903:19 | opposing | 958:23 959:23 960:1 | pairs |
| operational | 853:17 | others | 841:1 |
| 929:22 | optimum | 836:2 849:4 916:2 | paper |
| operators | 946:20 | otherwise | 864:11,18 865:8 |
| 867:1 | order | 961:14 | 873:13,16,20 876:11 |
| opiate | 814:3 826:17 845:23 | ought | papers |
| 835:16,21 836: | 849:16,20 859:3 | 863:2 | 824:21 825:15,18 |
| opine | 932:12,13,15 942:12 | outcome | paragraph |
| 871:3 872:8 950:21 | 942:14 943:8 944:18 | 961:14 | 860:7 861:22 886:4 |
| opinion | ordinance | outlined | 912:15 |
| 816:22 821:9 822:8,13 | 813:23 892:16 894:2,3 | 948:13 | parameters |
| 829:4,11 831:21 | 895:3,5,7,8,10 896:9 | outpatient | 896:22 |
| 834:24 836:6,10 | 896:15 897:14 898:11 | 865:10 944:24 | paraphrase |
| 837:4 838:9,12,23 | 898:17 899:19,23 | outside | 829:2 |
| 839:7 841:9 842:9,9 | 900:4,5 901:7 902:14 | 827:6 860:23 887:13 | paraphrasing |
| 845:3,12 848:7 | 903:7,8,11 926:7,17 | overall | 860:14 |
| 851:12 855:11,11 | 930:15 932:3,10 | 939:22 | Pardon |
| 858:10 861:16 868:21 | 933:13,15 936:12 | overnight | 934:3 |
| 869:23 870:23 871:6 | 937:2,3 940:11 944:2 | 844:21 | park |
| 871:18 874:12 881:15 | 944:3 949:5,6,11 | own | 839:11,13 840:1 |
| 896:2,6,9 897:14,17 | 953:19 955:2 | 848:16 869:7 893:14 | 842:15 843:2 870:2 |
| 902:12 903:2 907:18 | ordinances | 917:15 936:6,7 | 919:24 920:18 921:6 |
| 915:15,18 925:13 | 891:22 893:23 900:6 | 951:20 | 922:2,3,13,18 923:3 |
| 929:12 934:13,16,17 | 902:23 936:6,12 | owners | 928:12 944:21 945:2 |
| 936:2,10,11 937:7,9 | 945:16 | 866:5 918:22 | 945:18 |
| 939:6,9,9 942:8,10 | organizations | ownership | parklike |
| 943:23 945:13 950:11 | 824:17 | 918:2 956:4 | 870:6 |
| 950:16,18,22 951:10 | originally | O'Hare | parks |
| 951:18,18 954:5,24 | 911:5 | 854:5 | 870:9 |
| 955:4,9 | Orr |  | part |
| opinions | 893:11 | P | 816:22 818:12 822:24 |
| 836:21 850:15 853:19 | other | P | 824:15 825:14 827:4 |
| 864:20 867:24 872:11 | 815:24 816:23 817:22 | 814:1 | 828:5 830:23 835:21 |
| 872:13 874:13 895:24 | 817:22 820:6 828:24 | page | 835:24 838:6 839:14 |
| 934:1,2,4,5 937:5 | 830:13 831:10 836:21 | 813:2,18,20,23 857:3,3 | 846:13 853:20 867:11 |
| 950:15 951:9 953:8,9 | 837:18,24 840:24 | 857:4 858:22 859:3 | 878:16 893:21,21,24 |
| 953:17 954:6 | 843:3 845:20 850:15 | 859:12,15,16 860:17 | 899:4,16 901:7 |
| opportunities | 851:2 852:15 853:9 | 900:3 901:6 903:8,11 | 902:18,20 909:15 |
| 905:19 918:20 | 855:14 862:6 863:12 | 903:15 926:5,9 956:1 | 919:21 950:6 954:19 |
| opportunity | 863:19 868:4,22 | pages | 955:2 |
| 817:13 820:12,16 | 871:12 874:12 875:5 | 809:23 877:7 | partially |
| 825:4 895:9,12 | 880:8,19 882:17 | paid | 893:10,11 |
| 902:19 905:16 | 892:9 900:22 909:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 821: 21875: 1,10,12,18 \\ & 875: 24924: 24 \end{aligned}$ | particular |


| 832:24 856:1 861:4 | 827:7 | 930:22 | 890:9 898:12 911:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 873:16,21 896:16 | PENNY | permits | 919:13 920:5 935:7 |
| 897:2 898:23 900:11 | 811:4 | 912:8 | 936:8 944:4,7 |
| 904:6,16,20 905:8 | people | permitted | places |
| 906:4 910:2 939:14 | 818:13,17 819:4 | 865:21 896:11 897:1,6 | 927:21 |
| 948:4 949:8 | 820:20 833:3 837:9 | 901:12 907:16 931:11 | plainly |
| parties | 858:23 860:22 861:4 | 934:24 935:4,8 940:6 | 833:17 |
| 815:24 816:6 961:12 | 862:5 865:11 892:17 | 940:7,13,18 942:4,5 | plan |
| partners | 895:21 905:8,16,20 | 951:2 | 813:20 866:11,16 |
| 809:5 811:10 815:6 | 906:17,23 907:12 | person | 867:7 891:8,9,12 |
| 885:23 | 921:8,18 922:11 | 831:24 885:2 | 892:3,5,7,15 894:2,4 |
| parts | 923:17 958:6 | personal | 894:5,6,12,18,19,24 |
| 895:7 | perceived | 869:7,10 | 895:1,2 907:6,7 908:1 |
| party | 836:12 837:1,17,22 | perspective | 915:16,19,22 916:5,7 |
| 841:8 | 838:3 839:1 | 828:15 919:23 | 917:8,22 918:8 923:9 |
| pass | percent | pertains | 923:13 924:8,18 |
| 846:16 900:2 922:16 | 830:6,10 831:18 834:3 | 852:18 | 928:4 929:2 938:6 |
| 933:10 | 834:6,15,16,18 835:4 | petition | 939:12 940:1 945:15 |
| past | 835:12,16 836:16 | 809:9 814:24 821:7 | 945:20 946:6,22,23 |
| 851:16 948:19 | 845:22 846:6 848:9 | 884:13,17 888:4 | 947:1,22 948:2,3,6,10 |
| Pat | 848:10 851:16 857:5 | 934:9 | 948:14,16 949:2,5 |
| 870:18,21 | 857:14,18,20,22 | petitioner | 950:4,8,10 955:17,20 |
| patches | 865:24 876:21 877:2 | 815:11 816:19 853:12 | 955:21 956:11 957:13 |
| 921:23 | 878:11,15 885:20 | 853:13 858:15 865:15 | 957:23 958:9 |
| patients | 896:18 906:14,15 | 867:22 886:10 933:20 | planned |
| 860:10 | 909:18,18 928:11 | 933:21 | 911:24 954:18,20 |
| PATRICK | percentage | petitions | planner |
| 812:2 | 878:15,16 | 818:21 | 890:24 892:4 904:9 |
| Paul | percentages | PhD's | 927:16 |
| 892:3 | 907:22 | 827:14 | planners |
| Paula | perception | phones | 889:15,18,23 890:4 |
| 810:11 961:3 | 837:3 865:4 904:24 | 815:8 | 933:6 |
| paved | 905:1 | photo | planning |
| 827:21 | perceptions | 928:14 | 888:6,22 889:5,20,21 |
| paying | 861:20 921:17 | photograph | 890:23 891:6,11,20 |
| 926:2 | perform | 921:1,22 | 892:19,22,24 893:3 |
| pays | 848:22 | phrase | 893:17,19 894:7 |
| 821:20 | performed | 824:9 844:10 | 895:6 897:15 904:3 |
| PC | 843:5,10 853:23 854:3 | phrases | 907:20 909:1 916:1 |
| 812:4 | 854:23 | 824:8 | 919:10,23 920:15 |
| PD | period | pick | 934:6,7 947:8 953:10 |
| 885:24 | 897:24 953:7 | 921:10 | 954:3 |
| PE | periodic | piece | plans |
| $929: 23,23$ <br> peer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 930:18 931:4,22 } \\ & \text { permission } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 854:14 868:15 886:2 } \\ & \text { 948:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 835:20 891:4,21 } \\ & \text { 893:17 894:20 910:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 827:6,7 | 819:24 870:17 | place | 916:8 948:5 |
| peer-reviewed | permit | 854:7 883:18 886:19 | Plato |


| 809:13 815:5 | positions | 811:1 812:17 814:21 | 853:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| play | 890:2 | 815:14,23 826:20 | principles |
| 831:4 | positive | 853:17 922:22 928:14 | 823:21 953:10 |
| please | 839:6,10 870:2 | 960:3 | prior |
| 814:2,4,6 815:7,9 | possible | presentation | 864:3 866:24,24 |
| 821:3,6 846:17 859:4 | 841:14 900:19 928:18 | 826:10 | 867:17 950:23 |
| 859:22 863:1 864:10 | possibly | presentations | private |
| 877:6,9 881:10 882:8 | 864:2 | 824:16 | 880:14 917:17,19 |
| 887:24 888:3 901:8 | potential | presented | 918:2 919:16,17 |
| 902:24 919:5 923:12 | 836:6 848:9 909:10 | 824:19 825:18 826:7 | 956:3,13,16,23 |
| 944:13 954:2 | potentially | 882:11 950:7 | 957:12 |
| pledge | 816:5 830:24 | presenting | private-pay |
| 814:4,5 | pounded | 938:5 | 809:8 815:2 |
| plenty | 842:13 | preservation | probably |
| 851:7 | pounding | 948:11 | 818:24 819:2 825:11 |
| plus | 842:12 | preserve | 825:16,17 827:8,19 |
| 842:3 864:23 889:10 | power | 885:24 886:15 913:10 | 836:15 842:1 845:21 |
| 916:1 930:2 | 852:4 | 918:13 | 845:22 874:20 883:4 |
| podium | practical | preserve-type | 891:9,14 894:8,20 |
| 884:4 958:21 | 864:23 | 870:6 | 896:18 899:8 900:19 |
| point | practice | preserving | 901:1,1 909:4 914:6 |
| 816:7 820:11,17 | 840:4 954:19 | 918:17 924:1 | 929:3 935:19 952:20 |
| 845:23 859:3 867:11 | practitioners | president | problem |
| 872:24 877:21 888:13 | 825:21 | 890:3,5 | 874:22 913:16 914:18 |
| 897:21 903:9 907:20 | precedent | presumption | 930:7 948:5 |
| 925:11 928:12 930:20 | 902:14,16 | 858:18 860:17 862:12 | problems |
| 937:6 939:6 945:1 | precise | presumptions | 840:24 905:10 914:22 |
| 956:5 957:7 959:7 | 857:19 | 858:19 860:15 | procedurally |
| pointed | premises | pretty | 936:2 937:17 |
| 942:11 | 865:12 869:14 | 842:13 851:13,14 | procedure |
| points | preparation | 878:3 919:9 924:17 | 936:7 |
| 833:9 840:9 845:5 | 902:18 906:19 | 927:24 931:4 941:20 | proceed |
| 847:4 | prepare | 948:18 | 935:12 936:8 937:11 |
| Poletti | 846:12 873:15 875:24 | previous | proceeding |
| 815:21 816:24 871:3 | 894:16 899:18 932:9 | 885:1 898:15 938:7 | 818:1 937:7 |
| 871:13,16,18,24 | prepared | 950:14 | proceedings |
| 872:1,5,13,21 | 816:7 817:8 838:7 | previously | 810:1 815:10 934:8 |
| Poletti's | 846:19 891:21 892:3 | 896:13 944:23 | 961:6,8,9 |
| 871:1 872:11 | 894:4,19 895:23 | price | process |
| police | 900:5 933:20 937:15 | 851:17 878:10 881:23 | 827:4,13 829:21 |
| 885:15,24 904:2 | preparing | prices | 837:13 841:11 911:17 |
| population | 869:2 895:9 | 824:3 837:10,12 874:9 | 911:18 922:2,4 |
| 834:9,11 | prerogative | pricing | 925:11 936:3 957:6 |
| populous | 818:11 819:12 | 824:1,1 837:24 838:14 | processed |
| 840:18 851:6 | presence | primarily | 896:3 |
| position | $864: 24$ | 891:17 920:20 934:4 | produced |
| 822:15 884:18 885:10 | present | primary | 867:8 |


| professional | 832:2,14,15,17,17,24 | provided | 922:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 823:1,22 824:15,17 | 833:12,23 834:7,13 | 871:11,12 903:3 | pursuant |
| 838:9 888:24 889:9 | 835:2,6,9 836:8,18,23 | 905:13,14 910:11 | 817:18 914:13 |
| 889:13,19 895:23 | 840:14 841:5,5,7,13 | 943:3 944:21 | put |
| 896:8,13 897:17 | 841:14,19 842:5,23 | providing | 818:6,8,11,23 844:20 |
| 903:2 915:15 929:12 | 843:11,12,15,16,18 | 816:16 918:16 | 862:9 891:19 892:5 |
| 932:22 | 843:24 844:20 845:1 | provision | 904:4 911:21 920:4 |
| professionals | 845:2,7,14,16 848:12 | 898:21 918:14 | 920:24 928:23 930:14 |
| 830:4,13 889:19 | 853:5,6 854:14,19 | provisions | 944:4,7 947:6 948:16 |
| professor | 856:16 858:3,7,11 | 936:14,16,16 | putting |
| 821:18 822:17 | 865:13,20,24 866:4,6 | proximity | 936:1 |
| professors | 866:10,21 868:1,4,8,9 | 839:24 913:11 924:6 | p.m |
| 830:14 | 868:12,12,15,22 | psychologist | 809:20 884:11 960:11 |
| profit | 869:6,20,21 870:1 | 829:10 |  |
| 862:4 | 873:16 876:15 877:13 | public | Q |
| program | 879:2 880:16 885:9 | 809:17 810:12 814:22 | qualify |
| 892:12 894:8 | 905:20 907:15,17 | 844:10 863:18 884:16 | 823:17 |
| programs | 908:20,22,23 909:2,5 | 884:18 885:12 886:3 | quality |
| 860:10 891:2 | 911:20 912:3 913:24 | 886:5 895:17 896:19 | 837:12 |
| prohibited | 916:9,10 918:2,12 | 903:21 905:15 906:12 | quarter |
| 949:18 | 920:19 922:7 929:18 | 912:4,19 918:7 919:7 | 959:5 |
| project | 940:3 946:4,20 948:4 | 919:12 932:1,2,11,18 | question |
| 822:2 929:11 952:9 | 948:22 949:1,16 | 932:24 934:14,20 | 817:7 824:7 829:13 |
| projects | 956:4 | 937:11 949:9,14 | 834:23 845:8,11 |
| 891:24 929:12 946:2 | proposal | 952:20 956:1,6,12,15 | 850:9 858:1 862:12 |
| proofs | 925:9 | 959:18 961:4,23 | 862:19,22 863:8 |
| 820:17 | proposed | publication | 864:13 867:13 868:10 |
| proper | 821:9 822:7 833:19,24 | 827:4 954:14 | 868:24 870:24 875:21 |
| 913:12 918:23 934:18 | 835:5 842:17 843:6 | public's | 875:22 876:3 878:3,7 |
| 934:21,22,22 935:13 | 858:4 874:4 900:20 | 932:17 | 879:11 880:22 881:2 |
| properly | 901:4 902:13 908:3 | publish | 881:4,5,15,16 882:11 |
| 897:16 | 920:22 921:7 922:22 | 825:4 | 883:9 885:7 926:1 |
| properties | 924:3 946:5 951:3 | published | 927:14 930:11 932:14 |
| 827:24 828:16 830:3 | 956:18 958:2 | 825:15,17,18 826:1,6 | 933:16 941:4,5,7,11 |
| 831:4,17,22 832:7,12 | protecting | 827:17 834:2 837:15 | 941:12 942:17,20,22 |
| 832:16 833:14,15,16 | 918:18 | 877:23 880:1 | 942:24 943:3 944:12 |
| 833:20 834:4 835:10 | protection | PUD | 944:19 946:16,19 |
| 836:14 837:10 838:14 | 815:18 886:14 904:2,2 | 908:24 | 947:10,18 951:6,16 |
| 839:21,24 840:5,16 | 960:3 | pulled | 951:17 958:18 |
| 840:19 841:16,21 | prove | 857:21 921:21 | questioning |
| 844:9 845:9,20 | 856:9 | pulling | 830:22 872:23 879:5 |
| 852:20 854:4 857:23 | proven | 841:6 869:17 | questions |
| 861:18 865:22 868:22 | 902:20 | purpose | 818:14 849:6 853:8,10 |
| 874:1 876:22 917:10 | provide | 867:13 872:10,12,17 | 859:19 867:20 870:12 |
| 923:1 | 822:8,12 859:23 | 926:6 | 870:14 879:16,18 |
| property | 895:23 898:19 906:21 | purposes | 880:8,19 882:18 |
| 822:9 826:2 828:2 | 912:17 918:7 | 865:20 907:16 912:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 924:22 925:20,22 } \\ & \text { 933:18 942:20 951:23 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 958:23 | 912:5 923:14 929:17 | receive | 848:2 880:3 897:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quetsch | 931:8 936:20 949:10 | 938:23 | 919:16 940:13 |
| 809:24 810:11 961:3 | 956:14 | received | referenced |
| quick | reading | 909:7 931:16 936:23 | 852:2 859:2 874:1 |
| 858:13 | 846:1,2 877:11 932:21 | 937:13 938:21 | 910:12 956:19 957:2 |
| quickly | 936:11 | recently | referencing |
| 894:7 | reads | 824:19 929:18 | 847:4 860:4 861:2 |
| quiet | 918:1 | Recess | 944:5 |
| 909:21 | real | 883:5 | referendum |
| quite | 822:20,22 823:3,19,20 | recited | 922:7,17 |
| 837:5 840:18 842:10 | 823:20 824:1,20,22 | 814:5 | referred |
| 922:8 935:23 955:23 | 825:6,9,21 826:8,12 | recognize | 825:2 |
| quorum | 826:16,17 841:11 | 866:14 | referring |
| 814:22 | 844:18,22 852:7 | recollect | 825:19,23 844:2 |
| quoting | 853:24 854:3,10,13 | 869:4 | 851:22 856:13,17 |
| 884:22 | 854:23 855:1,3,20 | recommendation | 916:14 917:5 |
|  | 858:13 865:5 874:9 | 914:14 916:9,10 | reflect |
| R | 877:23 887:13 891:5 | 937:11 | 818:2 866:9 |
| R | 905:16 930:2 | recommends | reflected |
| 814:1 | realized | 918:8 | 865:5 |
| radius | 886:11 | record | Regan |
| 904:11 921:2 | really | 818:1 819:24 821:7 | 811:7 814:16,17 |
| raise | 816:11 824:2,3 832:24 | 847:10 864:5 867:11 | 916:22 |
| 821:4 888:1 | 850:18 851:1,1,2 | 884:15 886:5 887:17 | regarding |
| Randall | 852:7 894:10 911:12 | 901:8 920:21 926:4 | 858:10 883:7 884:13 |
| 810:5 | 915:5 922:20 923:19 | 960:11 961:8 | 918:23 934:9 943:4 |
| Randall/Orchard | 924:8,8,12 | recreation | 950:12,15,17 951:10 |
| 923:21 | realtor | 898:20 906:21 | 951:18,19 |
| range | 850:16 866:8 | recreational | regardless |
| 845:22 | reason | 929:6 | 938:24 939:1,5 |
| ranges | 843:19 875:13 894:15 | redevelop | regards |
| 917:11 | 905:14 906:7 | 930:2 946: | 848:16 |
| rather | reasonable | redeveloped | region |
| 857:3 917:20 | 900:20 955:7 | 922:2 | 894:8 |
| RATHJE | reasoning | redeveloping | regional |
| 812:11 | 837:3 | 928:17 | 888:22 889:5,20 891:6 |
| RB | reasons | redevelopment | 891:12 893:3 |
| 900:15,19 915:13 | 844:15 | 928:11 929:1,15 930:9 | regions |
| 926:20 947:11 | rebuild | REDIRECT | 889:24 |
| reached | 945:10,12 | 879:22 | regression |
| 872:14 | rebuttal | reduced | 828:17 830:6,9,21 |
| reaching | 820:13,16 879:20 | 961:10 | 831:11 849:19 873:11 |
| 871:18 | 958:15 | reestimate | 873:22 |
| read | recall | 849:17 | regulating |
| 862:20,20,24 863:6,12 | 844:5,7 854:1 876:24 | refer | 823:10 |
| 867:3,11 869:2,9 | 906:15 934:10 945:1 | 824:12 836:24 848:16 | regulations |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 871:9 872:16 877:1 } \\ & \text { 884:5,14 901:7 903:5 } \end{aligned}$ | 950:18 954:9 | reference | 914:11,12 |


| rehab | replace | 822:11 884:8,14 | 953:19 954:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 833:11 852:5 | 945:17 | 886:6 915:11 960:5,5 | respectfully |
| rehabilitation | replacement | requested | 887:15 |
| 828:3 860:9 885:2 | 945:5 | 820:19 883:2 | respects |
| rehabilitative | report | requesting | 914:10 |
| 880:14 | 810:1 813:22 822:13 | 886:4 | respond |
| relapse | 831:14,20 834:1 | require | 816:6 |
| 864:3 | 835:15 838:7,10,17 | 885:14,17 909:21 | responding |
| related | 838:19 839:15 843:1 | 913:14 954:23 | 885:8 |
| 823:19 855:13,14 | 843:15 845:4 846:7 | required | response |
| 867:1 885:9 961:12 | 846:12,16,19,21 | 817:19 823:9 889:9 | 817:14 819:15 847:20 |
| relationship | 847:1,5,10 848:3 | 902:20 | 853:11 879:19 880:20 |
| 821:7 858:19 888:4 | 852:3 853:21 856:4,6 | requires | 880:24 882:16 884:2 |
| relative | 856:13,13,14,16,20 | 896:15 | 886:3 887:3 890:16 |
| 868:7 | 857:12 858:22 859:7 | Rescue | 901:18 917:1 920:12 |
| relevant | 859:20 860:16 862:3 | 886:1 | 933:19 958:16,19,24 |
| 855:12 937:16 | 863:15 864:9,17 | research | 959:16 |
| relied | 871:1,3,13,19,24 | 823:22,24 826:1 827:5 | responses |
| 935:16 951:12,20 | 872:2,3,14,22 873:9 | 827:8 835:1 836:22 | 867:9 885:15,16 |
| relief | 873:12,15,19,23 | 837:14 840:9 843:13 | rest |
| 915:11 | 874:2,13,17 875:24 | 877:18 879:13 951:20 | 887:17 |
| rely | 876:5,9,10,14 879:13 | residence | restaurants |
| 946:21 951:11 | 908:7 912:5,22 914:5 | 878:9 | 930:6 |
| remain | 917:9 919:22 929:20 | residences | rested |
| 821:3 887:24 | 931:15,17 936:23 | 878:18 | 815:11 |
| remaining | 937:13,16 949:24 | resident | restriction |
| 857:13 | 951:11 953:12,12,15 | 854:18 | 944:6 |
| remember | Reported | residential | restricts |
| 929:10 937:9 939:19 | 809:24 | 841:12 860:9 861:14 | 901:24 |
| 954:13 | reporter | 861:18 876:6 904:12 | result |
| reminder | 810:12 862:20,24 | 904:15,21 912:2 | 831:8,9 939:20 |
| 824:6 | 863:6 961:1,4 | 913:11 917:12 928:24 | results |
| Reminds | reports | 929:7 930:3 939:24 | 827:5 828:21 829:1 |
| 895:19 | 813:24 815:20 816:22 | 945:18 957:17,22 | 831:4 837:16 942:21 |
| remove | 852:2 903:24 904:10 | 958:2,5,10 | retained |
| 884:24 | 912:8 | residents | 813:17 822:2,4 832:1 |
| removed | represent | 905:21 918:21 | 874:16 888:14,15 |
| 928:17 | 908:17 925:5,7,8 | resort | 890:19 901:21 916:17 |
| reopen | Representative | 906:23 913:5,6 935:20 | 922:19 952:4 |
| 820:17 | 918:3 | resources | retainer |
| repeat | represented | 862:5 863:19 885:13 | 888:18 |
| 941:6 | 857:21 | 885:22 | retirement |
| repeatedly | represents | respect | 834:14 844:24 |
| 930:23 | 831:24 920:16,17 | 819:9 857:6 872:13 | retrieve |
| rephrase | request | 873:19 874:2,8 876:5 | 873:24 |
| 829:12 863:8 864:8 | 809:6 815:1 816:18 | 876:21 887:8 910:2 | retrogression |
| 944:19 | 819:15 820:16,18 | 942:8 947:24 951:9 | 873:7 |


| review | 809:11 810:5 812:5,12 | S | 869:8,14,15 870:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 816:5 819:19 822:6,12 | 815:4 910:10 913:9 | S | 874:20 875:7 881:8 |
| 827:13,17 828:4 | 923:21 | 813:1,16 814:1 | 882:2 883:23 886:24 |
| 829:20 832:10 838:6 | roads | sacrosanct | 887:15 890:13 895:5 |
| 871:8 895:10,12 | 845:17,17,18 912:19 | 929:13 | 901:15 913:2,19 |
| 901:23 902:19 912:22 | 913:21 | safe | 914:22 916:22 920:9 |
| 915:10 919:21 936:3 | ROBERT | 820:7 862:17 913:19 | 922:23 925:12 929:8 |
| 951:10,18 | 811:6 | 934:1 | 930:24 940:23 945:20 |
| reviewed | roll | safety | 946:2,5 947:24 948:6 |
| 827:7,8 836:20 866:15 | 814:7 | 884:19 886:3 903:21 | 949:7 953:23 955:19 |
| 931:14 936:22 950:11 | room | 904:1 905:3,23 | 956:1,6 957:15 |
| reviewers | 895:6 | 907:11 | 959:13 |
| 827:9 | Roosevelt | sale | saying |
| reviewing | 812:12 | 852:19 | 831:7 855:9 909:20 |
| 862:15 866:19 899:18 | rose | salesperson | 932:7,22 933:1,4,5 |
| revise | 894:7 | 823:3 | 935:9,24 939:8,19 |
| 935:11 | roughly | same | 943:18 945:19 948:24 |
| revision | 828:7 | 830:7,9 832:21 841:13 | 949:4 |
| 895:4 930:19 931:5,22 | Route | 841:19 842:5,6,6 | says |
| rewrite | 811:22 | 847:19 850:23 851:12 | 851:22,23,23 855:9 |
| 895:3 | Roxanne | 859:12 861:22 866:17 | 860:7 900:14 909:5 |
| Ridge | 811:8 942:11 | 878:15 881:23 884:1 | 926:7 929:21 931:9 |
| 839:11 840:1 842:16 | rule | 887:2 890:15 901:17 | 931:20 949:11 956:2 |
| 919:24 920:18 921:6 | 817:13 899:7 944:14 | 916:24 920:11 928:1 | 956:7,21,23 |
| 928:12 944:21 | ruled | 933:2,4 948:14 | scale |
| right | 938:3 | 959:15 | 835:12 |
| 821:4 831:2 832:15 | rules | sample | Schaefer |
| 839:10 852:18 860:23 | 815:8 899:4 | 828:12,20 840:10,11 | 860:14 861:2 |
| 861:19 863:5 864:19 | ruling | San | scheduled |
| 869:11 870:13 873:13 | 815:24 | 824:21 | 959:8 |
| 874:14,23 876:2,12 | run | sat | Schoedel |
| 877:1 878:1,3 882:4 | 828:17 829:23,23 | 910:6 | 884:13 |
| 883:14 888:1 907:20 | 830:5,9 880:16 908:9 | satisfied | school |
| 926:24 927:5,8 | running | 875:23 | 891:2 929:9 |
| 952:10 955:17 958:6 | 913:1 | satisfy | scientific |
| 960:2 | runs | 905:15 914:23 949:21 | 837:2 918:19 |
| right-hand | 909:21 | saw | seal |
| 921:10 | rural | 843:1 853:23 945:24 | 961:17 |
| rise | 831:21 832:20 833:1,2 | 947:4 | seat |
| 814:4 885:3 | 833:3,7 851:23 | say | 882:8 |
| risk | 852:12,17,24 917:12 | 818:16 822:1 827:11 | seats |
| 836:11,12 837:1,1,3,23 | Rush | 828:22 829:15 830:2 | 814:3 |
| 838:3 839:1 865:4 | 861:15,16 | 830:8,21 832:6 | second |
| 876:15 877:13 | résumé | 833:11 834:15,22 | 811:14 825:10 864:8 |
| River | 846:23 | 836:5 837:14 839:2 | 869:3 883:21 886:22 |
| 815:18 886:1,14 892:1 | R1 | 847:17 851:14 852:17 | 892:14 936:2 938:17 |
| road | 940:14,16 | 854:2 868:5,6,23 | 938:20 939:2 |


| seconded | 904:23 | 914:10 930:17 931:13 | significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 847:17 883:22 886:23 | sent | 931:21 936:20 | 834:21 835:10 917:13 |
| 890:13 901:15 916:22 | 815:20 884:11 911:11 | Sheaffer | significantly |
| 920:9 959:13 | sentence | 906:8,8,16 910:13,14 | 836:13 |
| secretary | 860:7 861:1 862:8 | 911:5 912:9 929:4 | silence |
| 812:19 814:6 893:4 | 863:12 864:1,6,9,22 | 930:4,8 | 815:7 |
| 898:2 | 865:13 931:20 | sheet | Silver |
| section | separate | 874:19 | 809:10 815:4 |
| 809:11,13 815:4,5 | 824:23 956:16,17 | sheets | similar |
| 856:1 890:4 896:15 | separation | 952:15 | 852:23 876:9 879:8 |
| 903:11 924:1 937:12 | 918:17 | Shepro | 884:23 931:12 935:16 |
| 940:6,10 949:11 | septic | 960:4,7 | 935:17 936:9 938:3 |
| sections | 910:18 | sheriff | 940:7 945:24 948:19 |
| 855:23 900:7 948:12 | serve | 884:8,18 886:7,12 | 951:4 |
| security | 925:3 | 887:8 913:20,22 | similarity |
| 905:13 914:23 | served | sheriff's | 921:6 936:1 950:12,21 |
| see | 893:2 | 884:6 885:4,7,13,18,21 | 951:6,10,19 |
| 851:1 857:10 868:22 | serves | 909:8 | similarly |
| 868:24 869:3 884:21 | 919:7 | short | 940:22 941:9 |
| 884:23 885:16 901:24 | service | 923:8 | simple |
| 905:9 911:4 913:2 | 885:8,19 898:18 | Shorthand | 817:16,16 827:11 |
| 915:1,11 916:13 | 909:16 910:18 912:24 | 810:11 961:1,3 | 829:16,18,20 830:17 |
| 919:16 920:23 921:5 | 940:23 | should | 832:6 878:3 942:21 |
| 921:9,22 928:14,22 | services | 818:1 886:18 887:10 | 942:23 |
| 933:5 946:20 | 821:22 904:3 907:3 | 887:16 895:5 896:3 | simply |
| seeing | 912:24 923:18 924:16 | 896:12,14 897:10 | 828:24 831:7 |
| 881:1 958:20 | 939:21 | 899:8 907:8 914:20 | since |
| seek | set | 915:6 928:6 932:21 | 822:1,2,23 850:17 |
| 954:12 | 824:4 828:15 879:1 | 934:14,23,24,24 | 851:19 865:9 868:19 |
| seeking | 897:1 902:14,16 | 935:4,10 936:4,5 | 893:14 894:10 895:17 |
| 872:4 914:16 | 961:16 | 937:1,7,12,13,14,17 | 900:5 |
| seems | setting | 938:21 939:7 947:15 | Sincerely |
| 840:18 845:18 945:13 | 899:7 | shouldn't | 886:7 |
| seen | seven | 819:11 | single |
| 858:11 903:24 914:5 | 819:7 894:16 | shows | 834:20 844:17 |
| 932:8 949:19 950:2 | several | 833:1,13,17 857:13 | sir |
| select | 818:19 824:21 832:24 | 929:2 | 821:20 822:16 823:23 |
| 851:8 | 833:21 930:21 | side | 824:6 834:5,8 837:14 |
| selected | severely | 840:5,6 921:4,4,9,10 | 844:1 846:12,14,21 |
| 841:18 892:17 | 833:16 852:21 857:24 | 938:8 | 848:7 860:24 865:6 |
| selection | sewage | sides | 873:14 874:24 876:7 |
| 838:18 841:22 845:4 | 911:6 | 921:13 | 876:12 881:2,9 882:6 |
| sell | sewer | sign | 888:19 907:19 941:4 |
| 844:20 845:1 866:10 | 910:24 | 846:21 847:19 884: | 942:17 |
| sense | sex | 887:2 890:15 894:18 | sirens |
| 905:7 923:23 | 852:5,6 876:6,22 878:9 | 894:22 901:17 916:24 | 885:17 909:9 |
| sensitive | shall | 920:11 959:15 | sit |


| 823:12,17 921:4 | 869:3 870:9 883:13 | sound | 908:1,11,13 916:8,10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 945:6 | 897:6 905:14,15 | 909:22 947:7 | 917:24 926:19 938:2 |
| site | 906:20 907:7 910:13 | sounds | 938:5 948:7 |
| 869:20 893:17 906:6 | 911:11 915:19 921:23 | 857:9 | specifically |
| 906:15 909:18 920:20 | 922:9 923:4 929:9 | source | 845:16 896:10,23 |
| 922:1 928:19 945:7 | 935:2 941:1 945:17 | 825:21 867:4 | 899:15 900:16 917:15 |
| 945:14 949:8 | 953:9 | south | 923:20 930:16 933:14 |
| sites | somebody | 810:5 832:7,14 843:24 | speculation |
| 845:4 | 826:13 833:4,5 930:1 | 844:1 845:19 921:15 | 882:3 |
| siting | 954:7 959:20 | space | spent |
| 902:12 | somehow | 904:12,14 908:14 | 874:17,21 908:21 |
| sitting | 937:8 | 911:15 916:11 917:6 | 952:12 953:2 |
| 881:19 910:14 927:24 | someone | 917:11,17,20 918:9 | spoken |
| 946:9 | 823:11,14 826:9 | 918:17 919:16 924:2 | 948:18 |
| size | 834:16 859:23 875:10 | 928:7,17 945:22 | square |
| 828:12 833:18 840:11 | 875:12 881:22 | 948:10 956:2,6,12,13 | 827:21 841:7 868:17 |
| 840:11 842:6 853:4,6 | someplace | 956:15,17,18,24 | squares |
| 906:20 | 867:3 | 957:12 | 873:10 |
| skewed | something | space-type | SSRN |
| 828:20,21 | 818:8,9,12 824:9 | 919:13 | 877:17 |
| skill | 828:24 846:3 852:6 | speak | St |
| 824:4 | 869:9 872:18 875:7 | 819:13 820:4 824:16 | 809:18 810:6 811:15 |
| skills | 875:11,19 904:22 | 824:24 880:10,11 | 811:23 |
| 864:4 | 905:21 910:13 919:7 | 881:9 919:4 954:1 | staff |
| sleep | 923:16 925:18 927:24 | 957:20 | 816:14 892:22 896:16 |
| 927:21 | 928:7 950:17 954:16 | speaker | 898:7,22 899:9 901:2 |
| slightly | 959:21 | 824:24 | 912:5 928:2,5 931:15 |
| 911:23 | something-something | speaking | 934:19 936:23 937:12 |
| slip | 825:13 | 858:21 | staffs |
| 932:10,17 | Sometime | special | 912:12 |
| slowly | 952:6 | 809:6 815:1 865:18,18 | stand |
| 922:17 | sometimes | 896:4,11 897:1,5 | 872:1 907:20 |
| sludge | 898:4 | 898:4,10 902:19 | standard |
| 911:10,12 | somewhat | 903:4,19 907:14 | 865:17 866:17 903:4 |
| smaller | 848:1 957:10 | 914:10 926:10 930:22 | 903:22 907:19 910:8 |
| 828:20 870:9 911:23 | somewhere | 931:11 933:8,9,11 | 913:21 914:9 920:15 |
| smart | 947:12 952:23 | 935:1,6 936:9 937:21 | 949:21 |
| 923:24 924:1,9,9 947:5 | sorry | 938:2 940:8,11,13,17 | standards |
| 947:5 948:10 949:22 | 844:7 846:10 859:5,13 | 941:24 942:1,6 949:7 | 895:6 897:2 899:3,7 |
| Society | 863:4 864:8 875:16 | 949:10,17,19 950:2 | 902:20,22 903:1,6 |
| 824:22 889:18 | 877:3,7 889:2 920:3 | 953:19 | 914:17,20 939:11 |
| solely | 927:14 933:15 | specialize | 950:1,9 |
| 837:16 927:6 | sort | 823:23 | standing |
| some | 825:2 829:24 845:19 | specializing | 821:3 867:20 887:24 |
| 816:4,8 824:7 828:16 | 900:18 905:14 926:13 | 891:18 | standpoint |
| 832:10 841:5 850:23 | sought | specific | 831:13 896:13 904:1,4 |
| 852:4 856:9 867:3 | 954:8 | 841:1 850:3 859:19 | 923:24 948:21 954:4 |


| start | 836:13 837:8,22 | 950:3 | 902:4 915:13 926:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 820:23 842:8 901:2 | 861:11 880:13 | strike | 926:18,22 942:9,14 |
| 903:18 918:13 936:15 | still | 813:24 815:20 872:1 | 943:9,13,16,24 |
| started | 836:17 848:10 851:6 | 942:18 951:15 | substantial |
| 890:24 891:18 892:19 | 858:9 885:9 928:6 | structure | 822:9 834:7 848:11 |
| 893:13 895:17 897:21 | 938:18 960:4 | 869:13 | 862:5 866:2 |
| 917:8 | stock | structures | substantially |
| state | 844:19 | 868:2,4 869:13 928:16 | 865:21 907:16 |
| 810:12 821:6 823:8 | stood | 929:9 | subtract |
| 850:18 851:3 854:14 | 834:13 | student | 831:8 |
| 854:17 888:3 890:5 | stop | 841:13,20 | subtracted |
| 892:13 893:16 897:20 | 916:12 925:5 | students | 828:23 |
| 899:11 900:13,17 | stopped | 841:12 | sub-A |
| 902:4 905:18 926:19 | 936:5 | studied | 903:18 |
| 936:13 946:23 961:5 | stopping | 856:12,19 857:6 930:4 | successful |
| 961:24 | 937:10 | studies | 858:24 860:12 892:7 |
| stated | store | 852:3 889:7,20 | successfully |
| 858:22 861:22 862:1 | 906:20 | study | 823:12 911:17 |
| 868:20 887:9 | story | 828:5 830:7,24 857:7 | suggest |
| statement | 869:3 879:13,14,15 | 879:24 896:16,17 | 851:6,8 924:10 933:6 |
| 866:3 878:8 884:5,20 | Stover | 897:7,16 898:22 | suggested |
| states | 811:8 814:18,19 | 899:2 915:6 929:17 | 827:12 838:13 |
| 852:24 863:15 889:24 | 847:15,16 848:18 | 934:19 935:14 947:15 | suggesting |
| 891:17 | 849:7,8,21 850:9,13 | studying | 829:22 843:13,14 |
| State's | 851:13,18 852:11,22 | 874:22 | suggests |
| 811:21 816:17 | 853:2,4,8 880:9,18 | subject | 851:15 945:21 |
| stating | 886:22,23 890:13 | 817:9 818:9 829:9,10 | Suite |
| 903:24 928:6 | 920:7,8 925:24 926:1 | 840:14 841:4 843:12 | 812:13 |
| stations | 926:24 927:5,8,11 | 872:17 908:22 912:2 | suited |
| 898:18 | 929:16,20 930:11 | 916:9 920:19 948:22 | 947:20 |
| statistical | 931:19 932:6,16 | 949:1 | summer |
| 828:13,14,16,18 | 933:1,4 942:11 | subjective | 891:2 |
| 887:13 | 959:13 | 840:16 842:10 850:14 | summers |
| stay | Stream | subjectivity | 889:9 |
| 907:2 | 898:17 931:18 | 841:10 | supervision |
| stayed | street | submit | 961:11 |
| 892:18 893:13 922:24 | 811:14 839:11 842:6 | 826:14 | supply |
| 923:1 | 865:2 921:11,12,14 | submitted | 929:23 |
| staying | streets | 816:20,22 826:18 | support |
| 905:21 935:21 | 912:19 921:12,14,15 | 856:6 883:16 | 839:16 861:24 863:14 |
| stenographically | strength | substance | 903:3 946:14 |
| 961:9 | 925:16 | 809:9 815:2 826:2 | supposed |
| step | stricken | 856:15 860:10 864:1 | 936:13 |
| 836:20 | 872:4 | 927:2,9 939:14 | sure |
| sticks | strict | 944:23 958:1,9 | 820:14 829:14 845:10 |
| 877:2 | 850:19 | substances | 851:10 875:2 900:7 |
| stigma | strictly | 899:16,22 900:13,17 | 914:5 916:2 926:16 |


| 927:22 930:8 931:4 | 906:24 912:17 928:3 | tell | 912:11 931:18 934:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 942:4 943:22 949:22 | 936:5 961:7,9 | 824:10 868:13 871:21 | 935:18 937:10 938:4 |
| 949:23 950:24 | takes | 874:16 878:21 898:9 | 939:11,11 940:2 |
| surely | 839:20 911:8 924:17 | 952:14 955:9 957:8 | 942:19 943:3 944:21 |
| 922:17 | 936:17 | telling | 945:3 946:13,14 |
| surprised | taking | 931:17 932:1 | 950:6,23 954:9,11 |
| 905:12 921:20 | 831:9 845:3 862:2 | tend | 960:4 |
| surrounding | 863:18 869:19 913:22 | 833:2,15 904:18 | text |
| 822:10 835:2 836:8,23 | 913:24 919:13 | tender | 825:7,7 855:13,24 |
| 845:7,13 848:12 | talk | 849:3 890:7 | 867:5 884:22 896:20 |
| 858:7 885:15,23 | 839:1 852:3 910:4 | tendered | 896:20 897:10,12,15 |
| 893:23 908:23 909:11 | 930:16 938:7 946:10 | 816:15 | 898:8 915:7 917:18 |
| 913:18 923:5 946:7 | talked | terminals | 923:20 931:1 932:9 |
| survey | 849:9,23 850:13 | 898:19 | 932:18,23 936:6 |
| 870:1 | 863:24 930:13,14 | terminology | 937:16 939:7 942:13 |
| surveying | talking | 934:21 | 943:8 |
| 869:24 | 834:13 837:21,23 | terms | texts |
| suspect | 838:3 840:8 847:4 | 832:18 836:18 840:16 | 855:12,17,19,23 |
| 843:19 | 849:24 850:1,20 | 889:22 904:8 905:2 | Thank |
| sustainable | 851:24 859:6,7 862:7 | 906:1 908:12 913:15 | 820:21,22 821:1,11,12 |
| 826:12,16,18 | 868:1 887:11 904:1 | 914:20 919:10,11 | 821:21 822:5 847:22 |
| swear | 905:6 909:14 915:5 | 920:19 921:17 926:7 | 848:19 849:1 859:11 |
| 826:12 | 922:21 927:2 938:1 | 929:14 935:9 947:22 | 859:12 863:23 870:13 |
| sworn | 955:20 | test | 876:2 879:16 880:7 |
| 821:5,14 881:13 888:2 | talks | 907:9 | 880:18 882:14 883:12 |
| 888:10 | 905:3 906:5 908:7 | tested | 886:16,17 887:19 |
| system | 923:14,19,23 924:1 | 827:6 | 888:8,17 889:12 |
| 906:8,9,16 910:13,14 | tank | testified | 903:12 907:13 917:3 |
| 911:1,5 912:1,9 929:4 | 910:19 | 821:14 848:1 866:5,9 | 924:20 925:10,19,21 |
| 930:4,8 932:8 | taught | 872:9 873:12 878:17 | 951:22 953:6,11 |
|  | 850:10 | 888:10 896:7,14 | 957:5,21 958:13,14 |
| T | teach | 936:24 937:19 938:12 | 959:3 960:9 |
| T | 823:10,15,16,18 | 939:13 941:21 942:7 | That'd |
| 813:1,1,16 | 841:11 854:21 855:8 | 942:15 947:9 | 890:8 |
| Table | 883:8 | testify | theme |
| 857:2,3 | teacher | 821:9 826:23 831:14 | 856:14 |
| tables | 883:8 | 844:8 871:24 872:7 | theory |
| 873:22 | teaching | 895:10 904:5 | 839:20 840:3 948:9 |
| take | 822:21 883:7 | testifying | therapy |
| 814:2 816:4 819:20 | team | 934:11 | 935:22 |
| 829:22 832:10 836:20 | 892:2 | testimony | thesis |
| 848:8 869:16 881:24 | tear-downs | 813:2,11 821:22 827:1 | 889:10 |
| 882:1 883:2,3,13,18 | 928:22 | 839:6 846:2 848:17 | they'd |
| 890:22 892:11 897:15 | technical | 848:18 865:23 872:3 | 911:22 |
| 903:1 912:23 922:14 | 824:8 | 872:20 874:7,8 888:7 | thing |
| 936:8 947:5,10 | technique | 898:15 899:10,14,18 | 828:24 880:10 898:6 |
| taken $833: 21 \text { 861:6,6 883:5 }$ | 911:19 | 903:23 904:9 910:7 | 911:9 918:11 921:19 |


| 923:8 931:8 933:2,4 | 828:11 | 849:10 894:15 914:6 | 910:16,19 928:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 933:10 | three | 935:17 | 929:17,24 939:15 |
| things | 817:24 826:7 827:9 | top | 944:24 |
| 818:6 849:23 850:3 | 840:23 891:7 893:12 | 955:24 956:6,8 | tremendous |
| 872:8 890:1 900:23 | 926:19 951:2 | torn | 897:24 |
| 904:3 905:4 907:22 | three-stage | 928:22 | trend |
| 909:24 910:5 912:21 | 873:10 | total | 908:4,7 909:1 919:13 |
| 923:4 924:9,13 | throughout | 939:10 | 923:6 924:12 946:7 |
| 930:21 933:5 934:20 | 891:16 | totally | 948:15 |
| 935:20 939:21 947:3 | Thursday | 879:1 892:24 920:22 | tried |
| 951:12 | 809:19 898:4 | 922:16 928:16 950:6 | 830:19 848:22 856:8 |
| think | time | touch | 933:13 |
| 817:16,23 818:5 819:2 | 815:8 816:4 817:14 | 924:21 | truck |
| 819:6,9,10,12 820:2,3 | 819:5,10,20 835:11 | touches | 898:19 940:23 |
| 820:4,8 825:23 | 849:6 854:23 870:15 | 832:24 | trucks |
| 826:24 831:13 834:12 | 874:17,19 876:16 | town | 912:21 914:2 939:21 |
| 834:17 835:11 836:11 | 877:14 878:11 879:13 | 837:9 | 941:10,10,13,15,15 |
| 836:14 838:21 839:8 | 879:15,15,18 883:3 | Township | true |
| 840:2 841:6 842:15 | 883:18 891:14 892:12 | 809:12,13 815:5,5,20 | 822:6 829:1 851:18 |
| 845:15,17,21 848:1 | 897:15 908:20,22 | traffic | 861:7,20,21 868:17 |
| 848:14 852:2 854:7 | 922:9 925:11 929:10 | 912:18,22 913:8,13,16 | 874:3,10,11 876:7,23 |
| 855:13 862:17 867:19 | 930:13,18,24 931:2,6 | 913:17 914:1,3,8 | 878:12,19 927:3 |
| 892:6,8 898:24 902:7 | 931:22 933:7 934:17 | 921:8 939:20 | 943:11 956:13 958:3 |
| 905:3,15 906:22 | 936:5 952:15 953:2,7 | trafficked | 958:10 961:8 |
| 907:9 909:7,10 910:8 | 958:22 959:7 | 921:12,14 | truth |
| 911:10 913:13,15 | times | trained | 872:21 |
| 914:7 915:1 920:21 | 818:19 824:18 852:22 | 827:13 | try |
| 921:1 922:10,21,23 | 861:17 | transactions | 830:2 831:8 845:8,11 |
| 923:1 924:23 927:1 | title | 828:9 840:10 | 862:23 959:19 |
| 928:2 931:2,23 932:7 | 825:9 | transacts | trying |
| 934:13,17 935:13,17 | titles | 844:21 | 863:9 899:3 902:7 |
| 937:17 943:1,10 | 825:8 | transcript | 925:5 927:4 944:14 |
| 950:13 951:4 953:23 | today | 820:10 847:13 961:7 | 953:3 |
| 954:10,14 955:11 | 815:17 816:13 864:20 | translated | Tuesday |
| 959:6 | 867:20 872:9 886:10 | 896:19 | 825:24 826:20 834:12 |
| thinking | 892:7 939:6 | transportation | turn |
| 947:12 | together | 884:12 891:8 892:24 | 870:18 877:8 884:4 |
| third | 892:5 894:11 910:5 | 924:5 | 898:7 922:4 |
| 833:13 843:4 860:6 | 915:24 | treat | turned |
| 877:16 | told | 835:16 | 908:12 945:2 948:19 |
| thorough | 894:13 | treating | two |
| 896:17 898:8 | tonight | 835:20 839:4 | 816:21 824:23 830:1 |
| thought | 870:22 874:18,21 | treatment | 833:5 839:21 840:5 |
| 848:2 851:21 855:12 | 902:18 934:2,4 937:5 | 809:9 815:3 826:2 | 840:13 850:3 851:11 |
| 904:7 910:6 927:16 | 938:2 947:17 952:10 | 836:1 848:4 850:2 | 855:12 871:14 872:8 |
| 937:1 | 953:8,15 957:2 | 856:15 860:10 864:3 | 874:13 899:1 921:4 |
| thousand | took | 864:24 865:3 896:10 | 923:19 |


| two-lane | 838:24 856:4 863:17 | 909:13,17 911:18,19 | 834:14 836:13 841:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 913:20 | understands | 911:21 914:10,19 | 841:15,20 843:14,18 |
| two-story | 863:9 | 915:2,11 917:8 | 851:12 852:9,21 |
| 881:8 921:24 928:16 | unemployment | 919:12 920:22 922:10 | 857:5,15,18,21 |
| tying | 865:1 | 923:6 924:2,11 | 858:10 876:21 878:17 |
| 919:12 | Unfortunately | 926:10,10,23 928:1 | 907:22 922:24 945:6 |
| type | 894:6 895:19 | 928:20 930:18,22 | 953:24 |
| 838:22 839:15 862:6 | unincorporated | 931:14,21 933:8,9,11 | values |
| 862:14 863:19 871:17 | 894:14 | 934:23 935:1,4,5 | 822:9 826:3 833:23 |
| 871:21 873:3 902:16 | unique | 936:9,9,17,21 937:21 | 834:7 835:2,9 836:8 |
| 908:15 923:16 928:1 | 860:19 894:13,20 | 938:2,2,5 939:14,24 | 836:19,23 842:24 |
| 934:23 948:19 | 897:3 898:19 904:19 | 940:22 941:9 942:1,3 | 845:1,7,14,16 848:12 |
| types | 904:23 912:1 917:21 | 942:3,4,10 943:4 | 856:16 861:15 865:24 |
| 823:18 858:23 935:20 | 942:6 948:3 949:12 | 945:2,14,15,18,19,22 | 866:6,10,21 876:16 |
| typewriting | unit | 945:22 946:5,20 | 877:14 907:17 946:10 |
| 961:10 | 880:22 | 947:20 948:19,21,24 | VAN |
| typical | United | 949:7,10,20 950:2 | 812:18 884:7 |
| 837:5 839:2 862:9,17 | 852:24 891: | 951:4 957:23 | VanKerkhoff |
| typically | university | uses | 816:13 884:5 937:8,14 |
| 844:17 868:10,11 | 821:18 822:16 841:24 | 898:1,5,14 900:22 | variables |
| 870:4 933:5 | 888:20 889:5,7,10 | 904:17 924:13 926:21 | 827:20 |
| U | unliste | 930:6,14,16 931:9,11 | variance |
|  |  | 931:13,20 933:13 | 942:12 943:7 |
| U | unreasonably | 935:3,5,6 936:20 | variation |
| 926:9 | 903:20 | 940:6,7,8,12,13,17 | 954:14,17 |
| ultimately | unrelate | 941:13,21,24 942:6 | variations |
| 923:2 | 837:24 | 949:12,17,19 951:3 | 914:16 |
| unbelievably | update | 953:19 955:20 | varied |
| 911:13 921:12 | 930:18 931:22 | using | 849:24 |
| under | updating | 820:3 830:6,23 840:12 | various |
| 872:6 878:8 893:1,10 | 931:4 | 860:17 926:9,11 | 918:5,22 |
| 893:11 903:11 911:5 | urban | usually | vehicles |
| 921:22 926:23 936:10 | 824:20 832:19 833:5,8 | 900:9 911:24 950:7 | 906:18 909:16,23 |
| 936:14 937:12 940:6 | 889:7,20 | utility | verbal |
| 940:10 942:10 943:20 | use | 910:9 | 817:11 |
| 961:10 | 809:6 815:1 824:8 |  | verbalize |
| underlying | 829:18 837:21 844:9 | V | 955:12 |
| 829:17 841:1 928:13 | 844:14,24 850:21,24 | vacant | verbatim |
| understand | 860:21 865:18,18,19 | 880:13,16 927:24 | 884:14 936:20 |
| 816:10,20 845:8 | 873:3,9 891:8 892:4 | valid | version |
| 853:16 856:11 873:18 | 896:4,11,16,21 897:1 | 828:19 864:24 | 903:10 |
| 874:7 879:14 926:15 | 897:3,5,6,13 898:10 | valuation | versions |
| 926:24 936:15,18 | 898:12,13 899:19 | 822:13 823:19 843:10 | 915:22 |
| 938:10 942:7 947:21 | 900:12,14,21 901:4 | 845:9 | vetted |
| 953:18 955:23 956:5 | 901:11 902:19 903:4 | valuations | 827:5 |
| 960:2 | 903:19 905:9 907:14 | 842:14 | viable |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { understanding }}$ | 907:14 908:2,3 909:2 | value | 844:13 |
| 835:19,23,24 836:4 |  | 821:9 824:14 830:3,12 |  |


| vicinity | 905:20 925:12 937:6 | 905:7 | 847:21 849:5,8 853:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 865:20 907:15 | 938:7,9 946:10,20 | well-functioning | 853:12 859:15,21,23 |
| view | 957:7 | 826:17 | 863:7 864:15 867:2 |
| 939:15 | wanted | well-located | 867:16,19 870:13,18 |
| viewpoints | 818:20 859:11 878:24 | 928:13 | 872:23 875:23 877:10 |
| 853:17 | 895:1 939:5 959:20 | well-respected | 879:17,20 880:8,19 |
| village | wants | 825:3 | 880:21 881:1,4,6,9,14 |
| 815:19 866:16 867:8 | 823:14 835:20 872:5 | well-thought-out | 882:6,8,10,14,17 |
| 867:16 886:13 892:5 | 872:19 946:15 | 917:21 | 883:1,12,20,22 884:1 |
| 911:3 | Washington | went | 884:3 886:7,9,17,21 |
| violation | 893:7,11 | 888:18 892:12 898:2 | 886:23 887:2,4,7,18 |
| 943:21 944:1 | wasn't | 898:23,24 900:21 | 887:20,24 888:3,8 |
| Virginia | 926:2 932:16 936:10 | 911:10 915:19 917:18 | 890:8,12,15,17 901:9 |
| 823:10 827:19 876:17 | 938:19 946:21 | weren't | 901:14,17,19 903:9 |
| 877:15 | wastewater | 831:22 875:9 | 916:18,21,24 917:2 |
| virtually | 929:17,23 | west | 920:5,8,11,13 921:23 |
| 839:21 | water | 845:18 913:12 921:13 | 925:21 932:12 933:17 |
| visual | 911:1,7,13,14 929:23 | wetlands | 933:20 944:17 946:16 |
| 918:16 | WATSON | 918:18 | 951:5,22 954:1 |
| vitae | 811:20 | we'll | 957:19 958:14,17,20 |
| 813:21 846:23 854:2 | Waukegan | 819:19 820:8,23 907:6 | 959:1,5,12,15,23 |
| 877:15 890:21 | 891:6 | 914:22,23,23,24 | 960:2,9 |
| volume | way | 939:2 959:6 | white-collar |
| 809:17 940:23 941:14 | 816:20 826:15 861:24 | we're | 830:4 |
| volumes | 868:23 895:2 898:14 | 820:2 830:3 850:1 | whole |
| 913:20 | 911:12 915:8 924:24 | 862:6 872:4 904:1,6 | 892:5 897:9 905:23 |
| vouch | 930:24 931:23 934:18 | 909:14 915:5 926:9 | 906:2 909:18 923:24 |
| 878:4 | 935:13 936:13 943:5 | 934:20 937:4 938:1,1 | 930:6 931:8 947:4 |
|  | 956:14 | we've | widely |
| W | Webster's | 817:17 818:13 820:18 | 850:4 |
| wait | 900:9 919:2,6 | 844:23 883:13 887:11 | wife |
| 843:17 | Wednesday | 890:21 903:23 910:8 | 883:8 |
| walk | 884:10 | 931:23 | wild |
| 860:23 861:5 | weigh | Wheaton | 849:24 |
| walked | 853:18 875:8 927:23 | 812:14 959:18 | wildlife |
| 858:3 869:6 | 937:4 | Whereas | 918:3,19 |
| walking | weight | 828:19 833:4 914:7 | Willard |
| 858:6 929:10 | 818:7,8,11 819:12 | WHEREOF | 813:10 881:3,5,7,11,11 |
| Waller | 866:6,11 872:20 | 961:16 | 881:16,18 882:4,7,9 |
| 813:2,22 821:2,8,13,17 | 875:12,17 887:11,16 | wherever | willing |
| 829:15 845:10 847:24 | welcome | 869:20 | 841:17 921:18 |
| 853:15 855:21,22 | 882:7,9 | white | wish |
| 860:2 865:17 870:21 | welfare | 811:2 814:2,6,20,21 | 818:10 819:14 921:5 |
| want | 903:22 904:5 905:2,6 | 816:1,9,18 817:1,7 | 928:13 |
| 818:8 820:4,15 844:19 | 905:24 907:11,11 | 819:18 820:1,22 | wished |
| 844:24 846:5 862:19 | 909:12 | 821:3,6,11 829:12 | 818:16 960:3 |
| 865:11 872:2 876:19 | well-being | 846:17 847:14,16,19 | wishes |
| 889:1 903:6 905:17 |  |  |  |


| 817:2 880:22 958:18 | working | yesterday | 834:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| witness | 822:2 874:17 877:22 | 848:2 884:10 | \$40 |
| 821:5,8 846:1,4,6 | 880:4 | yes-or-no | 946:9 |
| 849:3,16 850:6,12 | works | 941:5 942:17 | \$50 |
| 851:5,15 852:1,20 | 906:12 922:6 | yourself | 853:23 |
| 853:1,3,7 859:19,22 | world | 869:24 |  |
| 860:3 863:8 880:15 | 828:13 | youth | 0 |
| 880:23 881:2 882:10 | worth | 838:24 839:3 842:20 | 0 |
| 882:18,20 887:21 | 853:24 | 842:21 918:5 | 848:18 849:13 |
| 888:2,5 901:11 | wouldn't | youths | 0.1 |
| 903:13 925:1,3,23 | 826:12 833:6 848:9 | 920:21 | 878:10 |
| 928:2 929:19 930:1 | 910:17 929:8 947:17 |  | 05-34-300-032 |
| 931:6,24 932:8,14,20 | write | Z | 809:14 |
| 933:3,11 942:19,23 | 859:1 865:6 | ZBA | 05-34-400-025 |
| 944:15 946:17 951:24 | writings | 816:12,14 890:7 | 809:14 |
| 957:21 958:18,23 | 837:15 | 916:13 | 08-19-400-004 |
| 959:1,3,4 960:6,8 | written | zero | 809:12 |
| 961:16 | 816:19 817:14 819:14 | 853:1 | 084-003733 |
| witnesses | 846:12,19 | zoned | 961:4 |
| 815:13 817:17,21,24 | wrong | 948:23 | 1 |
| 818:22 819:7 820:13 | 817:23 | zoning | 1 |
| 820:24 875:5,20 | wrote | 809:1 812:18 813:23 |  |
| 883:15 959:24 960:1 | 843:15 860:13 878:1 | 853:18 888:6 891:22 | 845:19 848:18 849:13 |
| wondering | 878:13 949:24 | 892:16,23 893:4,17 | 851:16 857:14 885:20 |
| 852:16 926:14 |  | 893:19,22 894:2,3 | 921:2 |
| woodlands | X | 895:2,4,6,7 896:9,15 | 1st |
| 918:18 | x | 896:23 897:4,6,11 | 959:9,19,22 960:7 |
| WOODWARD | 809:3,15 813:16 | 898:1,3,10,11 899:4 | 1.7 |
| 812:11 |  | 899:19,23 900:4,6,15 | 845:18 |
| word | Y | 901:6 902:10 914:14 | 1/2 |
| 837:22 904:24 | yard | 926:5,6,17,19 931:3 | 921:2 |
| worded | 856:14 859:10 906:2 | 931:10 932:2 933:12 | 1/8th |
| 885:6 | 923:3 | 933:15 934:2,5,6,7,22 | 876:22 |
| ds | yeah | 935:2 936:12,12,15 | 10 |
| 862:6 863:12 926:7 | 913:22 916:15 941:11 | 937:1,5,14,20 938:9 | 828:7,8,8 840:23 |
| 956:2,7 | 941:13 | 940:11 942:8 943:7 | 845:22 850:17 851:19 |
| work | year | 944:1 945:16 948:20 | 911:10 930:7 |
| 824:15 838:6,16 | 824:18 885:19 944:4 | 949:4,6 950:7 953:19 | 10-minute |
| 846:13 878:4 889:6 | years | 954:23 | 883:4 |
| 891:16,21 892:8 | 826:7 828:4,7,8,8 | zoning-type | 10.3 |
| 893:16,21 915:23 | 850:16,17,17 851:19 | 889:21 | 877:2 |
| 952:13 | 854:8 891:7 892:18 |  | 10:00 |
| worked | 892:20 893:10,12 | \$ | 818:3 959:6 |
| 855:24 891:1,8,13 | 894:16 897:18 898:3 | \$100,000 | 10:17 |
| 894:9 906:1 910:21 | 911:10 915:21,24 | 842:3 | 960:11 |
| 911:2 915:21 949:23 | 917:22 925:16 930:7 | \$24,000 | 100 |
| 952:9 | 930:20 932:4 944:6 | 834:16 | 840:2 846:6 874:20,22 |
|  | 953:10 | \$300,000 | 885:14 909:10 911:20 |


| 911:21 913:20 952:17 | 2 | 903:11,15 | 809:10 815:4 869:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 952:23 953:5 | 833:4 | 25 | 42 |
| 102710 | 2-mile | 883:1 | 909:18 |
| 809:22 | 904:11 | 250,000 | 4364 |
| 11 | 2:35 | 897:22 | 809:9 814:24 |
| 828:8 920:17 | 884:11 | 27th | 47 |
| 11-acre | 20 | 884:10 | 923:22 |
| 920:20 | 928:11 946:8 | 28 | 48 |
| 110 | 200,000 | 809:19 | 909:18 |
| 917:15 | 842:1 874:1 |  |  |
| 1100 | 200-and-some-thous... | 3 | 5 |
| 832:14 929:23 | 840:9 | 3 | 5 |
| 12 | 200-plus | 811:14 833:4 836:18 | 834:15,18 850:17 |
| 920:22 | 827:23 828:11 | 3rd | 881:21 |
| 120 | 2007/2008 | 961:17 | 5.15 |
| 870:7,10 906:6,7,17,18 | 842:12 | 30 | 896:15 931:8 934:14 |
| 909:19 923:17 | 2010 | 825:16 905:22 928:11 | 937:12 |
| 120-acre | 876:5,14 877:17 | 958:7 | 50 |
| 868:15 | 2012 | 30,000 | 906:14 911:21,22 |
| 120-bed | 860:14 956:21 957:3 | 885:18 | 917:22 925:16 952:19 |
| 822:7 | 2013 | 300 | 952:20,22,23 953:5 |
| 15 | 922:8,17 | 812:12,13 885:14 | 500 |
| 836:16 840:23 845:22 | 2014 | 909:10 913:20 | 929:23 |
| 952:7 | 877:24 926:16 | 300-call | 52 |
| 15,000 | 2015 | 885:19 | 906:15 |
| 834:18 | 877:24 | 32 | 530 |
| 15.5 | 2016 | 894:15,18 | 810:5 |
| 930:15 | 809:19 961:18 | 34.15 | 6 |
| 16 | 2017 | 809:13 815:5 |  |
| 813:23 900:3 901:6 | 961:20 | 355 |  |
| 926:5,9 961:20 | 2040 | 854:5 911:3 | 809:17 859:3 6N789 |
| 17 | 813:20 915:16,19 | 36 | 6N789 |
| 830:10 835:4,12,16 | 916:7 917:8 955:17 | 849:11 856:12,19 |  |
| 836:16 848:9 865:24 | 955:20,21 956:11 | 857:18 | 810.6 811:15 |
| 892:18,20 897:18 | 21 | 37W777 811:22 | $60175$ |
| 898:3 915:21 930:20 $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | 850:16 2114 | 38 | 811:23 |
| 857:5,22 | 812:5 | 811:22 | 60187 |
| 18 | 23 | 4 | 812:14 |
| 849:12 920:22 | 903:8 | 4 | 60506 |
| 1800 | 232-3495 | 4 | 812:6 |
| 841:6,7 | 810:7 | 857:3 | 630 |
| 19 | 232-3500 | 4.1-2 | 810:7 811:16,24 812:7 |
| 809:11 815:4 | 811:24 | 903:11 | 812:15 |
| 1970 | 232-6333 | 40 | 64 |
| 892:10 | 811:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 827:19 852:2 854:8 } \\ & \text { 892:22 932:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 858:22 859:16 } \\ & \mathbf{6 6 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 24 | 41W400 | 832:16 |



